



Integrating Planning, Control, Measurement & Analysis CMMI[™] Process Areas To Achieve More Successful Software Projects

Daniel D. Galorath galorath@galorath.com 310 414-3222 x614

© Galorath Incorporated 2006

SEER® is a registered trademark of Galorath Incorporated

SEER-SEMTM, SEER-DFMTM, SEER-DFMTM, SEER-AccuScopeTM, SEER-PPMCTM, SEER-ProjectMinerTM, SEER-DBTM, SEER-CriticalMassTM, SEER-ScatterPlot TM, SEER-SEM ClientTM for Microsoft Project TM, SEER-ICTM, SEER-SpyglassTM, & SEER-RateMakerTM are trademarks of Galorath Incorporated. Microsoft Project is a trademark of the Microsoft Corporation.



- Integrating Planning, Control, Measurement & Analysis CMMI[™] Process Areas To Achieve More Successful Software Projects
- Project Planning and Project Monitoring and Control, two CMMI® Level 2 Process Areas, are essential to Software Project Management. Prior to project initiation, the relationship between these two process areas tends to be strong. Unfortunately, the status quo tends to exhibit a breakdown in this relationship once the project has begun. The result is an open-loop situation: we know where we planned to be and where we are; however, we don't know where and when we will likely end up.
- This paper first reviews the fundamentals of Parametric Estimating and Performance Measurement
- and then proposes an integrated process uniting Project Planning, Project Monitoring and Control, and Measurement and Analysis. The benefits of this integration are an increased probability that: 1) a project's plan is achievable (i.e., it honors the laws of software project dynamics and keeps from "death marches"); and 2) actual performance matches reasonably-expected (planned) performance; (i.e., that a project is delivered on time and within budget); and 3) if there are troubles that there is an early warning of trouble and possibilities for managers to remediate. Finally, the paper chronicles, through the use of selected artifacts, an actual project employing this integrated approach.



Foundation of Risk Management &
 Quantitative Project Management



Fundamentals of Software Estimation

© Galorath Incorporated 2006 SEER® is a registered trademark of Galorath Incorporated SEER-SEM™, SEER-H™, SEER-DFM™, SEER-AccuScope™, SEER-PPMC™, SEER-ProjectMiner™, SEER-DB™, SEER-RDB™, SEER-CriticalMass™, SEER-ScatterPlot ™, SEER-SEM Client™ for Microsoft Project ™, SEER-IC™, SEER-Spyglass™, & SEER-RateMaker™ are trademarks of Galorath Incorporated. Microsoft Project is a trademark of the Microsoft Corporation.



Poor Estimates Effects on Projects

Inaccurate estimates can have significant impact on project success:

- Poor implementations
- □ Critical processes don't scale
- Emergency staffing
- □ Cost overruns caused by underestimating project needs
- Lack of well defined objectives, requirements, & specifications, results in creeping scope resulting in:
 - □ Forever changing project goals
 - □ Frustration
 - Customer dissatisfaction
 - □ Cost overruns and missed schedules
 - Project Failures
- Incorrect estimates / bad plans are a root cause of subsequent program risk

Estimating & Planning are key to software project success



We Can't Afford Not To Spend Time and Money On Viable Estimates and Project Plans Adapted From Aspire S. Hunt

Apollo

Most Apollo program faults were software related

Loss of NASA Spacecraft

- □ FORTRAN statement error caused loss of 1st NASA probe to Venus
- After success with Ariane 4, the maiden flight of Ariane 5 ended in destruction when design defects in the control software were revealed by the faster horizontal drifting speed of the new rocket.

Financial & Program Impacts

- Airbus A310 45,000 hours expended against software development (20,000 hours budgeted!)
- □ American Airlines lost nearly \$1billion due to software faults
- In 1991 after 3 lines of code (in millions of lines of code in a signaling program) were changed, telephone systems in California and the Eastern Seaboard went off line

Loss of Life

- □ Gulf War 1 Patriot Missile tracking failure due to 0.36 second software driven clock.
- □ And so on



An Estimate Defined

An <u>estimate</u> is the most knowledgeable statement you can make <u>at a particular point in time</u> regarding:

- Effort / Cost
- Schedule
- Staffing
- Risk
- Reliability



Estimates more precise with progress

A WELL FORMED ESTIMATE IS A DISTRIBUTION





Metric

Metric









10 Step Software Estimation Process Supports Software Best Practices





Step One: Establish Estimate Scope and Purpose

 Define and document estimate expectations, scope & Purpose

- Provides a baseline against which to gauge the effect of future changes
- Reduces misunderstandings & contradictory assumptions

Estimate should be considered a living document

As projects change, data changes or new information becomes available, it should be documented and factored into the estimate in order to maintain the project's integrity

SEER[®] Define What's Included in the Estimate

Development effort breaks down into... What functionality will be developed

How will it be done?

□ Activities & phases

Who will do the work?

Personnel labor categories

Step Two: Establish TechnicalBaseline, Groundrules, & Assumptions

Functionality included in the estimate or range must be established

- If detailed functionality is not known, groundrules and assumptions state what is and isn't included in the estimate.
- Issues of COTS, reuse, and other assumptions should be documented as well.

