Systems Engineering Approach to Workforce Development

29 Oct 09

THE PORCE MATERIEL COMMEN

Jim Miller Director of Engineering 327 ASW/EN Phone: (405) 736-4101 james.c.miller@tinker.af.mil

Systems Engineering Definition

- Systems engineering can be thought of as the problemindependent principles and methods related to the successful engineering of systems.
- DOD Definition: SE is an interdisciplinary approach encompassing the entire technical effort to evolve and verify an integrated and total life cycle balanced set of system, people, and process solutions that satisfy customer needs.
- INCOSE Definition: Systems Engineering is an interdisciplinary approach and means to enable the realization of successful systems...Systems Engineering integrates all the disciplines and specialty groups into a team effort forming a structured development process that proceeds from concept to production to operation.

So What?

- The workforce is certainly "problem independent", a "method", a "means" and "related to successful engineering"
- By any account, an organization's engineering workforce is one of the keys to successful systems engineering.
- Rather than the usual ad hoc, target-ofopportunity approach, an organization can apply a disciplined, methodical <u>systems</u> <u>engineering</u> approach to successfully develop the engineering workforce.

327th Aircraft Sustainment Wing Responsibilities





Input

- 334 Engineers in the 327 ASW
- Scattered across:
 - 6 different organizational groups
 - 19 different squadron/supervisors
 - 30 different weapon systems
- Composed of:
 - 6 different engineering disciplines
 - 46 various years of experience
 - 0 standardized, comprehensive development plans

Requirements

- Develop the 327 Aircraft Sustainment Wing's Engineering Workforce
 - All Inclusive.....all engineers
 - Standardized.....consistent throughout org.'s
 - Comprehensive....covers all tenets of development
 - Individualized.....allows for individual needs
 - Repeatable.....new employees, each year
 - Measureable......for mngt & improvement



Functional Analysis

- Needed to breakdown what is meant by "Workforce Development"
 - Used the Requirements Loop process
 - Determined the components are:
 - Education
 - Professional Military Education (PME)
 - Acquisition Professional Development Program (APDP)
 - Career Broadening
 - Promotions
 - Awards
 - Training





Design Loop

Recognized some systems exist

- Did not cover all 7 components
- Often not current
- Difficult to use
- Needed simple means, to look at all components and whole org together
 - Spreadsheet (62 x 354)
 - Cumbersome, but will improve later...
- Horizontal for individual
- Vertical for organization



Design: Personal Data



- Allows sorting by name and org
- Allows usage by supervisors
- Because all data is in one spot, very easy for employee and supervisor to verify data

Design: Education Data



- Everyone is in one of three categories: yes, no, in-work (because can take almost 2 years to complete and DP systems do not show "in-work")
- Degree Type filled only if "yes" or "in work"
- Post-degree work does not indicate currency

Design: PME



- Everyone is in one of three categories: yes, no, in-work (because can take almost 2 years to complete and DP systems do not show "in-work")
- Recently big push to have
- Employee should pursue "grade appropriate" PME level

Design: APDP



- Several key issues:
 - Do they have an APDP Certification
 - Are they in an Acq Coded job and what level?
 - Is employee current ?
 - Are they ready for "next level"?
- Interesting note: found huge organizational gaps when compared
 - Ex: org A at 95% acq coded, while org B is 34%

Design: Awards



- "Awards and Recognition" always cited in surveys as a top 3 problem area
- Unfortunately, tough to keep up with
 - Information has to be updated by awards monitors manually
 - Labor intensive effort
 - Looking for org trend

Design: Career Broadening



- Chief Engineer decides if Career Broadening vs just a move
- Date Arrived in Current Job is color indexed (cell is filled)
 - Green if <3 years</p>
 - Yellow if >3 years but <5 years</p>
 - Red if >5 years
- Promotions tracked separately

Design: Continuous Learning

	Year 1 Courses			Year 2 Courses				Year 3 Courses				Year 4 Courses		
SYS 182	SYS 155	SYS 028	SYS 165	SYS 172	SYS 116	CLE003	CLE009	SYS 161	SYS 138	SYS 185	CLC041	FPM101	CLE011	

- 16 courses
- 4 per year
- All CBT so no travel expenses
 - Minimize time away from job
- Once 16 completed, individualized training/specialization starts



Analysis and Control

- Several methods used for analysis and control:
 - Annual meeting to standardize/adjust entire program
 - Metrics for each all 7 components
 - Metrics for years to track trends
 - Metrics to compare organizations
 - Tool to be used by supervisor twice a year with employee
 - Metrics displayed to upper management at least quarterly

Control Metric: 327ASW Training



Control Metric: Acq Certifications





Outputs

- A trained, developed Workforce
- Workforce Development Plan provides:
 - Individualized attention
 - Standard baseline
 - Comprehensive look
 - Repeatable process
 - Measureable data
 - Monitored by upper management
- Example: ASW achieved 96% training goals for FY09

Summary

- 327 ASW developed tangible systems engineering process/plan to develop the engineering workforce
- Clear-cut, tangible process
 - Will apply to 1300 ALC engineers in FY10
 - Plans to use for other disciplines (PM, loggies, etc...)
- Metrics to measure progress for management
- It works!

In Place and In Use Now

Questions?

