

U.S. Army Research, Development and Engineering Command





TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

Mr. John Beilfuss (ARL)

Mr. Chris Wilcox (ATEC/AEC) 28 October 2009



**KPP: Key Performance Parameter** 

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited



Consumers of SLAD products: How we fit in



TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.



- Inform S&T community of the effort to design and implement a mission-based test and evaluation (MBT&E) methodology.
- Create awareness of an opportunity for better transition of technology to PMs
- Solicit questions and comments from peers to help improve the MBT&E methodology.

- **MBT&E is a product of ATEC<sup>1</sup>, ARL, AMSAA** and has been coordinated with acquisition, T&E, and user communities.
- **Note:** ARL/SLAD is a provider of survivability/lethality/vulnerability assessment data to ATEC/AEC. Our products to ATEC now have a capabilities dimension.

<sup>1</sup> Program lead is Mr. Chris Wilcox of Army Evaluation Center





- What is MBT&E?
- Why was MBT&E developed?
- What does MBT&E provide?
- **How** is MBT&E implemented?
- Where is MBT&E headed?
- What is the potential impact on Army Technology Objectives?
   TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN, WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.



What is MBT&E?



# **Mission-Based Test and Evaluation**

is a methodology that focuses T&E on the mission task **capabilities** provided to the warfighter. It provides a <u>framework</u> and <u>procedure</u> to:

- link materiel system attributes to the operational capabilities;
- examine the SoS required to enable the operational capability; and
- enable synergistic use of all available data sources.





- Prepare for networked system-of-systems evaluation
- Address Acquisition Initiatives
  - Capability-based acquisition
- Apply MBT&E to all evaluation programs
- Provide "feedback" to capabilities integration and development
- Draw from capability documents as basis for the evaluation strategy

*"We will continue to examine and challenge our most basic institutional assumptions, organizational structure paradigms, policies, and procedures to better serve the Army."* 

CG, ATEC Commander's Priorities for FY 10-15

Term Definition Reference Mission An assignment (task) with a purpose that provides direction to a command under prescribed CJCS 3500.04C conditions. Essential Constituting the intrinsic, fundamental nature of something Webster New World Dictionary Task **Cliff Whitcome** A discrete event or action, not specific to a single unit, weapon system, or individual that Naval Postgraduate enables a mission or function to be accomplished. School Conditions Conditions are variables of the environment that affect the performance of tasks. Conditions include Joint mission essential the physical, military, and civil environment task list development handbook CJCS. Joint mission essential METL. A document that provides the major input to planning, executing, and assessing joint training. A commander's list of priority joint tasks, derived from plans and orders, along with associated task list development conditions and measurable standards. handbook CJCS. UJTL CJCS 3500.04C A comprehensive integrated menu of functional tasks, conditions, measures, and criteria supporting all levels of the Department of Defense in executing the National Military Strategy. This document translates missions into tasks.

Definitions





<u>Capability</u><sup>1</sup> – The ability to achieve a **desired effect** [or result, outcome, or consequence of a task<sup>2</sup>] ...

- under specified standards and conditions
- through a combination of means and ways
- to perform a set of tasks.



1. CJCSI 3170.01F, May 2007 2. Taken from JP 1-02, Mar 2007, definition of effect.

### TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

**MBT&E Framework** 







## **MBT&E Framework Example**







## Capability and Performance Linked to Integrated T&E

### TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited





- Process divided into steps.
- Steps divided into 5 major purpose areas.

MISSION	
<b>UNDERSTAND THE</b> • Materiel components and att <b>SYSTEM</b>	ributes.
<ul> <li>Linkages between mission a</li> </ul>	and materiel.
DESIGN THE TEST	
<b>AND EVALUATION</b> • Test design and evaluation m	easures.

- DETERMINE THE RESULTS
- Execute test and evaluation.

REPORT THE RESULTS

• Format and report the results.

Reports on:

- Operational capabilities and limitations
- Materiel system performance and effect on operational capabilities as specified in:
  - FAA: Functional area analysis
  - FNA: Functional need analysis
  - FSA: Functional solution analysis
- Effectiveness, suitability and survivability based on task

## **Report Example**

US ARMY





How is MBT&E Implemented?





## Lessons Learned:

- MBT&E framework providing context of operational capability.
- MBT&E process is executable with current personnel skill set.
- Efficiencies can be increased through:
  - Improved tools (templates, IT, training, etc.); and
  - Combat and materiel developer participation.

