

**REQUIREMENTS
PROCESS**

**NEW CHALLENGES
FOR
DEFENSE ANALYSIS**

**VINCE ROSKE
DEPUTY DIRECTOR, J8**

(WARGAMING, SIMULATION, & ANALYSIS)

THE JOINT STAFF

BRIEFING OUTLINE

- BILL COSBY QUOTE. “CONTROL”**
- THE LANGUAGE OF THE DEFENSE DEBATE**
- A PROBLEM FOR ANALYSTS.**
 - SYSTEMS WE CONTROL**
 - SYSTEMS WE DO NOT CONTROL.**
- COMPLEXITY SCIENCE**
- AGENT BASED SIMULATION**
 - THE ROLE OF THE TEST- BRITS EXAMPLE**
 - “LEARNING/ ADAPTING AGENTS**
- EMERGING NEW ROLE FOR THE ANALYST.**
- CHALLENGES**

SHAPING THE CORE OF DEFENSE ANALYSIS

- **NEWTON'S IMPACT ON ANALYSIS.**
 - **PREDICTABLE CAUSE AND EFFECT.**
 - **THE WORLD AS A SYSTEM OF SYSTEM**
- **THE INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION'S CONFIRMATION.**
 - **WE ARE IN CONTROL.**
- **THE ROLE OF THE ANALYST.**
 - **DEFINE THE ISSUE PROCESS AS A SYSTEM.**
 - **CALCULATE THAT SYSTEM'S BEHAVIOR.**
 - **EXPLAIN THE SYSTEM'S BEHAVIOR IN WAYS USEFUL TO A DECISION MAKER.**

THE EMERGING NEW FRONTIER OF ANALYSIS

- WHAT IF WE ARE NOT IN CONTROL?
- IS THE “SYSTEM” WE SEE DEFINE REPRESENTATIVE.
OF REALITY ? OR IS IT:
 - INFERRED FROM OUR AVAILABLE CALCULUS.
 - THE CURRENT STATE OF A TRANSIENT BEHAVIOR?
- WHAT IS REALLY KNOWABLE ABOUT “REALITIES”?
 - HOW DO THEY REALLY BEHAVE??
- WHAT MAKES SENSE TO DO ABOUT THEM ?
 - CAN WE TRUST OUR NEWTONIAN PARADIGM??

LISTEN:

TO THE LANGUAGE OF THE DEFENSE DEBATE.

THE LANGUAGE OF TODAY'S DEFENSE DEBATE

- “ADAPTIVE” THREATS.
- “EFFECTS BASED” OPERATIONS.
- VALUE OF C4ISR?
 - THE VALUE OF INFORMATION.
- TRANSFORMATIONAL FORCES
- SELF-SYNCHRONIZING ARCHITECTURES.

- EMERGENT STRUCTURES.
 - WE ARE ADMITTING THAT:
 - WE ARE NOT IN CONTROL OF “THE SYSTEM.”
 - WE ARE DEALING WITH SYSTEMS THAT WE **EXPECT** WILL CHANGE THEMSELVES TO SURVIVE AND DOMINATE.

THE EMERGING ANALYSIS PARADIGM

- COMPLEXITY SCIENCE.**

- “OPEN” SYSTEMS**

- CONDITIONS FOR SELF ORGANIZATION**

- “ORDER FOR FREE”.**

- AGENT BASED SIMULATION.**

- “DOT WARS” AND LEARNED ADAPTATION.**

- THE ROLE OF THE COMPUTER.**

- THE ROLE OF “THE TEST”.**

- THE ROLE OF THE ANALYST.**

COMPLEXITY SCIENCE

**COMPLEXITY PROCESSES WITH EXPRESSION IN
TODAY'S DEFENSE DEBATE.**

- CHAOS.**
- FRACTALS**
- SELF ORGANIZATION.**
- COMPLEX ADAPTIVE SYSTEMS.**
- EMERGENT BEHAVIOR.**
- CO-EVOLUTION**
 - **ON CHANGING FITNESS LANDSCAPES.**
 - ATTRACTORS**
- DATA FARMING.**

NAVY POST GRADUATE SCHOOL SYLLABUS

AGENT BASED SIMULATION



- **LOTS OF AGENTS INTERACTING.**
 - **FOLLOWING THEIR INDIVIDUAL RULES**
 - **SATISFY THEIR OWN NEEDS**
 - **DOING THEIR OWN THING.**
- **GROUPS OF AGENT ACTORS (DIFFERENT KINDS) :**
 - **ASSEMBLE THEMSELVES.**
 - **EXHIBIT EMERGENT BEHAVIORS.**
 - **AS A GROUP.**
 - **AS INDIVIDUALS.**

