1_



Gun and Missile System 2008 Symposium

Unmanned and Autonomous Systems Weapon System Integration

Ground and Air System Platforms

Panel Discussion

23 April 2008



• Enabling—System Integration, Operational Readiness, and Warfighter Application of:

 Unmanned and Autonomous Systems Weapon System Integration

•Ground and Air Platforms Ground Robotic Systems (GRS) Land and Sea Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS)

 Challenges, Needs, Solutions, Opportunities Ensuring Integrated Systems And Realizing Operational Capability



- Identify and Examine DOD and Industry Requirements, Needs, and Challenges to Enable Weaponized GRS and UAS Systems
 - Legal and Related Considerations
 - Requirements
 - Technologies
 - System Integration
 - Safety
 - Concept of Operations (CONOPS)
 - Risks
- <u>Assess Status of System Development/Deployment Readiness</u>
 - Success Demonstrated/Applied—Barriers Identified
- Identify Path Forward Challenges-Technologies--Integration
 - DOD
 - Industry

- Panel Opening Comments/Format Description Moderator
- Panel Member Remarks
- Panel Dialogue—Lead by Moderator
- Questions from Attendees
 - Written Questions
 - Open Format Questions (As Time Permits)
- Concluding Summary Comments
- Wrap-Up Summary

derator

Each Member

All

Panel Members

Panel Members

Moderator





• Dave Broden Moderator Broden Resource Solutions LLC

Robert Fondren
 NSWC-Dahlgren

Kim Jones
 US Army--Picatinny-ARDEC

• Ed Hackett

iRobot EH Group



Ricky Houghton

Ibis Tek

Adrian Erkenbrack

Foster Miller Defense Technology Solutions

- Charlie McCullough BAE Systems
- Jim Krafcik USAF Eglin AFB



Robotics

Companies Establishing National Ground Robotic

Consortium

- Integration Challenges—
 - The Evolving Requirements
 - Establishing Programs and Priorities
 - Funding
 - Matching Platforms and Technology for Capability
 - Linking the Technology and Resources---Collaboration
 - Platforms
 - Integration Resources/Capability
 - Manufacturing
 - Technology
 - Realizing the Needed Innovation and New Technology etc.
 - Evolving Operational Critieria -- CONOPS
 - How Can Resources be Captured/Shared/Applied to Meet DOD Needs and Industry Business Objectives
 - Data Rights Considertions



- System Integration Approach and Criteria
 - What are the Drivers for System Design/Performance?
 - Requirements Driven Pull
 - Vs.
 - Technology Push
- <u>Role of Industry and Government In Defining/Selecting</u>:
 - Requirements Pull vs. Technology Push
 - Innovation— "Making it Happen"
 - System Design
 - Technology
 - System Integration



- DOD Requirement Evolution and Status:
 - Status of Requirements:
 - Ground Robot Vehicle Systems (Ground and Sea)
 - Weaponized Systems (Armed)
 - Unmanned Aircraft Systems
 - Weaponized Systems (Armed)
 - DOD Priorities for GRV's and UAS's
 - Defined or Evolving?
 - Program Focus
 - FCS
 - Service Mission Specific
 - Joint vs. Specific Service etc.?
 - Other



- Unmanned And Autonomous Weaponized Platform Status
 - Ground Robotic Systems (GRS)
 - Unmmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS)
- Requirements and Initiatives—Opportunities
- Legal and Related Considerations
- Weaponized Platform Characteristics Size—Weight etc.
- Weapon Capabilities and Characteristics
 - Missiles
 - Cannons
 - Other
 - Munitions Required
 - Lethal vs. Non-Lethal



- Weapons Available for Integration vs. Unique "New" Weapons?
- System Integration Considerations
 - Technologies
 - Barriers
 - Risks
- Command and Control Links
 - Sensors—Sensor Resolution—Capture Details Video, IR, Fusion etc.
 - Communication
 - Data Links—Content—Transfer Needs/File Size/Data Rate
 - Man in Loop Control
- System Integration Status



Safety Assessment Factors—

- Review/Approval Process
- Design/Performance
- Operational
- Collateral Damage
- Situation Awareness
 - What is Required etc,
- Coordinated Missions
 - Apache plus UAS
 - Bradley plus GRS
- Concept of Operations (CONOPS)
 - Mission Flexibility
 - Surveillance and/or Lethal
 - Recon
 - Other