Groundrules and assumptions form the foundation of the estimate

- although early at early stages they are preliminary and therefore rife with uncertainty, they must be credible and documented
- Review and redefine these assumptions regularly as the estimate moves forward.



Technical Baseline

Describe each work element

- Core functionality
- Key qualitative modeling inputs
- Size, if available
- Identify multiple builds/releases (if any)
- Reference or excerpt available documentation
- Provide rationale & justification for BOE



Ground Rules & Assumptions

Groundrule: given requirement of the estimate (e.g. software must support windows and Linux)

- Be sure to provide source
- Assumption: assumed to scope estimate
 - Be sure to provide source and substantiation
- What's known, what's unknown
- Anything relating to scope
 - What's included, what's excluded
- Anything relating to modeling inputs
 - □ Who you interviewed and when
 - What you learned



Step Three: Collect Data

Software Data Collection Process key considerations

- 1. Motivate potential data providers to participate
- 2. Avoid nondisclosure agreements containing clauses requiring exclusivity or destruction of data if you can
- 3. Provide data collection forms and instructions beforehand, in both hard copy and electronic formats
- 4. Provide clear definitions but recognize providers may not read them
- 5. Identify which data are *required*, *highly desirable* or *desirable*
- 6. During the face-to-face interview confirm data is realistic and valid
- 7. Grade to indicate confidence
- 8. Normalize data via well-documented process & Keep both the raw and normalized data



Data Collection

Begin with available documentation

- □ SDP, TRD, SRS, COO, ORD, CARD, BAFO, BOE, SOW
- □ A-level Spec, Program Schedule, Contractor Presentations

Perform interviews where & when possible

- Development contractor(s)
- Program office personnel, FFRDC engineers
- Preparation is paramount!
- Use a questionnaire, sent in advance
- Phraseology determines answers
- □ Confirm key definitions
- □ Be willing to challenge, point out inconsistencies



Step Four: Software Sizing

Spend Time on sizing

□ Include rework that will be required to develop the product,

Estimate least likely most range.

- Some widely used methods of estimating product size are:
 - Expert opinion
 - Analogy
 - Formalized methodology
 - Statistical sizing Provides a range of potential sizes that is characterized by *least*, *likely*, and *most*

SEER Steps to Estimating Software Size

Use a software sizing method that is consistent and repeatable

- □ Reestimate as specs change
- Establish baseline definition of the size metric & identify normalization process if different from the definition chosen

1. Define sizing objectives

- Are you trying to describe the size of individual computer programs, plan major milestones in the estimation process, or adjust for project replanning? Varying granularities of sizing detail will be appropriate
- 2. Plan data and resource requirements of the sizing activity
- 3. Identify and evaluate software requirements, a set of software specifications that are as unambiguous as possible
- 4. Use several independent techniques and sources and put findings in a table
- 5. Track the accuracy of the estimate versus actuals as the project progresses, and reestimate the product size periodically with actual data.



Galorath Size Estimation Methodology

Apply Size Estimation Methodology



Step Five: Prepare Baseline Estimate

- 1. Trained, experienced, and skilled people should be assigned to size the software and prepare the estimates
- 2. it is critically important that they be given the proper technology and tools
- 3. Project manager must define and implement a mature, documented, and repeatable estimation process



Estimation Approaches

- Guessing (which is not recommended)
- Using existing productivity data exclusively (also not recommended)

Bottom-Up Estimating

- Bottom-up (a.k.a. grassroots) estimating, decomposes the software to its lowest levels by function or task and then sums the results
- □ Can be effective for smaller systems and where estimates are carefully produced

Parametric Software cost models

- Provide means for applying a consistent method for subjecting uncertain situations to rigorous mathematical and statistical analysis
- When used properly they are more comprehensive than other estimating techniques and help to reduce the amount of bias that goes into estimating software projects

Delphi Based Estimates

Several expert teams or individuals, each with an equal voice and an understanding up front that there are no correct answers, start with the same description of the task at hand and generate estimates anonymously, repeating the process until consensus is reached

Activity-Based Estimates

- Begin with requirements & size then define the required tasks, which will serve to identify the overall effort that will be required
- □ Typically Major cost drivers focused on non-coding tasks included in effort estimate
- Build a task hierarchy (WBS) that represents how the work will be organized and performed
- □ This work breakdown structure can be the backbone of the project plan



Generate the Estimate

- Using your chosen methodology and tool, do a first run
- Never report preliminary results!
- Focus on the inputs
 - Verify completeness
 - Verify accuracy

Focus on the outputs 5 Top 10 Effort Impacts

- Sanity check for reasonableness, completeness
- What's driving the estimate?
 - SEER-SEM top ten parameter
- Use "fresh eyes" to review
 - $\hfill\square$ Ask a colleague for help
 - □ Set aside overnight





Update/Refine the Estimate

- Optional or more specific information may become available in the future
- Follow configuration control guidelines to keep estimates straight
 - Change log
 - Naming conventions
 - File storage
- Maintain estimating integrity eschew artificially driving the estimate to a predetermined result



