

# Deployment of SysML in Tools and Architectures: an Industry Perspective

Rick Steiner Raytheon IDS, San Diego fsteiner@raytheon.com

# 4 Pillars of SysML – ABS Example

Raytheon

Integrated Defense Systems



### 3. Requirements

#### 4. Parametrics

**Integrated Defense Systems** 

### **Cross Connecting Model Elements**



### Key Considerations for SysML Tool Raytheon Integrated Defense Systems

- The specific MBSE method employed may leverage specific SysML features, but may not require other features. It is appropriate to ask the following questions to emphasize the features of SysML that a successful tool deployment will need to support.
  - Which behavior representations are most important? Activity diagrams? State machines? Sequence diagrams?
  - Will there be a need for item flow representation?
  - What kind of need will there be for detailed performance analysis and parametric modeling? Expression of mathematical equations relating parameters of system elements may be a very important part of the system development process/method employed.
  - Will there be a need for algorithm specification & development? It may be important to express information processing algorithms explicitly in mathematical form, using constraint blocks and eventually relating them to specific blocks representing software code.
  - Which architecting principles need to be supported by the tool?
  - How will allocation be used? The manner in which allocation is used to guide the development process may dictate a set of constraints & rules associated with allocation relationships. By enforcing or enabling these rules, a toolset can improve the efficiency of the modeling process.

### OMG SysML Tutorial (omgsysml.org) Water Distiller Example

- Functional Analysis based, not OOA
  - Relies heavily on activity diagrams and functional allocation
- Solution to problem focused on activity modeling, flow allocation, item flows & parametrics
  - Heat balance of distiller relies on properties of water flowing through system
- Traditional UML tools just don't do these things





### **Tool Comparison For Distiller Example**

Raytheon Integrated Defense Systems

No tool "fully" in				<b>—</b>	
Clearly, each to weaknesses	rchitect			0.5 er 2.0.5.	
method	se Al	raw	۲ ۲	er 7.( IL v€	
Other tools exis	pris 1	с D 5.1	soc 2	) ve /sN	
RS(X) is tool I'm least familiar with		Enter ver 7.	Magio ver 1	Rhap ver 7.	RS(X E+ S)
	Activity Modeling		full	limited	full
	Structural Modeling	full	full	full	full
	Item Flows	limited	full	full	limited
	Ports/Interfaces	full	limited	full	full
	yes	yes	yes	yes	
	none	yes	yes	yes	
	full	full	full	full	
Code Gen/Animation Requirements		none	none	yes	yes
		full	full	full	full
	Steiner	Steiner	Lussier	Steiner	
	most	all	most	most	

# **Distiller Model Organization**

#### Raytheon

**Integrated Defense Systems** 



**Integrated Defense Systems** 

### **EA Functional Allocation**



- Allocate activity partitions work well, allocation tables are fast & easy
- Flow allocation not possible (object flow to item flow)

Integrated Defense Systems

### Magic Draw Functional Allocation



**Integrated Defense Systems** 

### **Rhapsody Functional Allocation**



- Action nodes do not invoke activities (no activity hierarchy)
- No activity parameter nodes (on diagram frame, or otherwise)
- Action pin notation is awkward, pins not reused when action referenced
- Can't distinguish control flow from object flow
- Tabular view & reports of allocation are available

**Integrated Defense Systems** 

# **RS(X)/E+** Functional Allocation



- Non-standard diagram frame/label
- No unique action names (must be same name as activity), but allocation is unique
- Allocation partitions work (automatically create allocation relationships) to blocks or parts.

#### **Raytheon** Integrated Defense Systems

### EA ibd/ItemFlow



- Allocation works, but compartments not supported
- Can't access value properties of item properties (e.g. temp of water into Heat Exchanger) -> can't do parametric analysis of distiller example.

#### **Raytheon** Integrated Defense Systems

### **MD ibd/ItemFlow**



- Diagram frame uses incorrect nomenclature
- Allocation compartment incorrect format
- DOES allow full access to item properties

**Integrated Defense Systems** 

### **Rhapsody ibd/ItemFlow**



- Item flows and item properties fully allocable
  - Item flows look weird, but work fine
  - ObjectFlows can't be allocated, but ObjectNodes can.
- Full allocation compartments & callouts

**Integrated Defense Systems** 

### RS(X)/E+ ibd/ItemFlow



- ItemFlows incorporated in RSD 7.0.5/E+ 2.0.5.1, but
  - no icon or name/ItemProperty on diagram, ItemFlow not associated with Connector
- Non-standard diagram frame/label
- Allows Allocation of ObjectFlow to ItemProperty, but not to ItemFlow
  - no allocation compartment/callouts on parts

**Integrated Defense Systems** 

### **MagicDraw Parametric Diagram**



Item properties, value types, units and dimensions fully supported

#### **Integrated Defense Systems**

### **Rhapsody Parametric Diagram**



### EA & RS(X)/E+ Parametrics



Raytheon

**Integrated Defense Systems** 

#### **Raytheon** Integrated Defense Systems

# EA & RS(X)/E+ Parametrics

- Both support units, dimensions, value types, constraint blocks, and parametric diagrams
- Neither support value properties of item properties on item flows
  - Item Flows incorporated in RSD 7.0.5/E+ 2.0.5.1

### SysML Diagrams– a Method for Model Integration

- 3 separate hierarchies of Structure, Behavior, and Data
  - Usage (internal connection) is documented with separate diagrams
- These 3 hierarchies maintained at Operational and System level

	Hierarchy	Usage		Cross-Connect
Structure	bdd	ibd 🕻		<b>act</b> (swimlane), <b>seq</b> (lifeline, op)
Behavior	bdd	act, stm	>	<b>ibd</b> (itemFlow), <b>seq</b> (msgType)
Data	bdd	(none)	$\bigwedge$	act (objFlow), seq (msg,op), stm

bdd = Block Definition Diagram (no DoDAF) ibd = Internal Block Diagram (OV-2, SV-1, SV-2) act = Activity Diagram (OV-5, SV-4) seq = Sequence Diagram (OV-6c, SV-10c) stm = State Machine Diagram (OV-6b, SV-10b)

### DoDAF Views Horizontally Cross-Connecting a Complex SoS Model

#### Raytheon

**Integrated Defense Systems** 



Triangles represent hierarchy diagrams (no DoDAF equivalent)

# Allocation Vertically Cross-Connecting a Complex SoS Model

**Raytheon** 

**Integrated Defense Systems** 



Future System/Standard 2

Triangles represent hierarchy diagrams (no DoDAF equivalent)