

The Challenges of Implementing Open Systems Architecture

Bill Decker DAU South 7115 Old Madison Pike Huntsville, AL 35806 (724) 612-0999 (c), william.decker@dau.mil



- What is OSA? a quick review
- Why do we want OSA?
- What are the barriers?
- What's the benefit?



What do we mean by Open Systems Architecture?

- System is designed with interfaces defined on an open, published, and preferably non-proprietary basis
 - Examples of open: RS-232, RJ-45, 1/8" phone jack, AAA battery, automotive tires
 - Example of open, but proprietary: HDMI, i-Pod dock connector
 - Interfaces include:
 - Electronic
 - Data
 - Mechanical
 - Thermal
 - Power
 - Government has data rights (at least Government Purpose Rights) to interface
 - Full Design Disclosure
- A Successful Open System Architecture can be;
 - Added to
 - Modified
 - Supported/maintained
 - Removed/replaced
 - ... by different vendors throughout the life cycle (Vendor Lock avoided)

OSA is Mandated by the OSD Better Buying Power Initiative

NDIA Presentation 14690



What comes before OSA?

- Three key activities:
 - Acquisition strategy
 - Plan for future increments (upgrades, tech refresh)
 - Provision for unplanned future increments (responding to new requirements)
 - Plans for future competition (follow-on production, spares, support)
 - Logistics support concept
 - Military vs. Civilian vs. Contractor
 - Where work performed (unit, support org. or depot)
 - Architectural concept (both internal and external)
 - Systems Viewpoints (SV-1,...)
 - Standards Viewpoints (StdV-1,...)

All three are necessary to define which interfaces need to be open



Decker/July 2012

NDIA Presentation 14690



Lifecycle Chart (excerpt) showing early acquisition activities





- Current processes do not incorporate any consideration of OSA early in the acquisition process
 - Prior to Material Development Decision
 - ICD defines capability gap seldom identifies a need for Open Systems
 - AoA Study Guidance developed by CAPE normally focused on alternative technical solutions
 - Material Development Decision (MDD)
 - Milestone Decision Authority (MDA) approves entry into acquisition
 - Opportunity to provide guidance to program/project
 - May supplement/modify AoA Study Guidance



AoA Study Plan (DAG 3.3.3)

Introduction

- Background
- Purpose
- Scope
- Ground Rules
 - Scenarios
 - Threats
 - Environment
 - Constraints and Assumptions
 - Timeframe
 - Excursions
- **Alternatives**
 - Description of Alternatives
 - Nonviable Alternatives
 - Operations Concepts
 - Sustainment Concepts
- Determination of Effectiveness Measures
 - Mission Tasks
 - Measures of Effectiveness
 - Measures of Performance
- Effectiveness Analysis
 - Effectiveness Methodology
 - Models, Simulations, and Data
 - Effectiveness Sensitivity Analysis
- Cost Analysis
 - Life-Cycle Cost Methodology
 - Additional Total Ownership Cost Considerations (if applicable)
 - Fully Burdened Cost of Delivered Energy (if applicable)
 - Models and Data
 - Cost Sensitivity and/or Risk Analysis
- Cost-Effectiveness Comparisons
 - Cost-Effectiveness Methodology
 - Displays or Presentation Formats
 - Criteria for Screening Alternatives
- Organization and Management
 - Study Team/Organization
 - AoA Review Process
 - Schedule

The outline shown is from the Defense Acquisition Guidebook and is a suggested outline for the AoA Study Plan.

- From the description of what analyses will take place in the AoA, one can see that assumptions will be made about Open Systems Architecture and the costs/benefits associated with employing it.
- Most study plans do not explicitly recommend considering alternatives that are the same technology, but with different life cycle support concepts.
- The cost analysis is similar to a BCA



AoA Summary

- Analysis of Alternatives
 - Alternatives
 - Normally technology based (missile vs. gun, etc.)
 - Includes life-cycle cost estimates for each alternative
 - Often performed by contractors
 - Assumptions made (explicit or implicit):
 - Logistics support concept
 - Level of "openness" in system
 - Data rights to support life-cycle cost estimates

Should different levels of OSA be considered alternatives?



Initial Technical Review

- Initial Technical Review
 - Provides initial cost estimate for POM submission Although an initial estimate may become project/program budget
 - Usually precedes Technical Development Strategy
 - Assumptions made:
 - Sustainment strategy
 - Maintenance
 - Repairs
 - Spares
 - Refurbishment
 - Supply chain management
 - Plans for tech refresh, future increments
 - Need for data rights (present and future)
 - Basic architecture (internal and external)
 - Usually conducted before AoA is completed

Activities between MDD and MS A

- Technical Development Strategy (April 2011 Guidance)
 - Includes:
 - Draft Acquisition Strategy
 - Plans for TD Phase
 - Business strategy (only brief mention of OSA in current document)
 - 7.1 Competition Strategy
 - 7.4 Sustainment Strategy
 - 7.6 Technical Data Rights Strategy
 - See <u>https://acc.dau.mil/CommunityBrowser.aspx?id=441130</u> for complete document



- Good by US law, the Government is entitled to Unlimited Rights to the following:
 - Form, fit and function data
 - Operations, maintenance, installation and training data
 - Computer software documentation
- Bad more data required to re-procure or buy spares for many of our systems
- Ugly in past, US Government has not enforced these rights



- Acquisition activities prior to MS A do not currently consider OSA, much less incorporate its consideration
- By midway between the MDD and MS A:
 - AoA completed
 - Initial POM submission made (supported by cost estimate prepared for Initial Technical Review)
 - Technical Development Strategy drafted (1st required OSA consideration)
- The program's life cycle costs are fairly well defined
- If OSA has not been incorporated, much re-work will be required to do so