Experimental set-up and results of the process of co-extruded perforated gun propellants

Martijn Zebregs, Chris van Driel martijn.zebregs@tno.nl

TNO | Knowledge for business



TNO Defence, Security and Safety – Protection, Munitions and Weapons – Energetic Materials



Introduction

- Co-layered gun propellants advantages and difficulties
- Performance characteristics
- Co-extrusion of gun propellants at TNO
 - Experimental set-up
 - Development steps in recent years
 - Burning characteristics of 1-perf co-extruded co-layered propellant
- Future developments
- Conclusive remarks

Co-layered propellants

- US Patent 4581998 (1986) A.W. Horst et al.
- Advantage: improvement of gun performance by enlargement of the impulse on the projectile





• Disadvantage:

Manufacture:

Ritter ICT 2007

'The time-consuming manufacturing of assembled propellant sheets proved to be a difficult task.'

- TNO's approach: co-extrusion
 - with proper die design easy manufacturing (not labour intensive and excellent geometries in one step)

3



Co-layered propellants

Advantages

- Increased performance
- High progressivity of co-extruded 0- and 1-perf grains
- Decreased erosivity of high energy propellants
- Increased ignition behaviour (e.g. LOVA propellants)



Notes

- Increased performance of sheet propellants is comparable to the performance of regular granular multi-perforated propellant
- Variations in layer thickness may result in increased dispersion of V₀
- Contrary to co-layered sheet propellants, co-extruded propellants provide wide variation in geometries, implying a larger number of possible applications





Co-layered propellants

Examples of simulated performance effects



7-perf versus 0-perf

co-layered sheet propellant



T

Performance characteristics

- Examples of simulated performance effects
 - 7-perf; $T_f(core) = 3515 \text{ K}$; $T_f(layer) = 3170 \text{ K}$ factor burning rates = 1.5



→ T_{max} = 3165 K = 3385 K without 'cool' outer layer

Performance characteristics

- Examples of simulated performance effects
 - 7-perf; $T_f(core) = 3515 \text{ K}$; $T_f(layer) = 2900 \text{ K}$ factor burning rates = 2



 \rightarrow T_{max} = 3040 K

= 3385 K without 'cool' outer layer

- Higher charge densities → further performance improvement
- Co-extrusion of gun propellants

7

IM/EM Symposium, Tucson, AZ, May 2009



Performance characteristics

Examples of simulated performance effects

Dynamic vivacity 1-perf grain: (both layers intact until Z = 100%)





- increased progressivity, even without double peak;
 - 'low' (usual) pressure exponent
- → with smaller outer layer: progressive + double peak in P-time curve
- → large grains (applicable for both medium and large calibre)





double barrel capillary rheometer

(co-)extrusion block



First attempts (2005-2006):

- Non-perforated co-extruded propellant
- 'Self-encapsulation' is theoretically possible for a large viscosity difference (factor 2.5), but appears to fail
- Improper die design leads to slip, resulting in a 'rope of beads'
- Experiments resulted in good insight in the problem of co-extrusion





- Improved die-design using special simulation software in 2007 (applying available knowledge from polymer processing)
- First die for demonstration purposes
 - This die is restricted to equal mass flows of outer layer and core (application with two-barrel capillary rheometer facility)
 - Perforation positions not optimised for 7-perf geometry (depend on propellant compositions and burning rates)



Bond integrity at high pressures:

 \rightarrow Closed vessel tests with DB single-perforated co-extruded grains

- Manufacturing:
 - Excellent distribution of both layers
 - Excellent bonding



6.8 mm



Bond integrity at high pressures:

 \rightarrow Closed vessel tests with DB single-perforated co-extruded grains

Determination burning rates:

- non-perforated grains
 - L = 10 mm
 - D = 1.45 mm
 - \rightarrow burning rate ratio ≈ 2.3
- Layer thickness ratio ≈ burning rate ratio
 → both layers are consumed at the same time (no vivacity jump)



Bond integrity at high pressures:

 \rightarrow Closed vessel tests with DB single-perforated co-extruded grains

- Non-layered tube: neutral burning behaviour
- Layered propellant: progressive Progressivity determined by:
 - burning rate ratio
 - L/D ratio
- P_{max} = 260 MPa: good bonding
- Extra performance increase possible for thinner outer layer (vivacity jump)





Future developments

Continuous co-extrusion

- Current twin-screw co-rotating extruder: 45 mm; 5 15 kg/h
- Second extruder: 30 mm; 1.5 5 kg/h
- Outer layer: ~10 50% of total strand volume





Future developments

Double ram press

- Mass flow ratio of both layers is always constant
- Excellent reproducibility
- Flow outer layer is adjustable, which creates a variable process for several applications

Alternative ram extrusion set-up

- Well controllable process
- Inner and outer layer can be variable (i.e. composition and size)
- No dramatic change of facilities





Conclusions

Co-extrusion

- Relatively easy, one-step manufacturing process
- High progressivity / increased performance
- Decreased erosivity of high energy propellants (- 300 K or more)
- Other advantages (improved ignition behaviour, IM, ...)
- Several grain geometries possible
 - non-/ single-/ multi-perforated
 - wide range of applications / calibres

Experiments

- Successful application of co-extrusion technique
- Excellent bonding between core and outer layer











17