Optimizing Systems Architecture and Whole of Life Costs through Design Profit[®]

NDIA Systems Engineering Conference ERS Track



October 29, 2014

David Foreman • Dan McCarthy

foremand@leandesign.com • danmcc@leandesign.com

Munro & Associates Inc.

Ref: Abstract #16993









Since 1988, Munro has been a leader in delivering solutions to hundreds of customers around the world, helping them to achieve higher product quality with lower cost, resulting in better product value and higher company profits.

Munro understands the effects that design and other variables have on total life costs and has developed a unique suite of tools for managing cost and product complexity.



- DFM / DFX, VE, VSM
- Lean Design[®] (reduce complexity)
- DP Cost of Quality[™] (ensure robustness by design)
- Workshops
 - Bringing people together rapid results
- Benchmarking and Teardown (technology infusion)
- The Wall Process[®] (stakeholder collaboration)
- Design for Manufacturing®
- Cost Estimating
- MRL Software, Training, and Assessments (risk & readiness)

Design Profit[®] integrates these methodologies in a single integrated platform that provides a powerful collaborative AoA tradespace.



Customers of Munro & Associates and Design Profit®



Aerospace

Aerojet Astronix Corp **BAE Systems** Bell and Howard **BF** Goodrich Aerospace **Boeing Commercial** Aviation Boeing Helicopter Systems Boeing Satellite Systems Cirrus deHavilland Drager Aerospace Embraer Indian Government JAMCO Lucas Aerospace Martin Marietta Martin-Baker Aircraft Co McDonnell Douglas MISATS Monogram Systems NASA - Langley Research Center NASA - Johnson Space Center NCAM Piper Primex Rolls Rovce Aero Eng Schukra Sikorsky SPAR Teaque Agriculture

DICKEY-john

Automotive Air International

Ancra Auto Latina Automotive International Bentley Motors Limited Bosch Brose Chrysler Davco Delphi Denso Donnex/Donnelly Ford GM Humphrey Products Intier ITT Automotive Johnson Electric Land Rover Lectron Products MG Rover MTM Ptv. Ltd. Australia Navistar PBR Rover Rover Group / BMW Schefenacker Sumitomo Textron Systems Thyssen Krupp - Budd Toyota TRW Volkswagen Boats Mercury Marine US Marine

Consumer Goods

Bose Corp Brazeway Fountain Head Fuji Film Hamilton Beach Hunter Douglas Mattel Whirlpool Defense

Alliant Techsystems AlliedSignal Boeing Integrated Defense Systems Bofors Brashear Coleman Research ComDev Computing Devices DARPA Diehl GEC Marconi General Dynamics Hamilton Sunstrand Honeywell Kaman KDI L3 Communications Litton Guidence Control Litton Laser Lockheed Martin Northrop Grumman Picatinny Arsenal Raytheon Sandia Labs Texas Instruments Textron Defense Systems

Vickers Westinghouse Electronics

AB Dick **Compag Computers** EMC Hewlett Packard IBM Intel Motorola Nikon Novellus Pitney Bose Siecor Spartanics Tektronics Xerox Furniture Herman Miller Homeland Security

AS&E Industrial ADIC

Advance Transformer A.O. Smith Ballard Beacon Power Corp Carrier Air Conditioners Cymer Dresser Dupont ElectroCom Fluid Management Graco Ingersoll-Rand International Fuel Cell

Muncie Power Products National Cranes Nordyne NTC Products Oldenberg Otis Elevators Samsung Spectra Precision Stihl Syspal ThermoKing Trane TSI Von Duprin Wagner Spray Tech Wallace & Tiernan Xomox Medical Abbott Labs Alaris Medical Systems Brea Becton Dickinsen Cardinal Health CareFusion Cobe Cardiovascular Despatch Dynacom Ethicon Endosurgery

Guidant

Hill-Rom

Medtronic

SciCam

SenDx

Respironics

Stryker Medical

Rela

UMM - United Medical Manufacturing Co. **Off Highway**

Case Caterpillar FMC Grove Crane

Oil

Baker Oil Tools General Electric Ingersoll-Rand Recreational

Vehicle

Club Car Harley Davidson Polaris

Robotics

RPT Ships

> Atlantic Marine Bath Iron Works Electric Boat NASSCO Tenix

Transportation

Alexander Dennis BlueBird Cummins Engine Daimler Kentworth Luminator Onan Ricon





5000.02 Approach

Integrated Lifecycle Engineering

The majority of life cycle costs are fixed early in the concept stage.









