œ

Formulation and characterizations of nanoenergetic compositions

<u>A. Beaucamp</u>, A. Wuillaume, M. Rocquin, FA. Alphonse-Gaïl

Financially supported by



CEA-Le Ripault-

Energetic materials and chemistry

<u>Energetic material:</u> mixture between an oxidizer and a reductant (molecule or composition). The decomposition reaction can be written as follow



Energetic molecule: unimolecular reaction







Energetic materials and chemistry

- <u>Energetic macrocomposition</u>: mixture of powders

Oxidizer powder (O) + reductant powder (R)

| | RRROOO |
|---|---------------|
| = | RRROOO |
| | OOORRR |
| | OOORRR |

Oxidizer and reductant atoms are distant to one another and the decomposition reaction kinetic may be too slow

<u>Energetic nanocomposition</u>: mixture of particles

<u>Advantages</u>:

- homogeneity of the composition (sensitivity and performances)

• high contact area between O and R (performances)

- kinetic of decomposition reaction comparable to unimolecular decomposition reaction

- no problem of synthetic feasability

- versatility of the composition (oxygen balance adjustment depending on the application)



Prepared and tested materials

- ✓ Synthesis and formulation
- ✓ <u>Physical characterizations</u>
- ✓ <u>Energetic results</u>

Conclusion and Prospects



 \checkmark BET analysis of PF and (P/NP7/3)F aerogels (supercritical CO₂ drying)

| Sample | Specific area (m²/g) |
|------------|----------------------|
| PF | 795 ± 40 |
| (P/NP7/3)F | 688 ± 40 |



((P/NP7/3)F gel) : Pore size distribution



<u>Impregnation of an organogel with AP or RDX :</u>





Physical characterizations of prepared materials

X Ray powder Diffraction :

œ

| 80 wt%. AP charge (BO _{cO2} = 0 %) | Average size of AP particles |
|--|--|
| PF/AP xerogel | > 120 nm (calculus : 480 nm) (repeatability : OK) |
| PF/AP cryogel | 150 nm |

<u> Imaging :</u>

Good microscopic homogeneity for xerogels and cryogels (SEM)

> Exocrystallization for xerogels



Before drying

Example : xerogel balls

After normal drying :

> White AP exocrystallites

Partial destruction of the matrix



Energetic characterizations : RDX as a charge

Instrumented drop-weight apparatus :

RDX charge

OB_{CO2} ~ -50 %

~ 70 to 75 wt%.





| | Impact H ₅₀ (mm)/ P _{MAX} (bars) | |
|----------------|--|----------------------------------|
| | Macro | Nano xerogel |
| RDX | 100-150 / 5 | / |
| PF/RDX | 140 / 3,92 | 137 / 1,92 <mark>(- 51%)</mark> |
| P/NP(7/3)F/RDX | 79 / 3,16 | 130 / 1,48 <mark>(- 53 %)</mark> |
| P/NP(3/7)F/RDX | 95 / 3,63 | / |

Nanodispersions are slightly less sensitive and less powerful than mixture of powders

- ✓ Mixture of powders (macrosized) : matrix acts as a scraper
- ✓ Nanodispersions : matrix protects RDX towards aggression
- ✓ dispersion/dilution of RDX

Future work : increase the wt%. of RDX beyond 90 % → nanostructured and powerful intrinsic explosive

Difficulties with the xerogel way because probable destruction of the matrix during drying phase



Energetic characterizations : AP as a charge

Instrumented drop-weight apparatus :

AP charge :



| OB = -0% | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| $\sim 80 \text{w}^{+}\%$ | Impact H ₅₀ (mm) / P _{MAX} (bars) | | | |
| 000170. | Macro | Nano xerogel | Nano cryogel | |
| PA | ~ 500 / 0,75 | / | / | |
| PF/PA | 350 / 1,48 | 367 / 1,92 <mark>(+30%)</mark> | 503 / 3,03 <mark>(+104%)</mark> | |
| P/NP(7/3)F/PA | 231 / 1,06 | 580 / 2,47 <mark>(+133%)</mark> | 500-700/2 to 3,3 (+100 to 200%) | |
| P/NP(3/7)F/PA | 189 / 1,60 | 203 / 1,64 <mark>(+2%)</mark> | 257 / 2,41 <mark>(+50%)</mark> | |

nanodispersions are less sensitive and more powerful than mixture of powders

- \checkmark Same mechanical influence of the matrix than for RDX compositions
- ✓ Better mix between oxydizer and reductant when nanodispersed
- ✓ Cryogel way better than xerogel way



Energetic characterizations on AP cryogels



formulations

Energetic characterizations on AP cryogels

Closed-chamber combustion :



Energetic characterizations on AP cryogels



 Burning rate of the nanosized formulations is about two or three times higher than the one of the mixtures of powders
Nanostructuration guarantees a stable combustion all over the explored pressure range (exponent pressure < 1)

Conclusion

New energetic functionalized organogels have been synthesized and their ability to constrain the charge to nanostructure has been illustrated.

> Nanodispersions tend to be less sensitive than mixture of powders (Impact sensitivity).

> When they decompose, AP based nanomaterials are more powerful than mixture of powders.

> Combustion of nanodispersions shows improved propulsion performances (burning rate and combustion stability) compared to mixture of powders.

➤ A scale-up of the cryogel process has recently been done with success, allowing us to produce batches of 125 g of nanoformulations
→ Use in propellant formulation

œ

> Reproducibility of the cryogel process to nanostructure is demonstrated but improvements must be done to control and/or to tune the microstructure

> Ability of the cryogel process to nanostructure an intrinsic explosive (RDX) with charge ratio > 90 wt%. \rightarrow nanostructured powerful intrinsic explosive.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION



A MEMBER OF

