

### **52<sup>nd</sup> NDIA Fuze Conference**

"Smart Fuzing – Adding Intelligence to Fuzing Solutions"

#### Air Force Acquisition Strategy 13-15 May 2008





Michael W. Campbell Director, 679<sup>th</sup> ARSS Eglin AFB, FL DSN 872-8787, ext 2328







- Provide information on what we are doing at the Air Armament Center to improve our program results
- Foster alignment of expectations between our Government teams and our Industry counterparts
- Get creative juices flowing on "both sides of the table" to develop better ways to establish and execute our programs
- Integrate and rationalize improvement efforts

#### On-Time, On Cost... CRADLE-TO-GRAVE! For Our Warfighters



**U.S. AIR FORCE** 







## 308th Armament Systems Wing





Col Michelle Miller Peck Vice Director 850-883-0687 (DSN 875)



Dr. L. Bruce Simpson, SES Director 850-883-0673 (DSN 875)



John S. Batog Vice Director Navy 850-882-8623 (DSN 872)



Christopher L. Clay Technical Director 850-883-3083 (DSN 875)



Gary A. Williams Chief of Contracting 850-883-0688 (DSN 875)



Della R. McPhail Chief Financial Officer 850-883-0304 (DSN 875)



Margaret R. Villagran Chief of Logistics 850-883-0674 (DSN 875)



Col John R. Griggs Commander 308th ARSG 850-883-5340 (DSN 875)



Col Scott Rumph Terrence May Commander Director 328th ARSG 408th ARSG 850-883-0343 (DSN 875) 850-883-3801 (DSN 875)



Lynda Rutledge Director 708th ARSG 850-882-3525 x3311 (DSN 872)



Col Cyril Socha Commander 728th ARSG 850-883-1088 (DSN 875)



Brian Rutledge Director 808th ARSG 850-883-3531 (DSN 875)



Col Richard D. Justice Commander 918th ARSG 850-882-7321 x2253 DSN (872)





#### LtGen Hoffman

On restoring credibility in Air Force acquisition:

... I mentioned the widespread view that our weapon systems cost too much and take longer than predicted. We need to ensure "false optimism" does not cloud our judgment. I am a natural optimist, but we need to be firmly grounded in reality as we assess program risk. Wanting something badly does not make it happen. This is especially important as we start a program...do we have a solid lock on the requirements, have we included all the elements of the program, do we have our best estimate of cost and schedule? Once we define the start of the program, we will be forever measured by that definition so take the time to do it right.

We are on a quest to launch more realistic programs and bolster existing programs.





- Safe, effective, <u>reliable</u>, affordable products on time and <u>within budget</u>
- Ownership of product health without legal wrangling
- Realistic marketing and budget entries
- Technical competencies to leverage leading edge technologies
- Agility to surge or decrease production and respond to changing rule-sets



# Integrating & Rationalizing Improvement Efforts



#### **Sub-Functional Pillars**

Systems Engineering

**Cost Estimating** 

Reliability

**Technology & Integration Readiness** 

**Manufacturing Readiness** 

Logistics Health Assessment

Risk Assessment & Management

Programming

**Financial Execution** 

Earned Value Management System

Award & Incentive Fees

Contractor Performance Assessment Report

#### Integrate and Rationalize for Workable Program Constructs







- 1) Relying on COTS/NDI to meet Robust Military Requirements
  - Systems Engineering Staffing and Tasks
- 2) Going into SDD without RR or "SDD-readiness" phase
- 3) Lack of early wind tunnel and instrumented captive carry
- 4) Program Plans, Budget, IBR missing key elements
  - Explicit requirements/verification of captive carry and in-flight reliabilities and service life
  - Transition to Production
  - Reliability Growth program
  - Safety-of-flight analyses at design reviews
- 5) Contractors decline to make an offer
- 6) Back-loaded development budget

