DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

AMERICA'S COMBAT LOGISTICS SUPPORT AGENCY











DLA Land and Maritime 2012 NDIA Industry Conference and Exhibition

Material Cost Reduction Break-Out Session

June 11 – 13 2012



Agenda



- Introduction of Panel Members
- Austere Budget Environment
- How do we define savings?
- Strategies to Achieve Material Savings
- Initiatives
- Points of Contact
- Questions & Answers



Panel Members



Steve Rodocker

- Director of the Strategic Acquisition Procurement Directorate
 - Sole Source Supplier Cost Control
 - Maximizing use of Long Term Contracts
 - Maximizing use of Quantity Price Breaks
 - Leveraging Commercial Capabilities

Ken Goodson

- Competition Advocate and Acting Deputy Director of the Procurement Process Directorate
 - Maximizing use of Reverse Auctions
 - Expanding use of Automated procurements
 - Implementing Pricing Training and Workshops



Panel Members



Renee Magill

- Chief of the Value Engineering Office
 - Reverse Engineering
 - Spare Parts Breakout
 - Value Engineering Change Proposals

Eugene Mitchell

- Chief of the Strategic Sourcing Specialists
 - Providing Government Furnished Material
 - Conducting Industry/Commodity Market Analysis

Heath Berkshire

Program Manager for the Material Cost Reduction Campaign



Austere Budget Environment



- DLA Land & Maritime will be operating on a smaller budget for the foreseeable future.
- With a smaller budget, DLA Land and Maritime must reduce material costs in order to provide sustained superior support to the Warfighter.
- Engagement with industry partners is essential to developing innovative and strategic relationships to drive down acquisition costs.



How do we define savings?



Savings are calculated as follows:

Savings = Historical Price Paid – Current Price Paid



Strategies to Achieve Material Savings



Leverage	
Commercia	
Capabilities	3

Leverage Economies of Scale

Sole Source Supplier Cost Control

Enhance Competition

- ★ Use Commercial Price Lists
- ★ Customer Direct LTCs for Commercial-Off-The Shelf (COTS) items
- ★ Cost effective methodology of supporting Non-NSN items

- ★ Long Term Contracting (LTC)
- ★ Quantity Price Breaks

- ★ Strategic Supplier relationships
- ★ Overhead Cost Analysis
- ★ Forward Price Rate Agreements/Reco mmendations

- ★ Reverse Auctions
- ★ Reverse Engineering
- ★ Source Approval Request (SAR) processing
- ★ Automation



Enhancing Competition



Lever

- Reverse Engineering (RE)
- Sustaining Engineering (SE)
- Sole Source Break Out Project (BO)
- Other Value Management Techniques -VECP* (FAR 52.248-1), RPPOB* (DoDI 4140.57)
- Source Approval Request (SAR)

Current Status

- RE 9 projects w/\$882K savings expected FY13
- Military Service partnerships Future RE/SE Projects being identified to support expected growth.
- BO Partner w/ESAs to quickly identify Sole Source NIINs w/technical data.

Strategy / Future Actions

- Grow RE (25%) and SE (20%)
- BO Review 2800 NIINs/year
- Expand RE capability

Expected Outcome

- Increase Competition
- Reduce material costs
- Increase SAR acceptance rate

Acronyms:

*VECP – Value Engineering Change Proposals *RPPOB – Repairs Parts Purchase Or Borrow



Conducting Industry/Commodity <u>Market Analysis</u>

<u>Lever</u>

- Develop Sourcing and Acquisition Strategies
- Use of current manufacturing techniques and technologies
- Creation of viable /attractive solicitations with industry input

Current Status

- Select an FSC to be used as a Pilot Project
- Create a Pilot Project Working Group (DLA Land/Maritime and R&D
- Develop Statement of Work (SOW) and Charter
- Meet with Industry Associations to receive input on solicitation creation

Strategy / Future Actions

- Achieve buy-in from Industry and management
- Develop expertise in market analysis and research

- Increased market intelligence and reliability
- Increased success in LTC solicitation grouping process



Maximizing use of Long Term Contracts



Lever

Long Term Contracting

Current Status

- 13 Commodity Group Projects awarded or have projected award dates in FY 13
- Ongoing process improvement efforts to enhance the utilization of awarded LTCs
- 8 major MRAP projects with projected award dates in FY 13

Strategy / Future Actions

- Increased utilization of LTCs
 - Commodity Group Projects (CGP)
 - MRAP Support Contracts
 - Other LTCs

- Reduction of PRs on the floor
- Inventory reduction
- Significant reductions in ALT and targeted 10% reduction in PLTs
- Material costs savings
- Cost avoidance through improved processes



Sole Source Supplier Cost Control



Lever

Sole Source Supplier Cost Control

Current Status

- For Period Oct 2011
 – Apr 2012 OEM
 Savings at 3% (\$4.32 Mil) based on \$137.4
 Spend
- Developed Material Cost Reduction Plan with Strategic Supplier Alliances

Strategy / Future Actions

- Loss of business for end items creating challenges for OEM's to hold overhead costs
- Prices trending up for most of the OEMs

- Improved OEM processes for obtaining better prices from their subs
- Ensure OEMs are taking appropriate measures to control overheads
- Continue LTC support



Leveraging Commercial Capabilities



<u>Lever</u>

- Leveraging Commercial Capabilities
 - Maximizing Contract Coverage on Commercial Price Listed LTCs
 - Developing other Customer Direct LTCs for Commercial-Off-The-Shelf items (COTS)
 - Executing a more cost effective methodology for support of Non-NSN

Current Status

- Reviewed COTS Coding for all NINs
- Categorized Groupings for Market Research
- Identified Contractors for Market Research (25)
- Conducting Market Research and Synthesize Information – 3rd Quarter FY12
- Build LTC Packages 1st Quarter FY13

Strategy / Future Actions

 Where it makes business sense, establish Customer Direct LTCs for Commercial Catalogs.

CHALLENGE

Capturing Demands

- Eliminate duplication in the Supply Chains
- Improve support for Non-NSNs



Expanding Use of Automated Procurements



Lever

 Auto-buy Expansion: Auto-solicitation and Auto-award

Current Status

- 85% of small purchases are auto-solicited
- Changes to levers implemented under operation full press in April 2012 – benefit realized over future years
- Auto awards trend at approx. 3% lower material cost than manual awards

Strategy / Future Actions

- Minimize NSN Exclusions
 - Populate required T/Q Data
 - Increase of Simplified Acquisition Threshold
 - Variable Pricing Factors by dollar value ranges

Expected Outcome

 Enhance competition by fully leveraging automated capabilities



Other Initiatives



- Maximizing use of Reverse Auctions
- Providing Government Furnished Material
 - Raw Materials
 - Casting and Forgings
- Implementing Pricing Training and Workshops
- Maximizing use of Quantity Price Breaks



Points of Contact



□ Steve Rodocker

Director of the Strategic Acquisition Procurement Directorate, <u>Stephen.Rodocker@dla.mil</u> 614-692-2192

☐ Ken Goodson

➤ Competition Advocate and Acting Deputy Director of the Procurement Process Directorate, Kenneth.Goodson@dla.mil 614-692-1121

□ Renee Magill

Chief of the Value Engineering Office, <u>Renee.Magill@dla.mil</u> 614-692-5341

□ Eugene Mitchell

Chief of the Strategic Sourcing Specialists, <u>Eugene.Mitchell@dla.mil</u> 614-692-3886

☐ Heath Berkshire

Program Manager for the Material Cost Reduction Campaign, Heath.Berkshire@dla.mil 614-692-6288



Questions & Answers



