

Data Collection & Field Exercises: Lessons from History

John McCarthy jmccarthy@aberdeen.srs.com









Support to Warfighter

Testing

Test DesignData CollectionInstrumentation



Training

•Exercises•Real World•Troops

Balancing Objectives

<u> Analyst / Evaluators</u>

- ModelingSimulation
- Analytical Techniques

GOAL: Understand the Constraints & Objectives



Historical View



Combat Capabilities Enhancements



Past – Combat Data Collection

•Vietnam

- ✓ Wound Data Munitions Effectiveness Team
- ✓ Battle Damage Assessment & Repair Program
- Mid-East Conflicts
 - ✓ Armor Data Collection
 - ✓ Support Operations Data
- Desert Shield / Desert Storm
 - ✓ Armor Data Collection
 - ✓ New Systems Performance
 - ✓ Weapons Performance

Valuable Lessons Learned for Test & Training



Data on wounds of US casualties location, damage & cause

Benefits & Impact

✓ Design inputs for more effective helmet

- ✓ International Red Cross challenges on M-16
 - ✓ Improved modeling capabilities
 - ✓ Enhanced Body Armor
 - ✓ Validated wound criteria



Battle Damage on Material

- Data Collected on US Equipment
 - Helicopters
 - Fixed Wing
 - Ground Systems

Benefits & Impact

- ✓ Emphasis on design for survivability
- Basis for establishment of JTCG/AS
- Validation & update of Vulnerability Models
- Improved understanding of threat weapons
- ✓ Stimulus for developing BDAR Training



Past – JT&E VAL Programs



- Target acquisition
- Simulators



- P_H Aircraft
- Threat systems
- Modeling

Testing, Training, Analytical Partnership

Air Combat Evaluation (ACEVAL)

- Close in aerial combat
- Multiple aircraft

Air Intercept, Missile Evaluation (AIMVAL) Tactical A/C Effectiveness <u>& Survivability in CAS (TASVAL)</u>

- Loss Rates
- Synergy of Systems
- Weather & EW



Impacts of VAL Programs





Lesson Learned - Desert Storm



- Armor System Damage
- Weapon Performance
- Performance Assessment Team
- Logistics Support
- Intelligence Shortcomings
 - ✓ Battle Damage Assessment

✓ Time Sensitive Targets



Logistics Lesson Learned

Logisticians could track, but not predict

General Foss, USA (RET): "..the worst decision was the decision to stock 60 days of supply and ammo -- it drove up force structure, it cost the Army lots of money and time and over 90% was backhauled



<u>Tons of Stuff Shipped</u> = Pounds per Man per Day

Theater Troop Strength

- 9.7 Million Tons of Materiel Shipped
- More than 6 Million Tons of POL
- Air Force Shipped 350,000 Tons of Ordnance/ Used 69,000Tons or about 20%





Testing + Training = Improvements

<u>LOGISTICS</u>

- •J Theater Distribution
- •JLOG/Planning Enhancements

CURRENT OPERATIONS

- J Close Air Support
 - J Suppression Enemy Air Defenses
 - J Battle Damage Assessment
 - J WarFighters

JV2020

PRESENT

JOINT T&E

INTEROPERABILITY

- J Interoperability Test Methodology
- JC2, Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance
- J Data-link Information Combat Execution

Improve JTTPs

FUTURE OPERATIONS

- J Shipboard Helicopter Integration Process
 - J Unmanned Aerial Vehicle



Approach:

- Addressing Combat Problems and Issues
- Process Baseline & Modeling Procedures
- Leveraging Training Exercises & Real World Events
- Using Modeling & Simulation

Impacts:

- Evaluate Alternatives to Enhance Combat Capabilities
- Changes in Joint Tactic, Techniques & Procedures
- Integrating M&S into Acquisition, Test & Training



Army Data Collection



<u>PURPOSE :</u>

 Establish database on replacement rates for mission-essential parts based on intensive usage

DATA SUPPORTS :

- Modeling of combat spares
- Planning of spares for combat
- Efficient support planning for training exercises









Changing World





Meeting Future Requirements

