NDIA 48th Annual Fuze Conference NSWC / Dahlgren Division



Michael A. Christopher

(Code G33: Phone 540-284-0056 or e-mail christopherma@nswc.navy.mil)

Tim S. Edwards

(Code G33: Phone 540-653-7347 or e-mail edwardsts@nswc.navy.mil)

5" Cargo Projectile Ringing Test







Agenda

- Introduction and Purpose
- Ringing Test Setup
- Test Procedure
- Projectile Load Configurations
- Test Results











Issue



Projectile Type	Description	Fuze dud rate (%)
KE-ET	buffer pellets and tungsten pellets vibration loaded	9%
KE-ET	column down the center of the tungsten pellets	8%
KE-ET	tungsten pellets poured and tamped	3%
KE-ET	tungsten pellets vibration loaded	12%
HE-ICM	contained 49 inert XM80 grenades	2%
HE-ICM	contained 49 inert XM80 grenades	2%
ILLUM	contained inert candle	14%



- Certain cargo projectiles cause fuze duds
- Program Office asked to devise a test to investigate projectile fuze dud rates





Prior 5 inch Gun Testing



0.002

0.004

0.006

0.008

Time (s)

0.01

0.012

0.014

0.016

 Synthesized acceleration vs. time graphs for instrumented 5-inch projectile



Prior 5 inch Gun Testing

Shock Response Spectrum







Stress Wave from Gun Fire



- Produces very intense, brief impact on the projectile aft end similar to a hammer blow
- A stress wave is produced which travels through the projectile body and into the fuze region, may cause fuze duds







- Ringing Test attempts to produce a stress wave similar to the one present at gun fire without the expense of gun testing
- Test shows relative differences of acceleration values in the fuze region of various cargo projectiles
- Eventually the test could serve as a screening test for projectile design







Test Setup

- PVC Pipe
- Steel Ball Bearing
- Test Stand
- Steel Plate
- Fuze Cavity
- Accelerometers
- Oscilloscope











Design of Key Test Components

) Mass, m



Plate Dimensions

$$\omega_n = 4.07 \sqrt{\frac{Et^2}{\rho L^4 \left(1 - \nu^2\right)}}$$

- ω_n : Natural Freq. of first mode (rad/sec)
- E: Elastic Modulus (lb/in²)
- t : thickness of plate (in)
- ρ : mass density (lb-sec²/in⁴)
- L: dimension of one side of square plate (in)
- v: Poisson's ratio

Impact Force



Plate Deformation

SolidWorks/ Cosmos Express







Test Procedure

- DRB was placed on the aft end of the projectile
- Projectile was screwed into the steel plate
- Fuze cavity was installed and torqued to 90 ft-lb
- Accelerometers were attached
- Steel ball was dropped 10 times
- Accelerometers removed, next round loaded







Reproducing Pyroshock of Gun Fire

5-inch Gun Fire Results

Ringing Test Results





5" Cargo Projectiles Tested





HE-ICM



ILLUM PROJECTILE









Data Analysis



- The peak acceleration was found for each of the 10 impacts
- The mean of the 10 peak accelerations was found
- The 95% confidence interval was found for the mean





Stress Wave Amplification



 Certain projectile configurations amplify stress wave more than others







A Trend Exists



acceleration value x 1000, g

There is a trend in fuze performance depending on the mean peak acceleration value





Conclusions

- Correlation does exist between fuze functionality and projectile type
- Well packed loads with few joints will amplify stress wave, may cause low fuze function rates
- Loose loads / jointed loads will absorb stress wave, may cause high fuze function rates
- Continue to develop the Ringing Test as a simple and inexpensive screening method for projectile design





Future Testing

- Model stress wave caused by gun launch through a projectile
 - > Observe how stress wave is amplified
- Plan to test production KE-ET rounds
 - Production rounds from Crane perform better than test rounds
 - Further validate the Ringing Test



