# A Successful Framework for Rapid Development, Safety and Software Reuse

Alison Joseph Tony Ponko July 2014

## Overview

- Background
- Challenges
- Solutions
- Framework
- Lessons Learned
- Video
- Questions

# Background



- Development of new electronic safe and arm device
  - Experienced product development team assembled
  - Legacy LM work products selected as baseline
    - Expectations
      - Rapid development
      - Software reuse (leverage previous safety compliance)
    - Reality
      - Good starting point
      - Time and effort still required to ensure compliance with current safety standards/requirements

#### Reuse is a valid approach...but safety compliance not assured

# Challenges



- Safety engineering requirements/guidelines had evolved
  - Required verifying compliance with current mission requirements/safety guidelines
- Reuse Not so fast...
  - Code not "drag and drop"
    - Reuse code baselined several years ago

## Solutions



- Manage expectations
- Safety Engineering as a design partner
  - Understands current requirements
  - Guides systems/software efforts
- Modified framework with safety in mind
  - Insert "compliance mindset" into existing development framework

### Lockheed Martin Framework Overview

- **Create plan**
- Eormestatetysconnerlitenden Wahrketterahan (ge Whee)tings
- Create baseline work products
- Create design
- Implement design
- Test
- Safety Board Presentations

# Basic design flow with Safety interlaced throughout entire process

## Framework



### Create plan

- Layout schedule
  - Include time for Compliance Assessments
  - Include time for Fuze Board reviews
- Identify <u>all</u> work products up front
  - What is required (Systems, Software, and Safety)
  - Establish reuse strategy
  - Who "owns" work products
  - Resources required to produce work products
  - Safety Compliance Checklists to verify work products



- Internal
  - Program Lead, Safety, Systems, Software, Electrical, Quality
- External (Layered)
  - Internal SCWG and Customer
    - Concurrence / partnering
    - Review safety presentations before Fuze Board meetings
  - Internal SCWG, Customer and Fuze Board members
  - Become a team
  - Ask questions
    - They want to help you succeed

## Framework



□ Form Safety Compliance Workgroups (SCWGs)

- Reviews reuse strategy
- Reviews requirements and safety impact
- Reviews design and safety impact
- Reviews Safety Compliance Checklists status/progress
- Provides multi-disciplined insight with compliance questions/concerns



- Convene customer Technical Interchange Meetings
  - Keep customer in-the-loop
  - Discuss progress / concerns / obstacles
  - Discuss requirements / design / safety changes
  - Do not be afraid to discuss issues/ask questions
    - OK to admit you don't know how safety aspects apply
    - Sometimes "N/A" is the right answer
    - Others have experience and can help

## Framework

Create baseline work products

- Ensure requirements are clear and testable
- Ensure requirements are properly allocated
  - Systems, Software, Firmware, Electrical, Reliability, etc.
- Ensure requirements assigned Safety Critical[S-C] / Safety Related [S-R] "Safety Rating Tags" (SRTs)
  - Absolutely necessary and critical
- Review traceability and compliance matrices
- Ensure safety requirements are traceable to code level

#### Safety Rating Tags absolutely necessary and critical



- Design with testing in mind
  - Need to prove requirements are not only implemented, but are implemented correctly
- Isolate [S-C]/[S-R] functionality using separate source code files
  - Design should consider partitioning
- Eliminate unnecessary features from reused code
  - Irrelevant legacy functionality, obsolete/outdated debug services, etc.

## Framework



### Implement design

- Generate source code files
  - Isolate [S-C]/[S-R] functionality using separate source code files
  - Embed Software Requirement IDs and SRTs directly into source code where requirement is met
    - File headers = Good, function/procedure headers = Better, source code block = Best
    - Provides obvious requirements traceability
    - Easily determine how and where requirements implemented
- Perform regular static code analysis checks
- Perform design and code Peer Reviews

## Framework



Test

- Generate test cases
  - Separate test cases for [S-C]/[S-R] code
  - Test cases must be traced to every requirement (i.e., must have a Requirements Traceability Matrix)
  - Include GO paths, NO GO paths, nominal, off nominal, in limits, out of limits, and duration/stress conditions
  - Automated test tools/test sets are best
- Create code coverage analysis
  - Code Inspections may be necessary



- Safety Board/Joint Services Review Board Presentations
  - Update on a regular basis
  - Expect recommendations/actions
    - These are good things!
  - Present Safety Compliance Assessment results
  - Allow time to assemble Technical Data Package (TDP)

### Lockheed Martin Framework Review

- ✓ Create plan
- ✓ Form Safety Compliance Workgroups (SCWGs)
- ✓ Convene customer Technical Interchange Meetings
- Create baseline work products
- ✓ Create design
- ✓ Implement design
- ✓ Test
- Safety Board Presentations

#### Standard engineering practices with Safety interlaced throughout entire process

### Lockheed Martin Lessons Learned

- Modify standard framework
- Follow basic design practices with Safety from start
  - Always better to understand what is required up front
    - Understand current safety guidelines/requirements
    - Things change over time
  - Reuse isn't free
    - Don't over estimate "savings"
    - Remember to assess technical "debt"
- Include Safety in all phases
  - Do not be afraid to interact with Safety Boards
  - Traceability is key (document, document, document!)

### Lockheed Martin Proof of Principal Testing



### Questions





### Lockheed Martin Contact Information

1

• Alison Joseph

<u>alison.joseph@lmco.com</u>
Lockheed Martin Corporation
Missiles and Fire Control
5600 Sand Lake Road
Mail Point 157
Orlando, FL 32819
Phone: 407.356.9654

- Tony Ponko
  - <u>tony.m.ponko@lmco.com</u>

Lockheed Martin Corporation Missiles and Fire Control 5600 Sand Lake Road Mail Point 205 Orlando, FL 32819 Phone: 407.356.9587