AGENT BASED SIMULATION EXAMPLES

**TRANSIM - LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABS
METROPOLITAN TRAFFIC BEHAVIOR**

**MANA- NEW ZEALAND MOD
SMALL UNIT TACTICS**

**PROJECT ALBERT- USMC
SMALL UNIT TACTICS**

COUNTER DRUG MODEL- ARGONNE NATIONAL LAB
• **ARCHITECTURE DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT**
• **OPERATIONAL AND SYSTEMS LEVEL.**

ROLE OF THE COMPUTER

- **TRADITIONAL ROLE: (CLOSED SYSTEMS)**
 - **COMPUTE A CALCULUS WE DEFINE FOR A SYSTEM.**
 - **FAST SLIDE RULES.**
 - **CALCULATE**
 - **ITERATE**

- **NEW ROLE: (OPEN SYSTEMS)**
 - **EVOLVE ASSEMBLIES OF AGENTS TO ACCOMPLISH A PERFORMANCE GOAL, A TEST.**
 - **DISPLAY THE AGENTS' BEHAVIORS.**

EVOLVE AGENTS AND ASSEMBLIES OF THEM THAT BEST ACCOMPLISH THE TESTS WE PRESCRIBE - EMERGENCE

BRITISH RESEARCH EXAMPLE

ROLE OF THE ANALYST

•TRADITIONAL ROLE:

- TRANSLATE THE ISSUE INTO A SYSTEM.
- PRESCRIBE A CALCULUS TO REPRESENT THE SYSTEM AND ITS PERFORMANCE.
- PREDICT THAT SYSTEM'S BEHAVIOR.

•NEW ROLE:

- DEFINE THE INVOLVED AGENTS
- DEFINE A CALCULUS FOR EVOLVING THEIR CHARACTERISTICS AND ASSEMBLY.
 - GENETIC ALGORITHMS
- DEFINE THEIR "TEST" (PERFORMANCE GOAL)
- OBSERVE THE EMERGENT BEHAVIOR (COMPUTER)
- EXPLAIN THE BEHAVIOR TO A DECISION MAKER.

SYSTEMS DYNAMICS EXAMPLE

CLOSING OBSERVATIONS

- IF AN ISSUE INVOLVES “CLOSED” SYSTEMS:
TRADITIONAL ANALYSIS METHODS MAY WORK WELL..
 - CLOSED = NO ENERGY CROSSING SYSTEM BOUNDARY
 - PERCEPTION, INITIATIVE, CREATIVITY=ENERGY

- IF AN ISSUE INVOLVES “OPEN” SYSTEM:
TRADITIONAL ANALYSIS METHODS MAY NOT APPLY.
 - ENERGY MOVING INTO THE SYSTEM WILL ALTER THE CAUSE AND EFFECT RELATIONSHIPS.
 - C3ISR SYSTEMS , EBO, ADAPTIVE THREATS, ETC.
 - THE AGENTS ACTING IN THE SYSTEM WILL ADAPT & EVOLVE THEMSELVES AND THEIR RELATIONSHIPS TO SURVIVE AND DOMINATE.
 - OPEN SYSTEMS MORPH, LEARN, ADAPT, EVOLVE.

CHALLENGES

- WHAT IS THE **VALUE** OF ANALYSIS OF “OPEN SYSTEM” ISSUES PERFORMED WITH CLASSIC “CLOSED SYSTEM” METHODOLOGY?
- HOW DO WE **RECOGNIZE** THE ANALYSIS BOUNDARY BETWEEN OPEN & CLOSED SYSTEMS?
- HOW DO WE **DESCRIBE& ANALYZE** “OPEN SYSTEM” ISSUES?
- WHAT IS IMPORTANT TO **KNOW** ABOUT “OPEN” MILITARY SYSTEM BEHAVIOR?
- WHAT CAN WE **TELL** DECISION MAKERS ABOUT “OPEN” PROCESSES AND CONSEQUENCES?
- WHAT CAN WE LEARN FROM **COMMERCIAL USES** OF COMPLEXITY SCIENCE & OPEN SYSTEM ANALYSIS?
- WHAT IS THE “**RIGHT WAY**” TO USE THE COMPUTER?