

9th Annual NDIA Systems Engineering Conference October 23-26, 2006, San Diego, CA

Cost Efficient Risk Management through Integrated T&E throughout the Systems Engineering Life-Cycle

Josh Tribble

MILITARY ANALYST AVW TECHNOLOGIES

Phone: 757-361-9587 E-mail: tribble@avwtech.com 860 Greenbrier Circle, Suite 305 Chesapeake, VA 23320 http://www.avwtech.com





<u>Agenda</u>

- Introduction
 - Acquisition humor
 - Complexity challenge = increasing risk
 - Intro to Integrated T&E

Integrated T&E within systems engineering to manage risk

- Alignment of T&E processes within systems engineering process to identify risks early and often
- Integration of T&E organizations/processes within iterative systems engineering throughout acquisition life cycle as a key component of risk mitigation
- Enablers to implement IT&E within a program
 - Risk based T&E planning and reporting
 - AVW IT&E Database Toolset
 - Other recommendations for implementing IT&E
- Conclusion/ Q&A



How the How the How the How How the user described it the requirement contractor **PM/sponsor** programmer was understood described it designed it wrote it How the project How the helpdesk What was How the What the user Government was was documented actually installed supported it really needed billed

How do we avoid this?



<u>Complexity</u> <u>Challenge</u>

Open Architecture/Systems
Complex C4I—GIG/FORCEnet
Joint Interoperability
Emerging Technology
& Materials
Capabilities Based
Requirements
CAIV

•More difficult to develop •More difficult to test •Compressed timelines •Compressed budgets •MORE RISK...& HIGHER COSTS





DT

- Test to specs.
- Limited test environment perhaps in lab
- Focused on a specific set of criteria.
- Test threshold values not capability
- Critical technical parameters
- Integration testing designed around minimum performance criteria and interface spec.
- May not address all threats or missions.
 Employ and assess doctrine/TTP
- CT adds contractual issues





THIS MUST TRĂNSFORM INTO A **CONTINUUM OF TESTING**

- Increasing fidelity of technical and operational assessments
- **Cooperating organizations**
- **Reduced budget and timeline ?**
- Team/IPT structure not competitive

OT

- Operational environment & threat with end users & support
- End-to-end mission perf. & support
- Production representative; system/ family of systems
- Test overall capability of an item to meet user's mission needs and value added for mission accomplishment.
- Test the limitations and capabilities of an item so that:
- Independent IOT&E & LFT&E mandates (Title X)

















INTEGRATED T&E & SYSTEMS ENGINEERING

Ability to influence system design

System maturity & design/ upgrade cost



Ability to influence system design

System maturity & design/ upgrade cost



Enablers to implement in IT&E for risk-management

- Actually implementing a process for IT&E with adequate buy-in is the first step
- Use software tools to step through planning and reporting processes and document IT&E
- Implement risk based test planning and reporting
- Other recommendations to follow...

AVW IT&E Database Capabilities

 Development of COIs/CTPs Determining MOE/MOS/MOP Traceability between test objectives and their measures with annotated requirements/references

in a logical experimental design

Objective

Threshold

• Identification of discrete data elements and requirements for a given test objective linked to various test events/scenarios

• Resource and cost estimation to support TEMP, budget programming, test planning, and other efforts including ties for each resource to test objectives.

AVW IT&E Database Capabilities

- Rapid test reporting
- Lessons learned tracking in standard Joint/Service Lessons Learned formats
- Long term archiving of test results and program status

AVW IT&E Database Capabilities

- Traceability of test results to test event to objectives to parent requirements
- Risk based issue assessment
- Rapid reporting of issues
- Long term archiving of test results and program status
- User tailored reports to assess risks by function, mission area, system, req., etc.

allocation.

Risk Based Test Planning & Resourcing

Probability of Occurrence	Consequence	5	4	3	2	1
\mathbf{A} – Frequently occurs during tests/operations (prob	~ 1.0)	II	П	Ι	Ι	Ι
B – Probably will occur during tests/operations		Π	П	II	Ι	Ι
C – Occasionally may occur during tests/operations	(prob ~ 0.5)	III	П	II	II	Ι
D – Remote chance to occur during tests/operations		III	ш	Π	II	Π
\mathbf{E} – Not likely to occur during tests/operations (prob	~ 0)	III	ш	III	II	Π
 2: sig pri mission degradation w/o a work-around, se 3: major secondary mission degradation w/o work-ar 4: minor degradation/impact to primary and seconda 5: no impact to mission but operator annoyance or re Risk Levels: I: High Risk – The spec/req/capability req significan pri for resource allocation; more test runs/ conditio required before integrating tests II: Moderate Risk – Requires some dedicated DT and before integrated tests completely III: Low/Manageable Risk – Little to no independen 	condary mission failu cound; pri mission deg ry missions ecommended enhance nt CT, some independ ns permutations than d OT; medium resour	ire, or gradat ment lent D other ce pri	T and tests;	Safety Work OT; 1 most s less so	hazar -arou highes scrutir crutiny	d nd st iy 7

This supports TEMP test event and resource allocation + detailed test planning; removes much of subjectivity surrounding allocation of scarce testing funding.