<sup>\*</sup>From Study of Troubled Weapon Programs 1997-2007



# High-Confidence Programs Key Characteristics\*



- Good "Should-Cost" Estimate
- Budget/Cost Estimate alignment
- Approved time-phased CDD requirements
- Program office resourcing
- Requirements stability
- Budget stability mechanism
- Incremental program plan
- Short-duration capability release/production schedules
- SDD phase no greater than 6 years
- Technical maturity assessment thresholds met
- Integrated sustainment and depot strategy
- Realistic test planning; Approved IOT&E plan
- Life-cycle acquisition strategy time certain success incentive
- Executing according to "plan"
- Probability of Program Success (PoPS) measures

#### \* As Defined by Develop & Sustain Weapon Systems (D&SWS) design teams







<u>Engineering</u>: Design, verification, manufacturing, and quality, throughout voluminous supplier base -- determines fate of programs.



DILBERT 3 United Feature Syndicate, Inc. Redistribution in whole or in part prohibited.



START AGGRESSIVE SDD ASAP



**Accurate Cost Estimates** 



#### **U.S. AIR FORCE**



© Scott Adams, Inc./Dist. by UFS, Inc.







**U.S. AIR FORCE** 

SDD is where we spend most of the development dollars, and determine most of the production and sustainment costs for Weapons.

#### Structure <u>ROBUST</u> <u>SDD-Readiness</u> <u>Phase</u> to size a <u>realistic</u> SDD phase

- Block requirements into increments Deliver in 3-6 year SDD phases
- Execute SDD-Readiness contract(s) for each increment
- Demonstrate technologies in robust environment
- Mature design to PDR or later
- Build SDD <u>plan</u> including Integrated Baseline Review (Design, V&V, OT, Transition to production and support) during readiness phase
- Program funds to realistic cost estimate based on detailed SDD Plan and rigorous benchmarking

# Conduct Rigorous Milestone A & B Reviews whether required or not

Launch incremental and realistic SDD phases that position programs for long term success





**U.S. AIR FORCE** 

U.S. Taxpayer,

Government and **Industry Team** 

**Profits New Business** Reputation

Stockholders, Corporate

Develop and Execute Win-Win Strategies in an Atmosphere of **Teamwork and Trust** 

# Win-Win Business Arrangement

Affordable, Combat

**Ready Products to** 











# Hard Target Void Sensing Fuze









- System Description: Potential Joint Program (AF/Navy/FMS)
  - Capable of defeating hardened and deeply buried targets
  - Increased survivability over inventory hard target fuzes
  - Capable of sensing multiple voids
  - Used with legacy penetrators BLU-109 and BLU-113/122
- Program Priorities
  - #1 Maturity (Risk)
  - #2 Performance
  - #3 Cost



# U.S. AIR FORCE Technology Readiness Levels Program Planning





# Manufacturing Readiness Levels Program Planning



#### Producibility/Manufacturing key to acq strategy

- Initial MRL assessments made w/in 60 days after contract award
- Leverage ManTech Support
- Iterative Contractor MRRs & Government PRRs in JCTD and SDD
- Critical Process Elements
  - Identified for each offeror in the JCTD Phase
  - Maturity of elements considered in the down-select process

Planning to achieve MRL 6 during JCTD









Acquisition Strategy Acquisition Approach



#### JCTD – Full and Open Competition

- Carry two contractors through JCTD for 27 months
- Firm Fixed Price Contract \$8.85M per contractor plus option for 20 residuals
- Rolling down-select for SDD and Production

#### SDD – Sole Source Contract to JCTD Winner

- Cost Plus Fixed Fee with Incentives for Cost, Schedule, and Performance (small FF, larger incentive at end)
- Estimated Contract Value \$42.4M for 33 months



Acquisition Approach (control)

Acquisition Approach (cont'd)



#### Production – Sole Source Contract to SDD Contractor

- Firm Fixed Price
- Estimated Contract Value \$195M (1 Basic plus 4 Options)