Step Six: Quantify Risks and Risk Analysis

- Risk can produce loss of time, or quality, money, control, understanding, and so on.
 - □ Loss associated with a risk is called the risk impact
- Approximate the probability that the event will occur
 - □ Risk probability: Likelihood the risk, measured from 0 (impossible) to 1 (certainty) When the risk probability is 1, the risk is a problem since it is certain to happen.
 - Determine how risk can be mitigated
 - □ Risk control involves a set of actions taken to reduce or eliminate a risk.
- Risk management identifies & addresses internal & external potential threats
 - Problems associated with sizing and estimating software potentially can have dramatic negative effects.
 - □ If problems can be foreseen & causes acted upon in time, effects can be mitigated
- Although cost, schedule, and product performance risks are interrelated, they can also be analyzed independently
 - □ Risks must be identified as specific instances in order to be manageable
 - Statistical risk/uncertainty analysis should be a part of schedule & effort estimation process

EER Understanding Risk and Uncertainty is Essential To Project Management



e Di



Step Seven: Estimate Validation and Review

- Ideally, validation performed by one who was not involved in generating the estimate
- Assess estimate assumptions
- Ensure groundrules are consistent applied
- Rigorous validation process exposes faulty assumptions, unreliable data and estimator bias
 - Provides clearer understanding of the risks inherent in your projections
 - Having isolated problems at their source, you can take steps to contain the risks associated with them, and you will have a more realistic picture of what your project will actually require to succeed

Failing to validate the estimate may result in much greater downstream costs, or even a failed project

Compare Parametrics With Metrics and Sanity Checks

 Works with common repository

by GALORATH

- Shows actual data, ranges, and correlations
- Plots SEER-SEM estimates and contrasts with data

noints

Scatterplot Plus Chart Properties Inputs and Controls | Estimate Data | Format Axes | Show/Hide Points Data Source History Display Options Benchmark Display Options X&Y Metrics Filter Downselect based on current estimate's knowledge base settinas O Display full range of project types Manually select project types to be included Fields Selection -Clear All Filters Financial Processing No Knowledge! Ground-Based Mission Critic Artificial Intelligence Ground-System Non-Critical Business Analysis Tool Internet Development Command/Control Communication No items selected equals ALL items selected Save Configuration Apply To Chart Close Cancel





"In God we trust, all others bring data." - W. Edwards Deming



Step Eight: Generate A Project Plan

- includes allocating estimate cost & schedule & allocating the cost and schedule to a function and task-oriented work breakdown structure
- Issues
 - Inexperienced managers may not properly evaluate decisions effects over the long run.
 - lack necessary information
 - believe time to develop the information will make the project suffer
 - Other managers make decisions based on what they think higher management wants to hear
 - Good manager understands project realities even if it is not what higher management wants.
 - Job is to explain the reality in language his managers can understand
 - Problem managers although they may mean well, either lead a project to an unintended conclusion or, worse, drift down the road to disaster.
- Software management and planning problems have been recognized for decades as the leading causes of software project failures
 - □ bad management decisions
 - Incorrect focus
 - □ destructive politics



Revitalizing the Software Aspects of Systems Engineering

Memorandum of 13 June 2004 from Undersecretary of the Air Force: "Revitalizing the Software Aspects of Systems Engineering"

 Number 1 (of 10) recommendation: High Confidence Estimates

"Estimate the software development and integration effort (staff hours), cost and schedule at high (80-90%) confidence."

•Number 2 recommendation: Realistic Program Baselines

"Ensure cost, schedule and performance baselines are realistic and compatible.... The program budget must support the high confidence estimates for effort (staff hours), cost, and schedule."

Number 3 recommendation: Risk Management

Continuously identify and manage risks specific to computer systems and software as an integral part of the program risk management process. Ensure the risks, impact, and mitigation plans are appropriately addressed during program and portfolio
Calorath Incorporated 20 Peviews."



Expand Product WBS to Task level Plan

Transforms Microsoft Project into a powerful tool for planning software development projects.

- Automatically constructs a complete project plan
 - □ with relatively few inputs
 - or directly from your SEER-SEM project estimate.
- You can create custom life cycle templates.
- You can customize labor categories to reflect the way that your organization assigns tasks to departments or labor categories to accurately plan staff allocation for a project.

