## Coupled Air-Sea Modeling for Improved Coastal Dispersion Prediction

Julie Pullen

& Marine Meteorology Division,

Naval Research Laboratory

2-km/4-km Adriatic Bora





# Outline

SST impacts on NYC region during sea breezes

2-way air/sea feedback in the Adriatic during strong wind events

#### **Air-Sea Interaction in NYC:** Mesoscale Modeling for CB Threats

Julie Pullen & Teddy Holt Naval Research Laboratory Monterey, CA with Alan Blumberg, SIT Brian Colle, SUNY-Stony Brook & Marty Leach, LLNL



#### **Mesoscale Overview**

- COAMPS<sup>®</sup>: data-assimilation modeling system
- 5 nests (36 km to 0.444 km)
- urbanization and time-varying (hourly) realistic SSTs on nests 4 (1.33 km) & 5 (0.444 km)



## NYC Mesoscale Modeling

 Common horizontal grid configuration among modeling groups (NRL, LLNL, SUNY-Stony Brook, SJSU)



# NYC Mesoscale Modeling

28 26

24

22

16

#### **Realistic High-Resolution SST's**



COAMPS nest 4 (1.33 km) 00 UTC 5 July 2004



**Observed SST** 

#### COAMPS<sup>®</sup> NYC Nest 5 (0.444 km)

#### 24-h forecast from 00 UTC 04 July 2004

12:00:00



Output shown every 15 min 12 UTC 4 July to 00 UTC 5 July

Continuous 2-m release of 200 kg s<sup>-1</sup> starting at 12 UTC 4 July 2004 at 6 sites

Concentration (1 mg m<sup>-3</sup> isosurface)

Surface temperature (color shading, K)

> model SST (varied hourly) 10-m wind arrows UCP



#### NYC Mesoscale Modeling LaGuardia (LGA) 1-12 Aug 2004



Classic sea breeze Northerly to southerly wind shift Temperature decrease Dew point increase

Sea breeze-NW wind interaction

Southerly synoptic flow with sea breeze enhancement

Sea breeze with afternoon and evening precipitation







Winds every 5<sup>th</sup> arid poin

#### COAMPS<sup>®</sup> NYC

#### Hourly model SST versus analyzed SST



24-h forecast from 2004070400 12-h daytime period from 2004070412 to 2004070500

#### COAMPS<sup>®</sup> NYC

#### Hourly model SST versus analyzed SST



24-h forecast from 2004070400 12-h daytime period from 2004070412 to 2004070500

#### COAMPS<sup>®</sup> NYC

#### Hourly model SST versus analyzed SST



24-h forecast from 2004070400 12-h daytime period from 2004070412 to 2004070500

### Two-Way Air-Sea Coupling: Studies of the Adriatic

Julie Pullen and James Doyle

**Richard Signell** 

Naval Research Laboratory–Monterey

NATO Undersea Research Center/ ITALY



(in press, MWR)

## **Adriatic Circulation Patterns**



Pullen et al. (JGR-oceans, 2003):
Adocumented the borainduced generic double gyre in the ocean
evaluated one-way coupled model using

ocean and atmosphere velocity observations

quantified the importance of highresolution atmospheric fields for forcing ocean models

# Model Set-up

2-km resolution ocean model (NCOM)

4-km resolution atmosphere model system (COAMPS)



# Boundary Layer & Surface Fluxes During a Bora Event

(29 September 2002 6Z) Latent Heat Flux 46 1-Way Coupled 2-Way Coupled 45.5 Potential Temperature 400 45 2000 W/m<sup>2</sup> 300 44.5 Atmosphere height (m) 200 1500 44 100 43.5 1000 12 13 15 13 15 16 14 12 14 16 500 24 22 20 18 (deg C) 16 14 Sensible Heat Flux 12 Ocean depth (m) 10 -20 46 1-Way Coupled 2-Way Coupled -30 45.5 -40 2-Way Coupled 150 45 13.8 12 12.2 12.4 12.6 13.2 13.4 13.6 12.813  $W/m^2$ 100 44.5 longitude 50 44 43.5



# **Post-Bora SST Evaluation**

(1 October 2002)



#### **Basic Statistics**

(in deg C)	N	mean	Standard dev
observed	39,217	20.06	1.19
analysis	37,214	20.80	0.21
1-way coupled	37,319	19.48	1.26
2-way coupled	37,319	19.81	0.88

#### Comparison Statistics

	Ν	MB	RMSE	CC
analysis	35,977	0.59	1.16	0.23
1-way coupled	36,295	-0.69	1.22	0.60
2-way coupled	36,295	-0.38	0.97	0.57

## Boundary Layer Evolution During a Bora Event

Acqua Alta (over-water site near Venice)

**Richardson Number** 







## Wind & Temperature Evaluation

(23 September - 23 October 2002)

#### 2-Way Coupled Mean SST



#### Wind Speed Statistics

	(in m/s)	N		mean		Standard dev	
	Acqua Alta observed 1-way coupled 2-way coupled	7: 7: 7:	26 26 26		5.36 5.59 5.35	3.76 3.27 3.13	
	Amelia observed 1-way coupled 2-way coupled	7: 7: 7:	22 22 22		4.76 5.44 5.32	3.21 2.93 2.85	
	Azalea-B observed 1-way coupled 2-way coupled	6: 6: 6:	55 55 55		5.05 5.74 5.51	2.94 2.76 2.67	
			MB		RMSE	СС	
/	Acqua Alta 1-way coupled 2-way coupled		-0.23 <b>0.01</b>		2.81 2.82	0.69 0.68	
	Amelia 1-way coupled 2-way coupled		-0.67 <b>-0.56</b>		3.20 3.12	0.48 0.49	
	Azalea-B 1-way coupled 2-way coupled		-0.69 <b>-0.46</b>		2.97 2.98	0.49 0.45	21

### **Atmospheric Stability Difference**

(23 September – 23 October 2002)



### **Point Statistics**



### **EOFs & Correlations**



Longitude (<sup>0</sup>E)

24

# Summary

Using satellite MCSST data and in situ ocean temperature observations to evaluate model-derived SST, the 2-way coupled simulation had lower mean bias and RMSE error compared to the 1-way coupled simulation.

At gas platforms in the northern Adriatic, the 2-way coupled model produced lower mean wind speeds that accorded better with measurements than did the values from the 1-way coupled model.

Cooler SSTs represented in the 2-way coupled simulation stabilize the atmosphere relative to the 1-way coupled simulation, leading to reduced (more realistic) wind speeds in the 2-way coupled simulation.

2-way coupling impacts the correlation structure of atmospheric variables such as TKE and air temperature.

# Back-Up Slides



#### COAMPS<sup>®</sup> Nest 5 (0.44-km) Sea-breeze simulation: 18 April 2005 (24-h fcst from 00 UTC)

