

## HARNESSING THE POWER OF TECHNOLOGY for the MARE A Contract of the Contract of

Evolving Legacy DON Stabilized Small Arms Weapon Systems by Enhancing At-Sea Live-Fire Testing Without Using Water Presented by: Dr. Mark Thoreson, NSWC Crane Date: 04 May 2017 CAPT JT Elder, USN Commanding Officer NSWC Crane

Dr. Brett Seidle, SES Technical Director NSWC Crane

Distribution A: Approved for Public Release. Distribution Unlimited.



- Background
- Historical Testing State
- Current Testing State
- Future Testing State
- Using objective data to improve weapon system reliability
  - Trend charts
  - Mean time between failure (MTBF) and mean time to repair (MTTR)

2



### Background

- USN deploys stabilized small arms weapons mounts on many vessels
- We are always on the lookout for technologies to increase capabilities or reduce costs, so testing is constantly necessary
- Historically there was not a good technique to validate naval stabilized small arms weapon systems on land
  - Stabilization performance
  - Auto-tracking performance
  - Live fire (probability of hit)
- Several factors limit the usefulness of land-based testing
  - Weapon systems perform differently on land than at sea
  - Targets move differently on land than at sea
  - Vessels perform differently in different sea conditions
- At-sea testing is slow, unpredictable (weather, sea-state), expensive, and unrepeatable
- Objective data from the field is often not used to make system improvements



- At-sea testing is EXPENSIVE
  - Typical at-sea test is \$250k
  - 1 day prep, 3 days at-sea testing, 1 day teardown
- At-sea testing is UNREPEATABLE
  - Sea state is constantly changing
  - No day on the sea is the same as another
- At-sea test scheduling is UNRELIABLE
  - Weather dependent
  - Scheduled tests can be bumped by higher-priority units
- At-sea testing is necessary before fielding, but it represents a high cost and schedule risk for testing new or developmental systems



### Why Test Away from the Ocean?





### **Historical Test Setup at NSWC Crane**

# Land-based stationary tests with acoustic bullet sensor

#### Advantages:

- Probability of hit
- Group size / dispersion
- General performance
- Ease of testing
- Very cost-effective

#### Disadvantages:

NSWC Crane outdoor firing range



Acoustic bullet sensor

- Does not account for host vessel motion (unrealistic for naval environment!)
- Does not test electromechanical / software dependences
  - Gyroscopes / Sensors
  - Servos / Motors
  - Tracking while firing, lead angle
- Does not test for ease of operator use and situational awareness



### Current Test Setup at NSWC Crane (FY17)

# Land-based tests with motion platform and acoustic bullet sensor / MILES

- Mobile motion platform (6 degrees of freedom)
- Acoustic hit sensor (live fire)
- MILES equipment (blank ammunition)



#### Advantages:

- Moving weapon system with stationary target (more realistic for naval conditions)
- Provides probability of hit, group size, dispersion when using live rounds
- Provides hit response when using MILES laser system
- Provides repeatability in motion / sea-state
- Portable, can be easily moved from range to range

#### Disadvantages:

- Standard MILES equipment records hits without providing accuracy (one shot, one kill)
- Live fire with projectiles requires a large surface danger zone (large firing range necessary)
- Inaccurate recoil/counter-recoil forces when using blanks with MILES equipment
- Motion platform requires special mounting foundation and heavy forklift



### Future Test Setup at NSWC Crane (FY18)

## Land-based tests with motion platform and additional features

- Mobile motion platform
- Recoil simulator for accurate recoil/counter-recoil
- MILES transmitter with custom detector array

#### Advantages:

- Moving weapon system with stationary target
- Probability of hit, group size, dispersion
- Provides accuracy data with laser detector array
- Provides actual weapon recoil forces using recoil simulator
- Motion platform + recoil simulator
  - + MILES transmitter + custom target sensor grid
  - = high-fidelity, non-ordnance testing operation

#### Disadvantages:

- Does not test for all naval scenarios (e.g., moving boat with moving target)
- No evaluation of optic/sensor performance at sea
- No sea-specific meteorological conditions (humidity, etc.)
- Difficult to test ease of use and situational awareness of operators





Motion Platform



**MILES TVS Components** 





- Land-based testing (typ. \$50k)
  - Five full days of testing
  - Motion platform with laser system and recoil simulator, or live-fire
  - Very few scheduling / planning / weather issues
- At-sea testing (typ. \$250k)
  - Three days of actual testing, one day prep and one day teardown
  - Scheduling can be challenging
  - Weather / sea-state can easily derail the entire test
- By using the motion platform and other capabilities at Crane, a cost savings of \$200k can be realized for a typical test.
- PM/PMS/PMA savings are significant and recurring.
- This testing is high-fidelity and suitable for new, developmental, or fielded systems.

## **Quality Tracking**



## Leverage Baseline Data to Improve Reliability

- Tracking failures
  - Identify leading failures
  - Identify root cause of failure, implement permanent corrective actions, validate
  - Mean time between failure (MTBF) and mean time to repair (MTTR)

#### **Results of Leveraging Data and Implementing Corrective Actions**





### Results From Leveraging Data and Implementing Corrective Actions





### Summary

- Historically, land-based testing techniques didn't always correlate to at-sea dynamic testing
- Current and future testing at NSWC Crane can simulate realistic at-sea conditions for live-fire small arms weapon mount testing
- Portable Motion Platform:
  - Provides relevant, repeatable testing scenarios
  - Is easy to use for testing dynamic weapon systems
  - Is considerably less expensive than at-sea testing
- Objective quality data can be used to successfully identify common failures, implement permanent corrective actions, and improve overall system reliability.

12



### Questions ?



### **Backup Slides**

14



### **Portable Motion Platform**



#### Deployed Configuration





### **Test Platforms**



Distribution A: Approved for Public Release. Distribution Unlimited.

HARNESSING THE POWER OF TECHNOLOGY FOR THE WARFIGHTER



### **Test Platforms** (continued)



Distribution A: Approved for Public Release. Distribution Unlimited.

HARNESSING THE POWER OF TECHNOLOGY FOR THE WARFIGHTER



### The Joys of At-Sea Testing

























Distribution A: Approved for Public Release. Distribution Unlimited.

HARNESSING THE POWER OF TECHNOLOGY FOR THE WARFIGHTER



### **COTS 6DOF System and Parameters**

### Moog MB-E-6DOF/24/1800KG



- Payload: 3968 lbs (1800 kg)
- Actuator stroke: 24 inches
- All electric operation
- Fits within standard trailer width
- Moveable by heavy forklift

Maximum Excursion		
	Single	Maximum
Surge	- 0.46 m/ + 0.57 m (-18.0 in/ + 22.0 in)	± 0.57 m (± 22.0 in)
Sway	± 0.46 m (± 18.0 in)	± 0.50 m (± 19.0 in)
Heave	± 0.39 m (± 15.0 in)	± 0.39 m (± 15.0 in)
Roll	± 23.0 °	± 24.0 °
Pitch	- 23.0 ° / +25.0 °	- 27.0 ° / + 31.0 °
Yaw	± 24.0 °	± 27.0 °

#### Maximum Velocity

Surge	± 0.70 m/s (± 28.0 in/s)
Sway	± 0.70 m/s (± 28.0 in/s)
Heave	± 0.55 m/s (± 22.0 in/s)
Roll	± 34.0 %
Pitch	± 35.0 %s
Yaw	± 36.0 %

#### Maximum Acceleration

Surge	± 6.5 m/s² (± 0.65 g)
Sway	± 6.5 m/s² (± 0.65 g)
Heave	± 9.0 m/s² (± 0.90 g)
Roll	± 220 %s²
Pitch	± 220 %s²
Yaw	± 360 %s²



- Mounting location
  - Nominally flat concrete pad (acceptable), min 10 ft x 10 ft
  - Concrete pad with 10ft x 10ft steel mounting plate (preferred)
  - Minimum pad weight is 3x max payload (about 12,000 lbs)
  - Concrete anchors or tapped holes must be installed in appropriate configuration (qty 12, can be match-drilled to lower plate or pre-drilled)
  - No overhead obstacles (awnings, roof overhangs, etc.) unless well above max operating envelope of platform plus test item
- Material Handling Equipment
  - Required to move motion platform from trailer to mounting location
  - Heavy fork truck (payload 6000lbs or more)
  - Long stakes preferred
- No on-site power requirements
  - Trailer houses diesel generator for motion platform and associated equipment
  - 120V 60Hz AC power available inside and outside trailer for test equipment
  - Lighting inside and outside trailer
  - Heat and A/C provided in trailer



## **Enhanced Testing Capabilities**

- The goal of the Mobile Motion Platform project was to provide enhanced testing capabilities for stabilized platforms such as remote weapon stations or electro-optic gimbals in a cost-effective and repeatable manner.
- The Mobile Motion Platform System combines a medium-sized motion platform with a storage and transport trailer. Maximum motion platform payload is 3968 lbs (1800 kg).



### **Concept of Operations**

- These are the primary steps in using the Outdoor 6DOF Motion Platform System:
- Motion platform and testing equipment are stored in trailer and transported to test range
- Motion platform is removed from trailer and mounted to pad at test range
- Device under test (DUT) is removed from trailer and mounted to upper plate on motion platform
- Motion platform and DUT are powered by trailer generator / transformer
- Test personnel control motion platform and DUT from trailer workstations during testing
- After testing, motion platform and DUT are returned to trailer and secured for transit



### **Pictures**





Initial installation at NSWC Crane outdoor range

System stowed and ready for transport



During integration at NSWC Crane outdoor firing range