Project Name Stat Date Impleted project ever \$5757000 w Master Knowledge Base Cuidence Image: Stat Date Specify the environment Image: Stat Date Specify the environment Specify the environment Specify th	Description Scope Goals & Constraints Implementa	ition Eactors Feedback	SEER-SEM C	lient
Specifice what you software will be a specific be a specific be an interval specific	Project Name Start Date Project Name Start Date my best project ever 5/15/2002 Master Knowledge Base	Guidance		
Environment Except he environment best describing the performance of the software. Current Selection Burkets contrade Specify the type of application being developed processes, of other more software of the software of the software processes, of other more software of the software formation contrade of the software formation contredoftware formation contrade of the software formation con	If available, select a knowledge base that is appropriate to your circumstances. Enterprise IT	Specifies what your software will do. A full list of application knowledge choices is available in Help.		
Current Selection Specify the year of year goar goar goar goar goar goar goar go	Environment Specify the environment best describing the operating circumstances of the software.	20	i a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
Lifecycle Process Lifecycle Proces Lifecycle P	Application Specify the type of application being developed. Business Analysis Tool	Current Selection		• 74 (%,) (1997) 1997) 1997) 1997) 1997 19
What Becycle plan should be followed? 9 Noted on the project out of this assume answer	What lifecycle Process What lifecycle plan should be followed? RUP - detailed plan	planning, monitoring, decision support, resource analysis, forecasting.	C Boness card checkment E Boness card checkment Boness card checkment Boness card checkment Boness Boness	017
Lancel New X ELAN Herb	Next >>		1 Use case modeling 4 Kongtan pakes achicitus prototoping 5 Toogtan pakes achicitus prototoping 16 Toogtan pakes achicitus prototoping 17 Nooptan pakes achicitus prototoping 18 Toogtan pakes achicitus prototoping 19 Toogtan pakes achicitus prototoping 10 Toogtan pakes achicitus prototoping 11 Toogtan pakes achicitus prototoping 12 Toogtan pakes achicitus prototoping 13 Toogtan pakes achicitus prototoping 14 Toogtan pakes achicitus pakes pakes	57 605 605 606 B



Step Nine: Document Estimate and Lessons Learned

Document upon estimate complete AND project complete

- document the pertinent information
- record the lessons you learned. By doing so, you will have evidence that your process was valid and that you generated the estimate in good faith, and you will have actual results with which to substantiate or calibrate your estimation models.
- Be sure to document any missing or incomplete information and the risks, issues, and problems that the process addressed and any complications that arose
- Document key decisions made during the estimate & results
- Document dynamics that occurred during the process e.g.
 - □ interactions of your estimation team
 - □ interfaces with clients
 - □ trade-offs made to address issues identified during the process.
- Conduct a lessons-learned session as soon as possible after the completion of a project while the participants' memories are still fresh.
- Every software project should be used as an opportunity to improve the estimating process



Step Ten: Track Project Throughout Development

- Refining Estimates throughout Project
- Once a project has started, use estimates as a basis for performance measurement & project control
- Monitor actual effort & duration of tasks and/or phases against planned values to ensure you have the project under control
- Applying earned value techniques along with parametric estimation can help ensure successful projects
- Evaluate defects & growth in addition to simple earned value



Earned Value as a Root Level Software Management Technique

- Many think of earned value as a high level program control metric
- But Earned value analysis is most valuable when the units of work are small (1-2 week activities)
- Use binary earned value...
 - □ Either not done (earned 0 value) or
 - □ Complete (earned full value) for the task
- Galorath has used earned value at the root level as a core technique for saving failing software projects



Use Earned Value To Quantify Progress Versus Effort

- The main concern of EVM is what has been accomplished in a given time and budget, versus what was planned for the same time and budget
 - A project is generally deemed healthy if what has been accomplished is what was planned, or more
 - A project is deemed unhealthy if accomplishment lags expectations
- Definition: Earned value = budgeted value for the work accomplished (what you got for what it cost





CMMI Process Areas For Planning, Monitoring & Control

© Galorath Incorporated 2006 SEER® is a registered trademark of Galorath Incorporated SEER-SEM™, SEER-H™, SEER-DFM™, SEER-AccuScope™, SEER-PPMC™, SEER-ProjectMiner™, SEER-DB™, SEER-RDB™, SEER-CriticalMass™, SEER-ScatterPlot ™, SEER-SEM Client™ for Microsoft Project ™, SEER-IC™, SEER-Spyglass™, & SEER-RateMaker™ are trademarks of Galorath Incorporated. Microsoft Project is a trademark of the Microsoft Corporation.



Foundation of Risk Management &
 Quantitative Project Management

The CMMI Process Areas Source: CMMI Tutorial

Category	Process Area	
Project Management	 Project Planning Project Monitoring and Control Supplier Agreement Management Integrated Project Management(IPPD) Integrated Supplier Management (SS) Integrated Teaming (IPPD) Risk Management Quantitative Project Management 	We will concentrate on: 1. Project Planning 2. Project Monitoring & Control 3. Measurement & Analysis 4. Risk Management
Support	Configuration Management Process and Product Quality Assurance 5. Measurement and Analysis Causal Analysis and Resolution Decision Analysis and Resolution Organizational Environment for Integration	5. Quantitative Project Mgmt
Engineering	Requirements Management Requirements Development Technical Solution Product Integration Verification Validation	
Process Management	Organizational Process Focus Organizational Process Definition Organizational Training Organizational Process Performance Organizational Innovation and Deployment	

SEER-PPMC Parametrics Combined With Traditional EVM Captures Software Project Trouble More Completely