Architecture Roadmap





Engineered Resilient Systems December 2013

Distribution Statement A – Approved for public release by OSR on 12/09/2013; SR Case #14-S-0514 applies. Distribution is unlimited.

Page-14

Source: ERS Overview, December 2013, Jeffery P. Holland, PhD, PE





Design Profit[®] provides a systematic approach to translate requirements into total life cycle costs through conceptual modeling.

This provides the platform for effective decision-making considering all relevant metrics.

The baseline model consolidates and allocates data at the symbol level.

- Unit \$
- Program \$
- Quality \$
- Labor \$
- Machine \$
- Overhead \$
- Investment \$

- MRL
- Maintainability
- Producibility
- Sustainability
- Supplier
- Lead Time
- etc.



Model Integration and Knowledge Manager

Design Profit[®] provides rapid generation and quantification of alternatives.



AoA and Decision Visualization



Optimal Data Fidelity: The right data at the right time to make the right decision.

"Perfection is the enemy of time."

Concept



TIME

Low Fidelity Low Granularity Quick Turns

Conceptual Modeling

Increase granularity of unknowns to gain knowledge High Fidelity High Granularity Detailed Refinements



- - X Custom Fields 📴 Import... 📕 Export... 🔚 Add Field Group 🖄 Add Field 📔 🌽 🗳 E E Field Groups **Field Name** Owner Category 🕀 🔚 Sustainability, Mfg 123 Mfg Energy kWh =x Symbol Sustainability, Mfg E Sustainability, Transportation (Truck) 121 Mfg Energy BTU =x Symbol Sustainability, Mfg E Sustainability, Transportation (Air) 121 Mfg Cost / kWh / Symbol Sustainability, Mfg Air BTU / Mile 121 Mfg Power Requirement kW / StepLibraryItem Sustainability, Mfg Air Fuel Cost / Gallon Mfg Energy Consumption Time = Symbol Sustainability, Mfg Air Energy Cost Air Energy BTU 123 Truck BTU / Mile / Symbol Sustainability, Truck Air Transportation Miles 121 Truck Transportation Miles / Symbol Sustainability, Truck Air Fuel Miles / Gallon 123 Truck Energy BTU =X Symbol Sustainability, Truck Air Units / Flight 123 Truck Fuel Cost / Gallon / Symbol Sustainability, Truck 🖃 📰 Sustainability, Waste 123 Truck Fuel Miles / Gallon / Symbol Sustainability, Truck Waste Cost / Lb Waste Weight Cost 121 Truck Energy Cost =X Symbol Sustainability, Truck Waste Avg Scrap Weight 123 Truck Units / Truckload / Symbol Sustainability, Truck Waste Offal Weight / Symbol 123 Air BTU / Mile Sustainability, Air Waste Scrap Weight 123 Air Fuel Cost / Gallon / Symbol Sustainability, Air Waste Scrap RFT 123 Air Energy Cost =X Symbol Sustainability, Air Waste % of Product Weight 123 Air Energy BTU E Sustainability, Totals =x Symbol Sustainability, Air Total Production CO2 Lbs / MBTU 121 Air Transportation Miles Sustainability, Air / Symbol Total Production Energy BTU 121 Air Fuel Miles / Gallon / Symbol Sustainability, Air Total Production CO2 Weight In. Air Units / Elight / Symbol Sustainability Air Total Energy & Waste Costs **Field Properties** Total Waste Cost Total Energy Cost Flight Energy MBTU E Sustainability, Flight The energy consumed per flight in MBTU. 31 Flight Energy MBTU Flight Annual Cost / Lb Sustainability, Flight Flight BTU / Lb - Filter Flight CO2 Lbs / MBTU e: Symbols Flight CO2 Weight Allow Rollup V Qty Multiply Rollup Number Flight Annual Cost E Sustainability, Util Number Decimal Places: 0 ÷ BTU / kWh WeightRollupContribution 0 E Sustainability, HazMat HazMat Waste Cost / Lb Edit Choice List. Use Choice List Exclusive List HazMat Usages Use Formula = X Edit Formula... HazMat Used Weight Use Formula as Default HazMat Waste Weight weight(WeightRollupContribution, 'lb') * [Flight BTU / Lb] / 10000 HazMat Waste Cost 4 Is Hazardous Material? Dispense Adhesive with 200ml Tip Hidden Protect Is Adhesive? HazMat Rag Waste Weight - Washing Tin Manta Mainht OK Cancel Apply

