Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release; distribution is unlimited





TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.

Analysis of a Fluid Energy Mill (FEM) by Gas-Solid Two Phase Flow Simulation

Presented by : Mohamed Elalem (ARDEC) Dr. Ming-Wan Young, Dr. Linjie Zhu, Zheng Qian (PPI)

National Defense Industrial Association Conference May 17-20 , 2010

Acknowledgements



- Dr. Ming-Wan Young
- Dr. Lingie Zhu

RDECOM

- Dr. Peng Wang
- Zheng Qian
- Peter Bonnett
- Leslie Ben'Ous
- Fee Lee
- Mica McGhee-Bey

PPI PPI PPI PPI ARDEC ARDEC ARDEC ARDEC



Outline



- Introduction
- Theoretical Model
- Simulation Setup
- Simulation Results
- Conclusion
- References





- The size reduction in the Fluid Energy Mill is achieved by intensive particulate collisions inside the gas-solid two-phase flow.
- This study focuses on the two-phase flow inside the FEM.
- The three-dimensional particulate motions and collisions inside a FEM were simulated by coupling the Discrete Element Method (DEM) and Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD), where particle-particle interactions were taken into consideration.



Experiment Setup





The cross-section view of the Sturtevant Qualification FEM.

	KC1	Steel
Poisson's Ratio	0.5	0.31
Shear Modulus (Pa)	6.24E+09	7.30E+10
Density (kg/m^3)	1990	7750

Material properties for the DEM-CFD coupling simulations



Theoretical Model



- Gas Phase (CFD)
 - FLUENT (Ansys Inc. Canonsburg, PA), a widely used commercial Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) software.
 - The Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes equations.
 - The κ ϵ turbulent model.
- Solid Phase (DEM)
 - Discrete Element Method (DEM).
 - EDEM (DEM Solutions (USA) Inc., Lebanon, New Hampshire).
- DEM-CFD Coupling
 - Including the transfer of the momentum but excluding the heat transfer.
 - Employing the Lagrangian model due to the computation load and the low particulate volume fraction.



Simulation Setup



• Single-phase gas flow was simulated under five different operating conditions, as listed in the following Table.

Case	Grind Air Pressure (<i>kPa</i>)	Feed Air Pressure (<i>kPa</i>)
1	137.8	137.8
2	206.8	206.8
3	275.8	275.8
4	344.7	344.7
5	413.7	413.7

Five different operating conditions investigated in this study



Simulation Setup



- Three air inlet nozzles were not considered in the coupling simulation and only the main chamber was investigated.
- The cubic box at the entrance of the feed air is a virtual geometry used to generate particles with the mean particle size of 420 µm.



Geometry of the grinding chamber for two-phase simulation.





- Particle Generation
 - 1,000 spherical KCI particles were generated at a rate of 10,000 per second.
 - The KCI particles were set to have a mean particle size of

420 μm and follow a normal distribution.



Size distributions of the particles used in the five cases.





Particle Motions

RDECOM

The particles were driven to the peripheral wall.





Particle flow pattern after the particle generation under the condition of Case 2.

Inside view of the grinding process at steady state.





- The grinding chamber was evenly divided into five zones along Z direction.
- Zone 3 has the maximum average particle velocity, independent of the operation pressure.



Five zones along the Z direction.



Average particle velocity magnitude in each zone under different cases. ("Average" means average in *both* number and time. And time average is taken from 0.2s to 0.45s.)





Particle Collisions

Zone 3 has the largest collision frequencies.



Average collision frequency between the particle and wall and average collision frequency between the particles ("Average" is the time average from 0.2s to 0.45s.).





- The ratio of the normal to the tangential component of the relative velocity of particle-particle collisions is about 1:8.3.
- The collisions between the particles can be considered in the majority as "sideswipe collisions".



Comparison of the normal and tangential components of the average collision speed for particle-particle collision.



Scatter plot of the normal relative velocities vs. the tangential relative velocities of the collisions between the particles in the Case 2.





 The particle-wall collisions occur most intensively and frequently at the positions opposite to the two grinding nozzles (marked with circles in Figure).



3-D illustration of the particle-wall collisions in the Case 2. (Duration: 0.001*s*, from 0.44*s* to 0.441*s*).





- The Effect of the Number of the Particles
 - 7000 particles were used in the simulation under the operating condition of the Case 1, which is referred as Case 6.
 - The average particle velocity in Case 6 is about one half of that in Case 1.



Comparison of the average particle velocity between Case 1 and Case 6.





- The collision frequencies increase significantly for Case 6.
- The particle-particle collision frequency increases faster with the number of the particles than the particle-wall collision.
- The ratio of particle-particle collision to particle-wall collision is 1:3 and 1:8, respectively, for case 6 and case 1.



Comparison of average collision frequency between Case 1 and Case 6. ("Average" is the time average from 0.2s to 0.45s.)





• Streamlines of the Particle Motions





Comparison of the particle motions with grinding air and without grinding air (in (a) and (b), only FP=344.7*kPa*; in (c) and (d), FP=GP=344.7*kPa*.).



15 25667 6 57347

(d)



Conclusion



- The particles are driven to the peripheral wall forming a circulating particle layer.
- Those particles located near the grinding air nozzles are accelerated to higher velocities by two grinding air streams compared to other particles. Those high-speed particles are more likely to hit the wall, or collide with other particles due to the velocity difference.
- The distribution of the particle velocities becomes broader with the increasing of the operating pressures, leading to a higher probability of the establishment of the relative velocities and higher relative velocities to some extent.
- Both the particle-particle collisions and the particle-wall collisions play an important role in the particle size reduction.
- Particle-particle collisions can be considered to be "sideswipe collisions" in majority, and mainly lead to particulate abrasion, instead of cleavage or fracture.
- The feed air stream is not as efficient and effective as the grinding air stream in terms of facilitating particle breakage.