SEER-PPMC: Performance Mode Significant Overrun Aparrent

Data Value	Baseline Estima		17.97	12%
Development Schedule Months			108.57	25%
Development Effort Months			10 500	0500
Development Effort Hours			16,502	20%
Development Base Year Cost	1,2		1,595,906	25%
Start Date	2,		0.00.04	-
Completion Date	6,		2/02/04	
ffective Lines Only			7/31/05	
Effective Functions Only				
Effective Size				
PERFORMANCE INDICES				1
Cost Performance Index (CPI)	().80		\rightarrow
Schedule Performance Index (SPI) 👘	C).81		- 2
Composite Performance Index (XPI)	C).81		
Time Performance Index (TPI)	C).90		
				- E
ARIANCE				
Cost Variance (CV) (Hours)	-1,	038		
Schedule Variance (SV) (Hours)	-	968		- <
fime Variance (TV) (Months)	-0).97		
				- 2
ACCOMPLISHMENTS				
Hours thru Latest Snapshot	5,	200		
Cost thru Latest Snapshot	502,	895		
Earned Value thru Latest Snapshot 👘	4,	162		- 5
Earned Value % thru Latest Snapshot	31.5	51%	PPMC Options	
Planned Hours thru Latest Snapshot	5,	131		
Projected Productivity Lines/PM	61	L.94	Terminology Star	ndard
Projected Productivity Functions /PM	and an	72	Forecasting Method	iormance
La construction and the second se	V V Shales		Show Baseline WBS Elemen	nts
			Show Current Estimate in PF	PMC Charts
			PPMC Report Options	JK Can
© Galorath Incorporated 2006				

SEER-PPMC: Basic (EVM) Mode Overrun Not as Apparent

Data Value	Baseline Estimat	16.77	4%
Development Schedule Months		00.70	
Development Effort Months		93.73	8%
Development Effort Hours	1	14.247	8%
Development Base Year Cost	1,27	4 077 004	
Start Date	2/	1,377,824	8%
Completion Date	6/	2/02/04	
Effective Lines Only	1,602		
Effective Functions Only	78		
Effective Size	6,675		
PERFORMANCE INDICES			
Cost Performance Index (CPI)	0.80		
Schedule Performance Index (SPI)	0.81		
Composite Performance Index (XPI)	0.81		
Time Performance Index (TPI)	0.90		
VARIANCE			
Cost Variance (CV) (Hours)	-1,038		
Schedule Variance (SV) (Hours)	-968		
Time Variance (TV) (Months)	-0.97		
ACCOMPLISHMENTS			
Hours thru Latest Snapshot	5,200		
Cost thru Latest Snapshot	502,895		
Earned Value thru Latest Snapshot	4,162		
Earned Value % thru Latest Snapshot	31.51%		
Planned Hours thru Latest Snapshot	PPMC Options		×
Projected Productivity Lines/PM			
Projected Productivity Functions/PM	Terminology	Stendard	
	Forecasting Metho	od Basic	
	🗐 Show Baseline	WBS Elements	
	Show Current	Estimate in PPMC Charts	
	PPMC Report Opt	ions OK (ancel

CMMI Estimation Requires Effort, Schedule, Scope – Context Source CMMI Tutorial

CMMI Project Monitoring & Control – Context

Source CMMI Tutorial

© Galorath Incorporated 2006

The First Step To EVM Is A Workable Plan for Cost & Schedule

"...Using EVM properly puts a considerable burden on team members to plan and schedule projects -- skills often in short supply at federal agencies"

... Norman Enger, OMB

http://www.fcw.com/article91403-11-14-05-Print

CMMI Measurement & Analysis –

Context: Source CMMI Tutorial

Risk Management Source: CMMI Tutorial

Purpose:

Identify potential problems before they occur, so that risk handling activities may be planned and invoked as needed across the life of the product or project to mitigate adverse impacts on achieving objectives

SEER Provides the cost & schedule impacts of such risk

According to Mike Evans "We can tell them they have a problem. SEER can quantify what the impact is"

Foundation of Risk Management &
 Quantitative Project Management

"Earned Value Analysis" is an industry standard way to:

- 1. measure a project's progress
- 2. forecast its completion date and final cost
- 3. provide schedule and budget variances along the way.
- Provides consistent, numerical indicators with which you can evaluate and compare projects

Earned value (Performance Measurement) techniques are just as viable using effort for root level project management

- □ Effort Value units of labor; e.g.:
 - person-hours, staff-hours, effort-hours, labor-hours
 - person-months, staff-months, effort-months, labor-months

Earned Value Measures Support CMMI Tracking & Control Source: PMBOK & Galorath

- Baseline Budget Budget at Completion (BAC)
- Planned Value Budgeted Cost of Work Scheduled (BCWS aka PV)
 - Planned Value: Budgeted cost for work scheduled to be completed up to a given point in time
- Earned Value Budgeted
 Cost of Work Performed
 (BCWP aka EV)
 - Progress: Budgeted value for work actually completed during a given time period.
- Spent Actual Cost of the Work Performed (ACWP aka AC)
 - Actual cost (AC) Total cost accomplishing work during a given time period.