Where is MBT&E headed?



- Synchronize with capabilitiesbased analysis.
- Synchronize with systems engineering.

RDECOM

- Collaborative environment.
- Pilot programs ongoing
  - Paladin
  - JLTV
- Address technology development and maturation



### TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.



US ARMY RDECONI

# Paladin Example



	EFD		System(s)					
	CRE	<b>W</b>						
	c1	Commander Incapacitated	Commander					
	сЗ	Driver Incapacitated	Driver					
	c6	Gunner Incapacitated	Gunner					
	c7	Loader Incapacitated	Loader					
	CAT	ASTROPHIC LOSS						
► <b>Γ</b>	61	Eucl/Ammo	Ammunition	OR				
	K I	Fdel/Ammo	Fuel Fire					
	MOB	ILITY						
	m1 1	Reduced Maximum Speed 0, 10%	Turbocharger System	OR				
	Internet in the second se		Supercharger System	OR				
•			Two Intermediate Roadwheels					
Γ	m1 2	Reduced Maximum Speed 10-50%	Road Wheel Left 1 System	OR				
		Reduced Maximum speed 10-50%	Shock Absorber Right Rear System	OR				
			Shock Absorber Right Front System	OR				
			Shock Absorber Left Rear System	OR				
			Shock Absorber Left Front System	OR				
			Track Tensioner Right System	OR				
			Track Tensioner Left System	OR				
			Road Wheel Right 1 System					

### **Technology Functions Linked to Warfighter Tasks**

	Crew			Cat				
Tack #				( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )		M1	M2	M3
lash #	C1	C3	C6	C7	K1	M1.1 M1.2 M1.3	M2.2 M2.3 M2.4	M3 2 M3 4
							2.2.1	INC.L INC.4
ART 3.3.1 Conduct Lethal Fire Support								
ART 3.3.1.1 Conduct Surface to Surface Attack								
1 Establish Firing Capability at Firing Position					5			
2 Conduct Emergency Missions				2				
3 Conduct Direct Fire								
4 Process Fire Missions								
5 Conduct Indirect Fire Missions								
6 Perform Fire Missions in Degraded Mode on the M109A6		-						

### TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

**RDECOM** Joint Light Tactical Vehicle Example

US ARMY





#### TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited





How does this impact an ATO? A mission-based evaluation may measure operational performance instead of technology performance.

- Technology programs feed programs of record
  - Consider planning for a mission-based evaluation with metrics
    - Ability of the technology to provide a capability to perform a set of tasks
    - Non-technical metrics
  - Improve the connection between the mission, tasks, and the technology objectives
  - Recognize the customer cares about performance of the mission
  - Influence a test strategy during the ATO process
  - Involve evaluators during the ATO planning and execution
  - Influence technology transfer agreements with PMs





At Milestone A, the PM shall submit a Test and Evaluation Strategy (TES) that describes the overall test approach for integrating developmental, operational, and live-fire test and evaluation and addresses test resource planning.

The TES shall include a test plan that addresses *Technology Development* phase activity, including the identification and management of technology risk, and the evaluation of system design concepts against the preliminary mission requirements resulting from the Analysis of Alternatives.

Test planning shall address the T&E aspects of competitive prototyping, early demonstration of technologies in relevant environments, and the development of an integrated test approach.

The Milestone A test plan shall rely on the Initial Capability Document as the basis for the evaluation strategy.

Ref: DoDI 5000.2; Dec 2008



- PM is required to Sync T&E Strategy with Technology Development Strategy and System Engineering Plan
- Addresses how component technologies being developed will be demonstrated in a relevant environment
- Identifies technology risk
- Identifies evaluation of system design concepts against preliminary mission and sustainment requirements.
- Supports the technology transition into the program
- Considers development, demonstration, production, and deployment
- Should take a mission-oriented approach
- Identifies key system attributes that support key capabilities in ICD.
- Enhances success in validating performance

Ref: DAU Defense Acquisition Guidebook; SE chapter 9

### TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.



- MBT&E methodology has been developed
- Positive results and path forward toward increased efficiencies
- MBT&E aligns the efforts of the capabilities developer, materiel developer, and independent T&E
- Early integration of mission-based thinking supports technology transition to PMs





## Questions?

#### TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited