DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

MANUFACTURING AND INDUSTRIAL BASE POLICY (MIBP)

Need for Industrial Base Rebalance in Pacific Pivot

Robert M. Read Senior Industrial Analyst March 19, 2014



Pressures Facing DoD

Current Environment

- * Declining resources
- Declining production
- ***** Competition for resources

Possible impacts on industrial base

- Loss of innovative edge
- Permanent loss of capability

Loss of capability to warfighter?

MIBP Mission

 Ensure access to robust, secure and innovative industrial capabilities to fulfill short- and long-term National Security requirements





SECTOR BY SECTOR, TIER BY TIER (S2T2) AND FRAGILITY AND CRITICALITY (FAC)

What is Sector-by-Sector, Tier-by-Tier (S2T2)?

 A standardized Industrial Base Analysis (IBA) approach and methodology for assessing the health of the Defense Industrial Base

Objectives:

- Understand the impacts of changes in the acquisition / DoD budgets on the Industrial Base
- Establish early warning indicators & identify Industrial Base (IB) risk, particularly at the lower tiers of the supply chain
 - Single points of failure, unreliable suppliers, overreliance on foreign sourcing, areas of limited competition, etc.
 - Identify production rate limitations & production constraints
- Ensure successful DoD weapons system program & portfolio outcomes
- Support long-term planning & investment decisions by & across the Services
- Develop an industrial base data repository & a standardized set of tools to use for IBA

Leverages a statistically-validated & standardized Fragility & Criticality (FaC) assessment process to analyze risk across the tiers of the Industrial Base

S2T2 FaC Process

Process Activity	Action	Outcome
Select Sector/SubSector	Scope the problem (existing risk assessments; program shutdowns)	Sector Taxonomy
Search Available Data	Identify IB-related risks & related capabilities/products Identify suppliers and market	Potential IB Risks/Issues
FaC Screening/Filtering	Focused set of IB-related risks for further assessment	Screened IB/Issues Capability-Supplier Pairs
Conduct FaC Matrix Assessment	Facilitated scoring, based on standardized criteria, by SMEs	FaC Risk Matrix
Validate & Mitigate High Risk Issues; Develop Mitigation Strategy(ies)	SME "deep dive" into IB risk areas; facility visits	High Risk IB Issues

S2T2: iterative, repeatable, collaborative, fact-based

Assessments Provide Guidance for Action



2013 S2T2 FaC Assessments



FY13 FaC Results Used by Leadership

- Quarterly industry meetings with USD
 - Potential issues in lower tiers
- Ongoing IPTs, IB forums
 - * Space, energetic materials, fuze, vertical lift
- Congressional reports
 - ***** FPA, Annual Report, specialty metals
- FY15 POM Issue Teams
 - MDAP, TACAIR, Munitions, Space, Missile Defense, Strategic Warfare
- Defense Management Advisory Group on IB (4-star)
 - December 2013





Missile FaC IPT

- Missile FaC was a pilot program
- Missile FaC IPT consisted of representatives from OSD, DCMA, Army, Navy, Air Force, and MDA
- Process began with the development of the refinement of the missile sector taxonomy
- Data Collection began in Spring 2013
- Results were completed by August 31, 2013 to affect Budget Review Process

Missiles Industrial Sector

- <u>Tactical Missiles</u> (AMRAAM, AIM-9X, AARGM, ATACMS, APKWS, GMLRS, Hellfire, JASSM, Javelin, JAGM)
 - <u>Smart Munitions</u> (Excalibur, JDAM, LGBs, SDB I & II, JSOW, SFW, WCMD)
- <u>Strategic Missiles</u> (Trident II (D5), Minuteman III)

 Missile Defense (Patriot (PAC-3), Patriot/MEADS, Standard Missile (SM-2/3/6), THAAD, GMD, KEI)





Prime Contractors



Prime Contractors

	Boeing	Raytheon	ATK	Lockheed Martin	Northrop Grumman	BAE	General Dynamics	Textron Systems
Tactical Missiles	x	х	х	х		x	x	X
Strategic Missiles				x	x			
Missile Defense		х		х	X*			

* Northrop Grumman is prime on KEI, but Raytheon has the interceptor.



