Event Time Analysis in Multi Mission Scenarios with System Simulation Models

Ravi Moorthy Lockheed Martin – MS2 856.638.7105 - ravi.k.moorthy@lmco.com

Topics



- Abstract
- **Definitions**
- Multi Mission Scenarios and Missions
- Analysis Methodology
- Event Timeline
- Simulation Models
- Performance Metrics
- Sensor Resource Analysis
- System Concept Development Derivation

Abstract

This paper presents an event time line analysis using system simulation models in multi mission scenarios

Radar resource usage is evaluated for the assessment of the multi mission capability of the combat system

The impact of radar resource availability is evaluated in scheduling the events along the engagement timeline using the system simulation models

Definitions



- AAW Anti Air Warfare
- Sensors Scanning and Tracking Radars
- Multi Mission Combined Missions requiring Simultaneous Tracking and engagements for Ballistic, Air or Surface Targets
- Radar Resource Usage Radar time required to schedule the beams for search and track

Scenarios and Mission



General Engagement Timeline Model



Multi-Mission Scenario



• Goal

 Assess Naval Ship Combat System capability to simultaneously perform multiple Missions

- Typical Operational Scenario
 - A single ship is tasked with both mission A and a mission B
 - The ship will require management of radar resources to achieve both missions

Analysis Methodology

- Mission specific system simulation models are used individually to determine individual mission performance
- With post processing the radar resource usage is analyzed for combined event time lines of the missions
- Alternate system concepts can be derived from the analysis
- By managing priorities with some of the events, radar resources can be managed to provide capability for both missions

Multi-Mission Capability Analysis Methodology



Combined analysis results for multi-mission scenario along the event timeline to evaluate whether a single ship system can simultaneously perform A and B missions

Filename, 5/3/01 8

Simulation Models

• System simulation models specific to missions were used

- Event driven simulation models
- High fidelity representation of radar and weapon systems in the models
- System simulation models were run individually for mission A and mission B
- Output data was combined for event time analysis
- Post processing of the data with MATLAB and Excel scripts

Resource Analysis Methodology

- Event timeline versus available sensor resources
- Intersection of multiple events create stresses on sensor resources
- Analyze the initial intersection of events against mission priority to determine unsupportable events
 - Event set 1 is higher priority then event set 2
 - Event set 1 is given the system resources
- Determine baseline measurement of effectiveness

Resource Analysis Methodology

- Determine if sufficient time is available to reschedule an unsupportable event.
 - If not reschedule-able, then event timeline is not supportable
- Shift event data (apply system concept) and re-analyze remaining events
- Determine applied system concept measurement of effectiveness



Radar Resource Usage in a Mission B Scenario



Performance Metrics



- Number of supportable engagement events along the timeline
- Number of targets not engaged due to resource limitations

Derived System Concept

- The engagement event time lines for the mission are built from the output data of the Monte Carlo Simulation runs
 - Determine peak supportable events and system resource usage
 - Determine total supportable event timelines allowing for rescheduling
- Derive observations on system concept functionality required to support a revised total supportable event timeline

About the Authors

Ravi Moorthy

- Lead Systems Performance Analyst in Modeling and Operations Analysis group of System of Systems Engineering of LM-MS2. Current responsibilities include System Performance Assessment and Analysis with System Simulation Models
- B.E in Electrical Engineering from India; M.E.E from University of Delaware; MBA from Monmouth University and M.S. in Computer Sciences from NJIT

About the Authors

Todd M. Brown – AAW Systems Performance Analyst in System of Systems Engineering of LM-MS2. Currently Multi Mission Analysis Lead for BMD 3.6.1 system design.
B.A. in Mathematic and Economics from Franciscan University; M.S. in Applied Mathematics from Rutgers University

About the Authors

Paolo Trinchieri

- Senior Systems Performance Analyst in Modeling and Operations Analysis group of System of Systems Engineering of LM MS2. Currently Lead System Analyst for BMD System Design
- B.S.E in Chemical Engineering from University of Pennsylvania; MBA from Pamplin School of Business at Virginia Tech