Figure 7-7. Illustrative Graphic Performance Report

Defects and Growth Impact Software Process

Parametric Project Monitoring & Control

Provides Performance Measurement aspects of ANSI/EIA-STD-748

 Adds Performance Measurement (*Earned Value*) methods to SEER-SEM

ALORATH

- Accepts progress & expenditure inputs
- Provides cost, schedule, and time variances
- Provides cost, schedule, & time indices
- Performance-based cost & schedule Estimate at Completion
- Displays health and status indicators

	WORSE	BETTER	NO CHANGE	NO CHANGE
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Defects & Size Growth Are Additional Core Metrics

Heath and Status Indicator shows status and trends from the previous snapshot

Including Size Growth and Defect Discovery/Removal Rate
User defined control limits to control the transition between red-yellow-green

"Dollarizing" Risks Source J. Hamaker

Parametric Modeling Supports CMMI

Parametric Cost Estimating Methods

Estimating methods based on physical or performance characteristics and schedules of the end items

Parametric Estimation Model Definition

- Mathematical representation of parametric estimating relationships that provides logical, predictable correlation between the physical or functional characteristics of a system, and the resultant cost, schedule, and other attributes of the system.
 - Comprised of cost estimating relationships (CERs) and other parametric estimating functions, e.g., cost quantity relationships, inflation factors, staff skills, schedules, etc.
 - Provides logical repeatable relationship between input variables and resultant cost

Example Simple Cost Estimating Relationship (CER) Shows Effort Based On Size

by GALORATH

Uncertainty and Risk (Source Q. Redman)

- A Point Estimate is never correct!
- There is always potential variability in inputs.

But...

- 26% of program managers do not accept risk assessment at all, not even "slightly".*
- 44% of risk ranges are intuitive judgments, without historical data or guidedsurvey.*

*U.S. Aerospace Risk Analysis Survey, Hollis M. black, III, SCEA Estimator October 1999 © Galorath Incorporated 2006