• Barriers

- Technology
- To Development
- To Application
- Opportunities
 - Technology
 - System Integration
 - Production
- Role of Industry To Enable GRV and UAS Weaponization?
- Path Forward—Vision Ahead

- 1. What are DOD and Service Needs and Requirements for:
 - Armed Unmanned Platforms Ground Robotic Vehicles (GRV) Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS)
- 2. Definition and Consideration of Unmanned vs. Autonomous
- 3. Military Application of Armed/Weaponized Systems--- Legal Implications
- 4. What Initiatives are In Process for: GRV? UAS?
- 5. Comments Regarding Warfighter Use of Armed Unmanned Platforms
 - "Lessons Learned"—Proven Systems—Results—Needs
 - Who Controls the Armed Platforms—Decision Maker?



- 6. What are Priority Mission Objectives—Establishing Required Weapon Capability and Type?
 - Weapon Types Required? Lethal vs. Non-Lethal?
- 7. What are Weapon System Integration Challenges and Barriers?
- 8. What Technologies Drive and Enable Engagement/Defeat Objectives?
 - Precision Capability
 - Ability to Reach Into Threat Areas
- 9. Coordinated Capability of Manned System and Unmanned System
 - Apache linked to UAS
 - Bradley linked to GRS



- Mechanisms—Type—Weight--Power
- Lethality
- 11. What System Integration Technologies Are Required?
- 12. Unmanned Platform Command and Control Links:
 - Status
 - Barriers
 - Decision Maker—Who—Where—Links etc.
 - Needs
 - Issues
- 13. Type and Size of Unmanned Platforms for Weaponization?
 - GRS
 - UAS
 - Small vs. Larger or Mix?



- 14. Operational Benefits of Armed/Weaponized Unmanned Platforms
- 15. Is Low Collateral Damage Realized?
- 16. Safety Assessment Related to Unmanned System Integration and Operational Application
- 17. Address Multi-Mission Capability and Flexibility:
 - "Surveillance Balanced with Quick and Precision Strike"
 - "Find, Fix, Finish" Operational Implications and Benefits
- 18. Operational Training

Panel Topics and Questions

- 19. Weapon Lethality Capability—Type
 - Lethal vs. Non-Lethal
- 20. System Integration Considerations
 - Requirements Pull
 - Vs.
 - Technology Push
- 21. Weapon Integration, Capability, and Sensor Range Relative to Operational Criteria and Rule of Engagement (Legal etc.)
- 22. How does Situation Awareness Capability Drive Weaponized GRV and UAS System Integration and Application?





- 24. Weaponization Considerations
 - Integration of Existing Weapon Systems vs. Development of New/Unique for Unmanned Systems
 - Technical Factors
 - Logisitics and Supportability
 - Schedule
 - Cost
 - Benefits

- Written Questions Prepared During Panel Member Remarks
 - Moderator will Select and Ask Questions
- Open Format Questions From Attendees
 - Following Written Questions

Wrap-Up Comments



- Panel Members Present Wrap-Up Remarks
 - Identify Top 2-3 Focus Priorities
- Focus on Key Topics
 - Benefits of Weaponized Unmanned Platforms
 - Challenges
 - Barriers
 - **Opportunities**
 - Key Programs
- DOD and Service Objectives, Focus, and <u>Plans—Challenge and</u> <u>Opportunity for Industry</u>
- <u>Industry Focus Thrusts to Enable Weaponized Unmanned</u> <u>Capability Objectives</u>



- Moderator Summary Comments
- Thank Panel Members for Participation and Candid Comments
- Panel Members will be Available for Discussion
- Panel Has Effectively Described Status of Unmanned Weaponized Systems—Indentified the Needs—Challenges and Opportunities
- Partnership of DOD and Industry is Key to Evolving the Capability
- NDIA Offers a Forum for Exchange of Information and Networking to Enable Technology and System Integration Ensuring Superior Capability and Readiness.

Closing Remarks---Looking Ahead



- Opportunities for Gun and Missile Community
 - Ground Robotic Vehicles
 - Unmanned Air Systems
- Focus on Innovation and Systems Integration
- Ground Robot Consortium is Being Formed
 - Linking the Robotic Community
 - Gun and Missile Community will be Complementary
- Collaboration of Gun and Missile Committee/Armament Division
 and

Robotics Division –

- Demonstrates Effective Leverage of Related Division Benefiting Membership/Attendees
- Future Symposia will Expand Collaboration