#### Sustainment

- Warranty 10-year service life/20 year shelf life included in production price
- Limited maintenance requirements
  - No spares, training, or additional manpower required
- Life Cycle Surveillance Testing every 3 years
- Transition to ALC by 2018
- Estimated Sustainment: \$29M thru 2035
  - Includes LCSTS, Software Upgrades, Labor for Periodic Maintenance, and Support Equipment



### Acquisition Strategy JCTD Evaluation Criteria



#### Proposal Risk

- PR-1 Capability Risk Technical Maturity
- PR-2 Capability Risk Manufacturing Maturity
- PR-3 IMP/IMS Risk

#### Mission Capability

- MC-1 Mission Systems Capability
- MC-2 Manufacturing Capability
- MC-3 Small Business Participation

#### Past Performance

- PP-1 Adherence to Cost and Schedule
- PP-2 Systems Engineering

#### Cost/Price

- CP-1 Cost/Price Realism Risk
- CP-2 Price Reasonableness

Proposal Risk is more important than Past Performance, Mission Capability, and Cost/Price. Past Performance and Mission Capability are of equal importance with Cost/Price being significantly less important.



Factor Order of Precedence



- Proposal Risk Most Important
- Mission Capability ~
- >Past Performance -



Cost/Price – Least Important

Proposal Risk is more important than Past Performance, Mission Capability, and Cost/Price. Past Performance and Mission Capability are of equal importance with Cost/Price being significantly less important than any other factor. All evaluation factors other than cost or price, when combined, are significantly more important than Cost/Price; however, Cost/Price will contribute substantially to the selection decision.



Factor/Sub-factor Order of Precedence



Equal Importance

- Proposal Risk -- Most Important
  - PR-1 Capability Risk Technical Maturity
  - PR-2 Capability Risk Manufacturing Maturity
  - PR-3 IMP/IMS Risk
- Mission Capability -- Equally Important to Past Performance
  - MC-1 Mission Systems Capability
  - MC-2 Manufacturing Capability
  - MC-3 Small Business Participation Least Important
- Past Performance -- Equally Important to Mission Capability
  - PP-1 Adherence to Cost and Schedule
  - PP-2 Systems Engineering
- Cost/Price -- Least Important, but Contributes Substantially
  - CP-1 Cost/Price Realism Risk
  - CP-2 Price Reasonableness

Equal Importance

Equal Importance

**Equal Importance** 



Acquisition Strategy Exit Criteria for JCTD



- Fuze must survive and function during fuze demo while penetrating 5-15K+ psi targets
- Fuze must demonstrate successful capability for detecting and counting more than one void during target penetration
- Fuze must demonstrate time-delay capabilities
- Fuze must demonstrate cockpit programmability
- Fuze must demonstrate trend toward affordability goal
- Assess manufacturing capability to produce up to 100 fuzes per month





#### Source Selection for JCTD Phase Complete

- Awarded two JCTD contracts 31 Mar 08
  - Alliant Techsystems (ATK), Plymouth MN
  - Thales Missile Electronics, Basingstoke Hampshire UK

#### JCTD is a Risk Reduction or Pre-SDD Phase

- Heavy focus on Technical & Manufacturing Maturity prior to entering SDD
- First Time Using Dual Source Risk Reduction Phase on Air Force Fuze Program to Mitigate Program Risk



It's a Journey



Move our culture to one that Warfighters and Stakeholders can depend on for realistic expectations, high quality, high performing, and affordable products.

Vision: War Winning Capabilities...On Time, On Cost!



It's a Journey



#### Judy A. Stokley Deputy PEO and Executive Director Air Armament Center

- "Wouldn't it be wonderful if the DoD had to develop a process to allocate the margin we did not spend each year instead of determining which programs to terminate or stretch out due to cost and schedule overruns?"
- "Wouldn't it be wonderful if Combatant Commanders spoke of us, Industry and Government, as those Acquisition people – the ones we can always count on..."

#### War Winning Capabilities ... On Time, On Cost ... Cradle-to-Grave