Integrated math modeling allows for nearly unlimited analytical capability.

The example shown allows the model to analyze and roll up sustainability costs.

Life Cycle Cost Analysis



Shift Product Realization for Maximum Flexibility

Raytheon



Design Profit[®] provides data and history needed to perform total life cycle trade studies to minimize risk before engineering.





"I have been asking questions about this assembly for over a year and have never been satisfied with the answers. In just two hours, by mapping the build process all of my questions were answered without even having to ask any."

- Army Representative







Live Demo

www.designprofit.com



	Program	Army - Variant	Marine - Variant	Hybrid - Variant	Cumulative Savings	Annual Volume
Total Program Volume	125,000	100,000	25,000	125,000		
Cost Per Unit		\$ 79,000	\$ 65,000	\$ 69,000		
Year 1	\$ 952,500,000.00	\$ 790,000,000	\$ 162,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	12,500
Year 2	\$ 952,500,000.00	\$ 790,000,000	\$ 162,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	12,500
Year 3	\$ 952,500,000.00	\$ 790,000,000	\$ 162,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	12,500
Year 4	\$ 952,500,000.00	\$ 790,000,000	\$ 162,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	12,500
Year 5	\$ 943,500,000.00	\$ 711,000,000	\$ 146,250,000	\$ 86,250,000	\$ 9,000,000	12,500
Year 6	\$ 934,500,000.00	\$ 632,000,000	\$ 130,000,000	\$ 172,500,000	\$ 27,000,000	12,500
Year 7	\$ 925,500,000.00	\$ 553,000,000	\$ 113,750,000	\$ 258,750,000	\$ 54,000,000	12,500
Year 8	\$ 912,000,000.00	\$ 434,500,000	\$ 89,375,000	\$ 388,125,000	\$ 94,500,000	12,500
Year 9	\$ 889,500,000.00	\$ 237,000,000	\$ 48,750,000	\$ 603,750,000	\$ 157,500,000	12,500
Year 10	\$ 862,500,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 862,500,000	\$ 247,500,000	12,500
Total Program Cost	\$ 9,277,500,000.00	\$ 5,727,500,000.00	\$ 1,178,125,000.00	\$ 2,371,875,000	\$ 247,500,000	125,000



Using Design Profit[®], model variations can be easily created to perform 'what if' scenarios.

Cost and weight targets can also be generated (Actual vs. Target).

Enhancements will need to be made for yearly volumes and visualization.





Currently, the definition of a product requires a person to build the variant (Model X).

We propose to identify requirements and construct a model based on the requirements. The model will identify associated costs to the requirement. Putting costs against requirements can improve program definition.

Modeling is capturing knowledge, and this knowledge can be used to easily generate designs. Multiple options can be proposed based on factors such as cost, weight, and timing requirements.

Early intensive data mining is needed.



Future Enhancements

LRU Packaging Optimization Analysis Tool

Electrical/Electronic LRUs and their connecting harnesses are a tremendous driver of design and manufacturing complexity and in service reliability and serviceability.

The proposed tool would reduce system complexity by optimizing LRU configuration and location to:

- Prioritize packaging of low-reliability LRUs to minimize service impacts.
- Prioritize configuration and location of LRUs to minimize harness circuits and length.





Questions