Advanced Development - Total 68,348 97,132 257,492 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 17,720 25,182 66,757 Advanced Development - Contractor 50,628 77,950 190,735 Program Management 2,448 5,103 19,100 System Engineering 4,805 7,731 33,425 Design Engineering 4,805 7,731 33,425 Software Development 14,064 14,805 16,285 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 1,034 13,847 System Integration 0 1,880 11,937 System Test and Evaluation 453 3,541 20,532 Data 136 1,013 6,528 Spares 0 627 2,865 Spares 0 528 2,515 System Develop. & Demo Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop. & Demo Total 186,649 202,635 267,623
Government In-House Costs @ 35% 17,720 25,182 66,757 Advanced Development - Contractor 50,628 71,950 190,735 Program Management 2,448 5,103 19,100 System Engineering 4,805 7,731 33,425 Design Engineering 4,805 7,731 33,425 Design Engineering 4,805 7,731 33,425 Software Development 14,064 14,805 16,285 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 1,034 13,847 System Integration 0 1,880 11,937 System Test and Evaluation 453 3,541 20,532 Data 136 1,013 6,526 Training 0 2,885 2,515 Very the Develop. & Demo Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,285
Advanced Development - Contractor 50,628 71,950 190,735 Program Management 2,448 5,103 19,100 System Engineering 4,805 7,731 33,425 Design Engineering 4,805 7,731 33,425 Design Engineering 4,526 9,980 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 24,150 25,421 27,963 Software Development 14,064 14,805 16,285 Tooling and Test Equipment 453 3,541 20,532 Data 136 1,013 6,526 Training 0 288 2,547 Facilities 0 627 2,865 Spares 0 528 2,515 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop, & Demo, - Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425
Program Management 2,448 5,103 19,100 System Engineering 4,805 7,731 33,425 Design Engineering 4,526 9,980 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 24,150 25,421 27,963 Software Development 14,064 14,805 16,285 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 1,034 13,847 System Integration 0 1,880 11,937 System Test and Evaluation 453 3,541 20,532 Data 136 1,013 6,526 Training 0 288 2,547 Facilities 0 627 2,865 Spares 0 528 2,515 Low Nominal High System Develop. & Demo Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 13,155
System Engineering 4.805 7,731 33.425 Design Engineering 4,526 9,980 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 24,150 25,421 27,963 Software Development 14,064 14,805 16,285 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 1,034 13,847 System Integration 0 1,880 11,937 System Test and Evaluation 453 3,541 20,532 Data 136 1,013 6,526 Training 0 288 2,547 Facilities 0 627 2,865 Spares 0 528 2,515 Low Nominal High System Develop. & Demo Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,688 System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,265 19,100 System Engineering 13,155<
Design Engineering 4.526 9.980 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 24,150 25,421 27,963 Software Development 14,064 14,805 16,285 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 1,034 13,847 System Integration 0 1,880 11,937 System Test and Evaluation 453 3,541 20,532 Data 136 1,013 6,526 Training 0 288 2,547 Facilities 0 627 2,865 Spares 0 528 2,515 Low Nominal High System Develop. & Demo Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,285 19,100 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 16,093 21,
Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 24,150 25,421 27,963 Software Development 14,064 14,805 16,285 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 1,034 13,847 System Integration 0 1,880 11,937 Data 136 1,013 6,526 Training 0 288 2,547 Facilities 0 627 2,865 Spares 0 528 2,515 Low Nominal High System Develop. & Demo Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,547 33,425 0,508 Design Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 0,532 31,933 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 85,865 90,385 99,423 3,153 Software Development 18,753
Software Development 14,064 14,805 16,285 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 1,034 13,847 System Integration 0 1,880 11,937 System Test and Evaluation 453 3,541 20,532 Data 136 1,013 6,526 Training 0 288 2,547 Facilities 0 627 2,865 Spares 0 528 2,515 Low Nominal High System Develop. & Demo Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,285 19,100 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 16,093 21,952 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 85,865 90,385 99,423 Software Development 18,753 19,740
Tooling and Test Equipment 45 1,034 13,847 System Integration 0 1,880 11,937 System Test and Evaluation 453 3,541 20,532 Data 136 1,013 6,526 Training 0 2,88 2,547 Facilities 0 627 2,865 Spares 0 528 2,515 Low Nominal High System Develop. & Demo Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,285 19,100 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 18,753 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Integration 0 <t< td=""></t<>
System Integration 0 1,880 11,937 System Test and Evaluation 453 3,541 20,532 Data 136 1,013 6,526 Training 0 288 2,547 Facilities 0 627 2,865 Spares 0 528 2,515 System Develop. & Demo Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,285 19,100 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Software Development 18,753 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Integration 0 6,623 2,547 Facilities 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0
System Test and Evaluation 453 3,541 20,532 Data 136 1,013 6,526 Training 0 288 2,547 Facilities 0 627 2,865 Spares 0 528 2,515 Low Nominal High System Develop. & Demo Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,285 19,100 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 16,093 21,952 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 85,865 90,385 99,423 Software Development 18,753 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Integration 0 6,623 2,547 Facilities 0
Data 136 1,013 6,526 Training 0 288 2,547 Facilities 0 627 2,865 Spares 0 528 2,515 Low Nominal High System Develop. & Demo Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,285 19,100 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 16,093 21,952 33,135 Hardware Maunfacturing (Prototype) 85,865 90,385 99,423 Software Development 18,753 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Integration 0 6,368 11,933 20,532 Data 136 3,432 6,526 52,87 53
I raning 0 288 2,547 Facilities 0 627 2,865 Spares 0 528 2,515 Low Nominal High System Develop. & Demo Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,285 19,100 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 16,093 21,952 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 85,865 90,385 99,423 Software Development 18,753 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Integration 0 6,623 2,547 Software Development Test and Evaluation 453 11,993 20,532 Data 136 3,432 6,526 Training <td< td=""></td<>
Facilities 0 627 2,865 Spares 0 528 2,515 Low Nominal High System Develop. & Demo Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,285 19,100 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 16,093 21,952 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 85,865 90,385 99,423 Software Development 18,753 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Integration 0 6,623 2,547 Data 136 3,432 6,526 Training 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,123 2,847 Spares 0 2,123
Spares 0 528 2,515 Low Nominal High System Develop, & Demo, - Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop, & Demo, - Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,285 19,100 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 16,093 21,952 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 85,865 90,385 99,423 Software Development 18,753 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Integration 0 6,388 11,933 20,532 Data 136 3,432 6,526 Training 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,323 2,515 More Nominal
Low Nominal High System Develop. & Demo Total 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,285 19,100 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 16,093 21,952 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 85,865 90,385 99,423 Software Development 18,753 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Integration 0 6,368 11,933 20,532 Data 136 3,432 6,526 Training 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,233 2,515 Mathematic training 0 2,149,874 3,162,081 6,722,475