Risk Based Test Reporting

Probability of Occurrence Consequence	5	4	3	2	1			
A – Frequently occurs during tests/operations (prob ~ 1.0)	II	Π	Ι	Ι	Ι			
B – Probably will occur during tests/operations	II	Π	II	Ι	Ι	This could		
C – Occasionally may occur during tests/operations (prob ~ 0.5)	III	Π	Π	Π	Ι	be tied		
D – Remote chance to occur during tests/operations	III	ш	II	Π	Π	directly to		
\mathbf{E} – Not likely to occur during tests/operations (prob ~ 0)	III	ш	III	Π	Π	risk register		
 Consequence Levels: 1: prevents accomplishment of primary mission or presents a serious safety hazard 2: sig pri mission degradation w/o a work-around, secondary mission failure, or mod safety hazard 3: major secondary mission degradation w/o work-around; pri mission degradation w/ work-around 4: minor degradation/impact to primary and secondary missions 5: no impact to mission but operator annoyance or recommended enhancement Risk Levels: I: High Risk – resolve prior to fielding & conduct major re-test of mission area prior to fielding with the most resources applied 								
 II: Moderate Risk – resolve prior to fielding and re-test the specific requirement as soon as possible (depending on the requirement, re-test may be allowed to be conducted during follow-on T&E after fielding); apply moderate amount of resources to re-test III: Low/Manageable Risk – resolve when possible but does not impact fielding; re-test at next available previously planned test event; lowest prioritization for test resources 								

Additional Recommendations

- Fully implement IT&E top-down and institutionalize with PEO/PM orgs
- closer align T&E Strategy/TEMP, Systems Engineering Management Plan, and Acquisition Strategy
- Maximize test data and usage of that data across test programs and fully align results to the program's risk registry
- Conduct assessment and testing as early as possible and with all organizations to support risk mitigation
- More test objective to requirements traceability in the TEMP
- Service T&E reorganize to Enterprise business model to drive IT&E plus alignment with JT&E, DOT&E

Additional

Recommendations (cont')

- Implement more systems engineering rigor across T&E
- Collect metrics on early risk mitigation efforts of T&E
- Develop and field in consolidated baselines to reduce testing, integrate across programs not just within
- Stress to threats and operating environments early and often
- Change T&E score-card to a risk assessment vs. capabilities; continuous feedback throughout tests; foster more cooperation including leveraging JT&E, Experimentation, Training Exercises
- Increase PM focus on life cycle, HSI, other factors beyond technical mission performance
- Coordinate use of standard statistical methodology for T&E including DOE, Lean 6 Sigma, etc.

(See paper from 2005 conference for discussion)

Questions?

Backups

Author Bio

Former Naval office <

- Active Duty: Surface Warfare Officer
 - Tomahawk, Aegis warfare experience + HM&E
 - COMOPTEVFOR Operational Test Director for land attack
 warfare systems
- Reserve: OIC of Navy Reserve Embarked Security Det
- Current AVW experience
 - LPD-17 air defense (P_{RA}) M&S management
 - Amphibious ship combat systems T&E
 - Joint Maritime Assault Connector JCIDS analysis
 - Current project: DD(X) OT&E support focusing on IOT&E planning, OA execution, M&S, and total ship test management

~6 years acquisition experience focusing on T&E and systems engineering

Josh Tribble Military Analyst

Phone: 757-361-9587 E-mail: tribble@avwtech.com 860 Greenbrier Circle, Suite 305 Chesapeake, VA 23320 http://www.avwtech.com

Company Profile

Professional Engineering Services

ORD, ICD, CDD, TEMP, Systems Engineering, Systems Integration, M&S Management

Test and Evaluation Support

TEMP, DT/OT, Test Management, Test Plans, Execution, Data Collection, Analysis

Shipbuilder Engineering Management Consulting

Systems Engineering, Systems Integration, M&S Management

Contract Vehicles:

Obtained GSA PES schedule CY04 NAVSEA MAC member thru JJMA and CSC NAVSEA Seaport

Corporate Highlights:

Total Ship / System of Systems Focus Expeditionary Warfare Expertise Mission Focused Systems Engineering and Analysis Matrix support leverage full corporate capabilities 35 military analysts and IT/admin support Small veteran owned business since 2002 *Headquarters in Chesapeake, VA*

INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS TO THE CHALLENGES OF THE FUTURE

