

### Transitioning a Merged Organization to CMMI and a New OSSP

### NDIA CMMI Technology Conference November 14, 2002

Dr. Randy Walters Northrop Grumman Information Technology Defense Mission Systems NORTHROP GRUMMAN Information Technology

### **Defense Mission Systems (DMS) Profile**

#### Revenues: ~\$800M

 People & Locations:



• Organization:



- Largest Business Unit within the Northrop Grumman Information Technology (NGIT) Sector
- Created out of seven separate legacy organizations as the C<sup>4</sup>ISR arm of NGIT
  - Realigned in June 2001
  - Formal stand up Jan 2002
- Quickly formed into a cohesive group of interdisciplinary operating units
- Mixture of large and small programs
- Very customer mission oriented
- Process tailoring became an important accommodation

#### NORTHROP GRUMMAN

Information Technology

### Integrated Enterprise Process (IEP) - Process Framework derived from a sister sector



- Employees: over 100,000

• 1998

- Electronic Systems Sector begins development of IEP
- IEP built on foundation of 15 years of process improvement efforts
- Jun 2001 LTS (DMS legacy component) adopts CMMI and IEP
- Jun 2001 DMS formed
  - Adopts IEP and CMMI
  - Tailors and augments IEP
- Dec 2001
  - DMS evaluated CMM Level 3 through external SCE
  - DMS IEP Block Release and Piloting
- Jan 2001 NGIT Adopts IEP Process Framework

Information Technology

### Transitioning to the CMMI<sup>SM</sup> and a new OSSP

#### Realigned Organization DMS - June 2001

- Model: CMM
- Maturity Level: CMM Level 2-5
- OSSP: 10+ Legacy Processes



Current Organization DMS – January 2002

- Support the business objectives and needs of merged but larger and geographically diverse enterprise
- Establish the infrastructure to achieve the highest levels of engineering excellence, as measured by the CMMI<sup>SM</sup> and ISO 9001:2000 quality frameworks
- Retain CMM Level 3 credentials

Strategic Goals

- Institutionalize a common OSSP, the DMS Integrated Enterprise Process (IEP)
- ■Achieve and maintain a high level of engineering maturity as measured by the CMMI<sup>SM</sup>
  - DMS-wide CMMI<sup>SM</sup> Level 3 (by Q2 '03)
  - Critical projects at CMMI<sup>SM</sup> Level 5 (by Q4 '03)
- Support and facilitate ISO 9001 implementation and registration

NORTHROP GRUMMAN

Information Technology

### **Quality and Process Improvement Infrastructure**



Page 5

# Transition

- To CMMI
  - Evaluate CMM to CMMI gaps
    - What higher maturity projects would experience in performing against the CMMI
  - Generate initial transition guidance
    - Determine if high maturity projects already are performing practices or if they need to begin
    - EPG to develop new material for all projects to use to help fill gaps
  - Provide implementation guidance
  - Deploy internal CMMI Appraisal program

### • To IEP

- Select other Business Unit OSSP for adaptation
- Identify CMMI gaps
- Adapt OSSP process set, add legacy organizations' high maturity best practices, and call it DMS IEP
- Generate initial Implementation guidance
  - CMM ML3 or higher projects
  - Other existing projects
  - New projects
- Deploy to pilot projects of each type
- Obtain process feedback and update DMS IEP

# **Observations on Transitioning to CMMI**

#### Requirements Development

- Pilot projects are much more comfortable with the separation of RD and REQM
  - RD matches their good engineering practices
- Raises visibility in project of eliciting, understanding and balancing stakeholder needs
- More easily permits the overlap with TS of evolutionary engineering and development activities

#### Validation

VORTHROP GRUMMAN

- Not necessarily a part of the standard engineering life cycle activity that all our projects are tasked to perform
- This is not an activity only in the later stages (e.g., System Testing) but occurs in the earlier stages as well (e.g., early demonstration of product usage mock-ups

### **Observations on Transitioning to CMMI**

#### Relevant Stakeholders Involvement

NORTHROP GRUMMAN

Information Technology

- Solved organizationally by EPG generating a detailed template for the Stakeholder Involvement Plan for all process areas
- Output products from monitoring involvement per the plan need to be defined more carefully

### Decision Analysis and Resolution

- Some projects have already done this as a matter of good engineering
- Projects need to more clearly define when this process is to be invoked

# **Observations on Transitioning to CMMI**

### Risk Management

Information Technology

IORTHROP GRUMMAN

- Legacy organization's best practice process for risk management is equivalent to corresponding IEP process
- Explicit CMMI visibility of this area supports our rollout of rigorous process to wider set of programs
  - Experience base, and existing tools and processes can be leveraged to accelerate deployment

#### Process and Product Quality Assurance

- Increased CMMI visibility of process evaluations (adherence evaluations) helps support our rollout to wider set of programs
  - Experience base from high maturity projects and processes can be leveraged to accelerate deployment
  - The Quality Systems Office is the focal point for PPQA
- There is a synergy with the internal CMMI Appraisal program



IORTHROP GRUMMAN

- As part of redefining the DMS Measurement Repository specify an essential and small common core set of metrics to be collected by projects so that
  - Projects begin using these metrics from their project inception
  - Relatively easy to collect by standard collection templates
  - Projects can begin a well-defined evolutionary path to QPM and implementation of OPP is accelerated
- Set up Measurement and Analysis Office to provide an organizational focus on the systematic metrics collection, analysis and use by projects and the organization
  - Ensure there is a strong synergy with the Quality Systems Office
  - The MA Process Area lays it all out