System Develop. & Demo Iotal 184,881 273,557 361,292 Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,285 19,100 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 16,093 21,952 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 85,865 90,385 99,423 Software Development 18,753 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Integration 0 6,368 11,993 20,532 Data 136 3,432 6,526 Training 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,323 2,515 Mathematic Min-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Total 2,149,874 3,162,081
Government In-House Costs @ 35% 47,932 70,922 93,668 System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,635 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,285 19,100 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 16,093 21,952 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 85,865 90,385 99,423 Software Development 18,753 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Test and Evaluation 453 11,993 20,532 Data 136 3,432 6,526 Training 0 6,623 2,547 Facilities 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,323 2,515 Low Nominal High Procurement - Total 2,149,874 3,162,081 6,722,475 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement -
System Develop. & Demo Contractor 136,949 202,633 267,623 Program Management 2,448 17,285 19,100 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 16,093 21,952 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 85,865 90,385 99,423 Software Development 18,753 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Integration 0 6,368 11,933 20,532 Data 136 3,432 6,526 Training 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,123 2,865 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Total 2,149,874 3,162,081 6,722,475 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495
Program Management 2,448 17,253 19,100 System Engineering 13,155 17,547 33,425 Design Engineering 16,093 21,952 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 85,865 90,385 99,423 Software Development 18,753 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Integration 0 6,368 11,993 20,532 Data 136 3,432 6,526 Training 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,123 2,865 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Total 2,52% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Program Management 3,60,07 116,424 551,182 537,1800 Program Management 36,03 98,129 367,455
System Engineering 13,155 17,347 33,425 Design Engineering 16,093 21,952 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 85,865 90,385 99,423 Software Development 18,753 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Integration 0 6,368 11,993 20,532 Data 136 3,432 6,526 Training 0 6,623 2,547 Facilities 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,323 2,515 Low Nominal High Procurement - Total 2,149,874 3,162,081 6,722,475 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Contractor 1,719,899 2,529,665 5,377,980 Program Management 36,007 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
Design Engineering 16,093 21,992 33,193 Hardware Manufacturing (Prototype) 85,865 90,385 99,423 Software Development 18,753 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Integration 0 6,368 11,933 20,532 Data 136 3,432 6,526 Training 0 6,623 2,547 Facilities 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,323 2,515 Low Nominal High Procurement - Total 2,149,874 3,162,081 6,722,475 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Contractor 1,719,899 2,529,665 5,377,980 Program Management 36,007 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003
Low Nominal High Procurement - Total 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Total 36,007 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
Software Development 16,733 19,740 21,714 Tooling and Test Equipment 45 2,866 13,847 System Integration 0 6,368 11,937 System Test and Evaluation 453 11,993 20,532 Data 136 3,432 6,526 Training 0 6,623 2,547 Facilities 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,323 2,515 Low Nominal High Procurement - Total 2,149,874 3,162,081 6,722,475 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Contractor 1,719,899 2,529,665 5,77,980 Program Management 36,007 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
Low Nominal High Procurement - Total 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Contractor 1,797 2,526 5,77,890 6,572,475 System Test and Evaluation 453 11,993 20,532 2,547 Training 0 6,623 2,547 Facilities 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,323 2,515 Low Nominal High Procurement - Total 2,149,874 3,162,081 6,722,475 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Program Management 36,007 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
Oystern Trest and Evaluation 0 0,360 11,933 20,532 Data 136 3,432 6,526 Training 0 6,623 2,547 Facilities 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,323 2,515 Low Nominal High Procurement - Total 2,149,874 3,162,081 6,722,475 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Contractor 1,719,899 2,529,665 5,377,980 Program Management 36,007 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
Ass 1,993 20,532 Data 136 3,432 6,526 Training 0 6,623 2,547 Facilities 0 2,123 2,865 Spares 0 2,323 2,515 Low Nominal High Procurement - Total 2,149,874 3,162,081 6,722,475 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Contractor 1,719,899 2,529,665 5,377,980 Program Management 36,007 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
Low Nominal High Procurement - Total 2,547 3,162,081 6,722,475 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Contractor 1,719,899 2,529,665 5,77,980 Program Management 3,607 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
Low Nominal High Procurement - Total 2,149,874 3,162,081 6,722,475 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Contractor 1,719,899 2,529,665 5,377,800 Program Management 36,007 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
Decknists O 2,123 2,055 Spares 0 2,323 2,515 Low Nominal High Procurement - Total 2,149,874 3,162,081 6,722,475 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Contractor 1,719,899 2,529,665 5,377,980 Program Management 36,007 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
Low Nominal High Procurement - Total 2,149,874 3,162,081 6,722,475 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Contractor 1,719,899 2,529,665 5,377,980 Program Management 36,007 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
Procurement - Total 2,149,874 3,162,081 6,722,475 Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Contractor 1,719,899 2,529,665 5,377,980 Program Management 36,007 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
Government In-House Costs @ 25% 429,975 632,416 1,344,495 Procurement - Contractor 1,719,899 2,529,665 5,377,980 Program Management 36,007 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
Procurement - Contractor 1,719,899 2,529,665 5,377,980 Program Management 36,007 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
Program Management 36,007 116,424 551,182 System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
System Engineering 18,003 98,129 367,455
Design Engineering 0 28,274 124,935
Hardware Manufacturing 1,497,878 1,576,714 1,734,385
Software Development 77,994 82,099 90,309
Tooling and Test Equipment 18,003 83,576 367,455
System Integration 18,003 107,276 367,455
System Test and Evaluation 23,404 144,698 492,389
Data 3,601 33,680 110,236
Training 9,002 30,769 80,840
Facilities 0 7,484 36,745
Spares 18,003 142,703 551,182
Operational Site Activation 0 77,838 503,413
Low Nominal High
O&S Costs - Partial Total 4,241,190 5,173,716 8,377,451
Fielding 747,220 913,742 1,485,838
Sustainment (O&S) 2,689,990 3,289,472 5,349,016
Spares and Repair Parts 747,220 913,742 1,485,838
Personnel 56,760 56,760 56,760

7 Characteristics of a Dysfunctional Software Project (Source: Mike Evans, et al.)

- Failure to Apply Essential Project Management Practices 57%
- Unwarranted Optimism and Unrealistic Management Expectations 41%
- Failure to Implement Effective Software Processes 30%
- Premature Victory Declarations 20%
- Lack of Program Management Leadership 13%
- Untimely Decision-Making 8%
- Lack of Proactive Risk Management 3%

Estimation Lessons Learned

The longer the development schedule the higher the risk of key personnel turnover

EVM shouldn't be used alone

Other metrics are necessary to be kept in concert with the EVM metrics in order to keep a project on track

Measure early

- Before trouble
- Early milestones that are under-budget are not necessarily a good sign
- Programs that skimp on the early, upfront planning; requirements and design work, will most likely be in trouble later.
- Follow known, proven development processes
- Estimation, planning, tracking, controlling then using the information to do better next time
- Recognize that you have a problem