Thank You !



References



- N.H. Andrews, "Method of and Apparatus for Providing Material in Finely Divided Form", Patents: U. S., (1936).
- Q. Zhang, J. Yang, S. Teng, R.N. Dave, L. Zhu, P. Wang, M. Young and C.G. Gogos, "In-situ, Simultaneous Milling and Coating of Particulates with Nano-particles", Powder Technology, 196(3), 292-297 (2009).
- M. Klug, et al. "Performance Characteristics of a Fluid Energy Mill for Fine Grinding Coal", American Society of Mechanical Engineers (Paper) (1990).
- R. Tuunila, and L. Nystrom, "Technical Note: Effects of Grinding Parameters on Product Fineness in Jet Mill Grinding", *Minerals Engineering*, **11(11)**, 1089-1094 (1998).
- S. Palaniandy, et al., "Effect of Operational Parameters on the Breakage Mechanism of Silica in a Jet Mill", Minerals Engineering, 21(5), 380-388 (2008).
- G. Alfano, P. Saba, and M. Surracco, "Development of a New Jet Mill for Very Fine Mineral Grinding", *International Journal of Mineral Processing*, 44-45(SPEC. ISS.), 327-336 (1996).
- A. Katz, and H. Kalman, "Preliminary Experimental Analysis of a Spiral Jet Mill Performance", Particle and Particle Systems Characterization, 24(4-5), 332-338 (2007).
- N.B. Vatsaraj, D. Gao, and D.L. Kowalski, "Optimization of the Operating Conditions of a Lab Scale AI Jet Mill Using Lactose and Sucrose: A technical note" AAPS PharmSciTech, 4(2), (2003).
- M. Ramanujam, and D. Venkateswarlu, "Studies in Fluid Energy Grinding", Powder Technology, 3(2), 92-101 (1969).
- B. Dobson and E. Rothwell, "Particle Size Reduction in a Fluid Energy Mill", Powder Technology, 3(4), 213-217 (1970).
- B. Mohanty and K. S. Narasimhan, "Fluid Energy Grinding", Powder Technology, 33(1), 135-141 (1982).
- D. Eskin, S. Voropayev, and O. Vasilkov, "Simulation of Jet Milling", Powder Technology, 105, 257-265 (1999).
- H.J.C. Gommeren, D. A. Heitzmann, J.A.C. Moolenaar, B. Scarlett, "Modeling and Control of a Jet Mill Plant", *Powder Technology*, 18, 147-154 (2000).
- T. Han, H. Kalman and A. Levy, "DEM Simulation of Particle Comminution in Jet Milling", Particulate Science and Technology, 20, 325-340 (2002).
- T. Han, A. Levy and H. Kalman, "DEM simulation for attrition of salt during dilute-phase pneumatic conveying", Powder Technology **129**, 92-100 (2003).
- M. Ghadiri and Z. Zhang, "Impact Attrition of Particulate Solids. Part 1: A Theoretical Model of Chipping", Chemical Engineering Science, 57, 3659-3669 (2002).
- A. Levy and H. Kalman, "Numerical Study of Particle Motion in Jet Milling", Particulate Science and Technology, 25, 197-204 (2007).
- T. Brosh, Y. Batat, H. Kalman, A. Levy and A.B. Brown, "Particle Motion and Classification in a Jet Mill", Bulk Solids & Powder-Science & Technology, 3(2), 83-88 (2008).
- Y. Tsuji, "Multi-scale Modeling of Dense Phase Gas-particle Flow", Chemical Engineering Science, 62, 3410-3418 (2007).
- S. Teng, P. Wang, L. Zhu, M.-W. Young, C. G. Gogos, "Experimental and Numerical Analysis of a Lab-scale Fluid Energy Mill", *Powder Technology*, **195**, 31-39 (2009).
- P. A. Cundall and O. D. L. Strack, "A Discrete Numerical Model for Granular Assemblies", Geotechnique, 29(1), 47-65 (1979).
- A. Di Renzo, and F.P. Di Maio, "Comparison of Contact-force Models for the Simulation of Collisions in DEM-based Granular Flow Codes", Chemical Engineering Science, **59(3)**, 525-541 (2004).
- R. Mindlin, and H. Deresiewicz, "Elastic Spheres in Contact under Varying Oblique Forces", J. Appl. Mech, 20(3), 327-344 (1953).
- D. Zhang, and W.J. Whiten, "The Calculation of Contact Forces between Particles using Spring and Damping Models", Powder Technology, 88(1), 59-64 (1996).
- Y. Tsuji, T. Tanaka, and T. Ishida, "Lagrangian Numerical Simulation of Plug Flow of Cohesionless Particles in a Horizontal Pipe", *Powder Technology*, **71(3)**, 239-250 (1992).
- A.O. Raji, and J.F. Favier, "Model for the Deformation in Agricultural and Food Particulate Materials under Bulk Compressive Loading using Discrete Element Method. I: Theory, Model Development and Validation", *Journal of Food Engineering*, 64(3), 359-371 (2004).
- Y. Tsuji, T. Kawaguchi, and T. Tanaka, "Discrete Particle Simulation of Two-dimensional Fluidized Bed", Powder Technology, 77(1), 79-87 (1993).
- P. Wang, L. Zhu, S. Teng, Q. Zhang, M. Young, and C.G. Gogos, "A Novel Process for Simultaneous Milling and Coating of Particulates", *Powder Technology*, 193 (1), 65-68 (2009).