Information Technology

### **Measurements to be Collected**

Product Activity	Effort		Schedule		CPI		SPI		Size		Defects	
Activity	Plan	Actual	Plan	Actual	Plan	Actual	Plan	Actual	Plan	Actual	Detect	Inject
Requirements	•	•	•	•					•	•	•	•
Design	•	•	•	•					•	•	•	•
Construction	•	•	•	•					•	•	•	•
Integration	•	•	•	•					•	•	•	•
Delivery	•	•	•	•					•	•	•	•
PM	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
QA/CM	•	•										
Other	•	•										

### ML4 & ML5 - Implementation Tactics

- Initiate EPG managed Process Focus Groups for
  - Quantitative Process Performance
  - Organizational Process Performance
  - Causal Analysis and Resolution

NORTHROP GRUMMAN

- Organizational Innovation and Deployment
- Leverage the experience of projects' participation in other Business Unit working groups to address the CMM level 4 and 5 issues
- Use the metrics (being collected on a pilot basis) and their pilot quantitative management plans and defect prevention management plans as a basis to accelerate progress
- Treat Causal Analysis and Resolution as a subroutine to be invoked at all levels of maturity development
  - Can be performed on any process that receives feedback on its defects or failures, or when the difference between expectations and actual results is greater than some predefined threshold



# **Organizational Training**

- Restabilize the training program to support new merged but geographically diverse enterprise
  - Training records and plans for new organization
    - Currently maintained in numerous tools
    - Transition all records to a new training record database
  - Expanded delivery modes
    - Traditional classroom training
      - Expensive and difficult to deliver to multi-site large engineering organization
      - Qualification and availability of instructors
    - Web-based or CD-ROM training delivery
    - Net Meeting or other live methods of training to reach large distributed audiences

 Institutionalize a consistent training culture based on skills and practices needed to maintain high maturity and performance



# **Organizational Training**

### • New or modified training to support CMMI

- Introduction to the CMMI
- Introduction to the SCAMPI Process
- REQM and RD
- Refine existing measurement and QPM courses to accommodate quantitative control mechanisms
- Elaborate existing courses to accommodate both system engineering and software elements
- Courses in CAR, DAR, OID/TCM

#### New or modified training to support new OSSP

- Orientation course for new standard process
- Existing process courses to reflect changes in the standard process (new documents and new requirements)
- Basic engineering courses

# **Assessing Maturity against the CMMI**

#### Transition Northrop Grumman IT and DMS CMM Internal Appraisal program to CMMI

- Projects record, and trained appraisers verify, objective evidence

- Attempt to avoid discovery mode
- References to evidence are input into web-based tool
- Modes

IORTHROP GRUMMAN

Information Technology

- Quarterly 2-day appraisals by trained evaluators
  - Interviews and sampled evidence verification
- Asynchronously using web-based tool and e-mail
- Highly experienced and trained staff train new appraisers

### Assessments provide

- Visibility and feedback to/from projects on progress made in achieving
  - Targeted CMMI capability levels
  - Implementation of the OSSP
- One vehicle for delivering and receiving improvement information





# **DMS IEP Policy**

- New projects will use the DMS IEP unless this requirement is in conflict with contract or customer requirement
- Projects currently using a legacy OSSP and operating at a minimum of CMM level 3 may continue using the project's legacy processes provided they
  - Comply with the CMMI unless this requirement is in conflict with contract or customer requirements
  - Are augmented by IEP procedures to fill any gaps against the CMMI
- All other legacy projects will transition to the IEP in accordance with the DMS IEP Transition Plan approved by senior management

NORTHROP GRUMMAN

Information Technology

### **DMS IEP Structure & Tailoring Approach**





## **Project IEP Implementation Steps**

- Initiation
  - Project profile
  - -IEP application matrix
  - -IEP Procedures' waivers (if any)
- EPI Plan
  - Process adaptation plan
  - -Core measures
  - Process training plan
- Execution
  - Develop responsive comprehensive program plan
  - Develop training plan



- Our adaptation of another sector's process set augmented by DMS legacy organizations' best practices
  - Saved resources and time at generating new processes to accommodate more elements of the extended enterprise
  - Leveraged best practice experience and lessons-learned with mature processes to accelerate breadth of deployment and institutionalization

#### Critical to

NORTHROP GRUMMAN

- Validate that against the CMMI, projects operating at ML3 with their legacy process set are also performing the relevant activities from the new IEP
- Get deployment feedback from a wide variety of projects to deepen the expanded scope of the IEP

### **CMM to CMMI Transition Observations**

RTHROP GRUMMAN

- For projects currently operating at a CMM ML3, we estimate 15-20% of the CMMI requires new or additional work on the part of the project or organization
  - Projects often already satisfy some of the new practices based on their engineering maturity
    - RSKM and MA are already being performed
- We build on, and reuse, what we have done relative to the CMM
- Critical to perform quarterly project CMMI assessments by trained EPG staff
- We are gaining experience in applying the CMMI to new and current projects with services content or significant systems content



# Conclusion





A strong DMS organizational commitment and enterprise infrastructure with extensive communications was crucial to transitioning the merged, geographically-diverse organization to the CMMI and a new OSSP simultaneously.