Missile Procurement Funding Distribution by Prime Contractor (FYDP 12-18)



Source: DoD FY 2014 President's Budget Procurement Program

Missile New Start Development & Production Timeline							
In 5 Year Increments							
			Name of Systems in Development	Name of Systems Beginning Production			
	Number of	Number of					
	New Start	New Start					
	Missile	Missile					
	Programs in	Programs in					
	Development	Production					
			AGM-12 Bullpup, AIM-9, AIM-4 Falcon, AIM-7 Sparrow, AGM-	AGM-12 Bullpup, AIM-9, AIM-4 Falcon, AIM-7 Sparrow,			
1950	5	5	28 Hound Dog,	AGM-28 Hound Dog			
			TOW, Standard Missile, AIM-54 Phoenix, FIM-43 Redeye, AGM-				
1960	5	0	45 Shrike,				
			Maverick, Patriot, Stinger, Harpoon, MIM-72 Chaparral,	Standard Missile, AIM-54 Phoenix, MIM-72 Chaparral,			
1965	8	6	Dragon, AGM-78, AGM-69 SRAM,	RIM-43 Redeye, AGM-45 Shrike, AGM-78,			
1970	2	3	Maverick, Hellfire	TOW, Dragon, AGM-69 SRAM,			
1975	4	3	HARM, RAM, AMRAAM, MLRS,	Patriot, Stinger, Harpoon,			
1980	1	3	ATACMS	HARM, Hellfire, MLRS,			
1985	2	2	Javelin, THAAD	RAM, AMRAAM,			
1990	5	0	ESSM, SM-3, PAC-3 MSE, GBI	ATACMS,			
1995	1	2	GMLRS,	ESSM, Javelin,			
2000	4	5	Griffin, SM-6, AARGM, Joint Common Missile*,	SM-3, PAC-3 MSE, GMLRS, THAAD, GBI			
2005	1	2	JAGM*,	Griffin, SM-6			
2010	0	1		AARGM,			
2015							
NOTES:							
* JAGM has be	een restructured	d as a tech dev					
* Joint Common Missile was canceled in 2007.							
- New Starts do not include most of the current missile development program modifications/upgrades to existing missile systems (AMRAAM, AIM-9X, etc.)							
- Does not include missiles with turbo fan engines (Tomahawk, JASSM, ALCM, ACM)							
- Does not inc	lude glide muni	itions (LGBs, JE					

Few new start missile programs to hone industry's design Engineering skills and sustain workforce





INDUSTRIAL BASE DEFENSE MANAGEMENT ADVISORY GROUP (DMAG)



AT&L Support

- In the past, there has been talk of support for the industrial base. (Office was created in 1994 due to IB concerns peace dividend)
- Mr. Kendall has demonstrated more than just concern, he has implemented actions
 - Request for IB review to determine where we were breaking the IB
 - ***** Supported funding IB concerns including missiles



Industrial Base DMAG

- AT&L directed MIBP to lead an Industrial Base DMAG during this Fall's Budget Review Process
- For the first time the Department committed to funding projects solely associated with industrial base concerns. These include:
 - Air Force and Navy high-performance jet engine technology development
 - Army next generation ground combat vehicle design team investment
 - Investments in the missile industrial base for production process improvements/automation and material/technology upgrades



Missile Industrial Base Concerns

- Using the data from the missile FaC, MIBP supported CAPE Budget Issue Teams. Missile issue teams included: Munitions, Missile Defense, and Strategic Offense. Also IB issue team
- Proposed budget reductions resulted in the following industrial base concerns (design & production):
 - * Fuzes
 - ***** Thermal Batteries
 - * Solid Rocket Motors
- DoD supported funding for fuze & thermal battery areas



Conclusion

- The Department and the Defense Industrial Base will continue to face fiscal realities.
- MIBP will continue to evolve the sophistication of our industrial analysis to identify those design and production risk areas that need mitigation
- DoD's Leadership recognizes the tough choices we face and continues to conduct reviews of our needs and systems to ensure an innovative fighting force and robust industrial base

