Reliability-based Design, Development and Sustainment

NDIA's 2007 T&E Conference: T&E in Support of Operational Suitability, Effectiveness and Sustainment of Deployed Systems

Dr. Anne Hillegas and Dr. Justin Wu, ARA 15 March 2007



S1SP ARA0127-1

Briefing Overview

- Description of reliability-based methods
- Applications and results
- Implications of reliability-based methods for T&E
- Challenges
- Path ahead



ARA BUSINESS AREAS

- National Defense
- Transportation
- Security Risk & Disaster Management
- Geotechnical & Environmental Technologies
- Computer Software & Supporting Technologies



The Battlefield . . .











Probabilistic Function Evaluation System





Introduction

- Reliability-based methods are those that
 - Use the probability of failure as a criterion in the design process
- These methods contribute to suitability, effectiveness and sustainability by
 - Improving system performance
 - Increasing operational readiness
 - Reducing unnecessary intervention or maintenance
 - Managing spare parts inventories
 - Reducing technical and operational risk
- Other benefits of these methods
 - Provide predicted performance across a range of metrics
 - Support decision-makers by highlighting trade-offs in performance and RAM



The "Magic" behind the Methods

These methods involve

- Applying probability distributions to uncertainties
- Using physics-based modeling to assess the impact of these uncertain factors on system performance
- Balancing system design features and inspection intervals against risk



Physics-based Probabilistic Analysis

PRObabilistic Function Evaluation System



Reliability-based Methods that support Suitability, Effectiveness and Sustainability

- Reliability-based multidisciplinary optimization (RBMDO)
- Reliability-based damage tolerance (RBDT)



Reliability-based Multi-disciplinary Optimization (RBMDO)

- Optimizes performance subject to multiple reliabilitybased constraints
- Incorporates multi-disciplinary objectives/models (e.g., payload, aerodynamics, shape parameters, weight,...)
- Accomplishes higher performance over independent optimization of each discipline



RBMDO Application – Aircraft Wing Design

- 3804 finite element nodes 3770 finite elements (2222 shells + 1548 beams) 22 material properties 47 shell element properties Upper cover panel Main landing gear fitting Bulkheads Rear spar Lower cover panel Front spar
- NASTRAN model of Advanced Composite Technology (ACT) wing
- Baseline aircraft: proposed 190-passenger, two-class, transport aircraft
- Critical Design conditions derived from DC-10-10 and MD-90-30

Expanding the Realm of Possibility

Performance-based objective and reliability-



Weight is reduced while reliability is improved



Expanding the Realm of Possibility

Another Application – Radiation Detector Sustainment



Expanding the Realm of Possibility

Reliability-Based Damage Tolerance (RBDT) Framework

Fully Integrated Finite Element stress, Fracture Mechanics life and ProFES analyses



Reliability-Based Damage Tolerance (RBDT) Methodology for Rotorcraft Structures

- Project sponsored by FAA
- Critical structures must maintain very small probability of failure
- Supplement current "safe-life" design approach (which tends to be too conservative)
- Systematically treat variability/uncertainty in:
 - usage, load, flaw, material, geometry, modeling error, defect detection capability
- Maintenance planning for:
 - Non-Destructive Inspection, inspection frequencies, repair/replacement
- Has wide applicability to structures with material or manufacturing flaws
 - Select appropriate NDI interval
 - Optimize sustainment strategies

| | Deterministic | Probabilistic | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Underlying Principles | Bounds or Safety Factors | Probability & confidence | |
| Flaw/Defect size | A given crack size | Probabilistic distribution | |
| Flaw Existence | Certain (Safe-Life) | 0 < Probability < 1 | |
| Inspection Schedule | Life/No. of inspections | Optimized schedules for max. risk reduction | |
| Safety Measure | Safety margin | Reliability | |
| Other Variables | Bounds or Safety Factors | Distributions | |





RBDT Application -- Rotorcraft Spindle Lug Model



Reference R = 0.25m, Thickness = 67 mm, Initial flaw size = 0.4 mm

Random variables for the lug model

| | Distribution | Mean | SD | Cov (%) |
|------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|---------|
| Thickness, t (mm) | LN | 28 | 0.14 | 0.50 |
| Max. load (N) | LN | 145000 | 10000 | 6.9 |
| Initial flaw size (mm) | User-defined | 0.074 | 0.0224 | 30.2 |
| Delta K _{th} | LN | 48 | 4 | 8.33 |
| Life scatter | LN | 1 | 0.1 | 10.0 |

Goal – Optimize inspection schedule to minimize risk



RBDT Yields Optimal Inspection Schedule

Systematic approach for probabilistic fracture mechanics damage tolerance analysis with maintenance planning under various uncertainties

Stage 1: compute risk without inspection

Stage 2: compute risk with inspection by simulating inspection and maintenance effects using the samples generated from the Stage 1 failure domain





Reliability-based Damage Tolerance Application Pipeline Maintenance Optimization

- Corrosive environments cause metal losses
- Two major failure modes with uncertainties
 - Burst can cause a high consequence but is less likely to occur
 - Leak has a low consequence but is more likely to occur
- In-line inspection devices can detect significant defects
- Options to maintain integrity at different risk/cost:
 - Defect monitoring using high resolution ILI devices (cost issue)
 - Repair/replace sections (cost issue)
 - Reduce operating pressure (production loss)
 - Corrosion mitigation (cost issue)
- Objective
 - Develop maintenance optimization software using ILI data and probabilistic failure and cost models



In Line Inspection

Magnetic Flux Leakage and other ILI devices can travel through pipelines to detect metal losses



Pipeline Maintenance Example



Another Application – Robotic/Unmanned Systems Design



Implications for T&E



Wrap-up

- Accomplishments
 - Tools for taking a reliability-based approach to design and sustainment that can result in cost savings and risk reduction
 - Traceable predictions of reliability and performance changes
 - Computationally fast and efficient methods
- Challenges
 - Scalability of approach
 - Understanding material failure properties
 - Cascade of variable and interrelationships
 - Non-unitized structures
 - "User friendliness"
 - Enable use by decision-makers



Path Ahead

- Mature/prove methods for specifying probability distributions
 - ARA's Klein Associates Division (cognitive scientists)
- Refine the understanding of the impact and interaction of the uncontrollable random variables
- Accelerate collection of data to inform physics-based models
- Continue to evolve cost- and time-effective testing approaches for verifying reliability

