

Introducing Lifecycle Cost to Early Conceptual Tradespace Exploration

20th Annual NDIA Systems Engineering Conference October 26, 2017

E. Alex Baylot, Research Industrial Engineer James "Jed" Richards, Operations Research Analyst US Army ERDC





Provide ERS Lifecycle Cost (LCC) development plan and methods for linking cost models to performance models for generating largescale tradespaces

- Objective
- Background
- Cost Estimating Techniques
- Cost Analysis Use Case
- Surrogate Model Creation Method
- Low-Cost Attritable Aircraft Use Case
- ERS Cost Model Development Plan
- Summary
- Questions









ENGINEERED RESILIENT SYSTEMS

A goal of the Engineered Resilient Systems (ERS) Program is to create a capability for linking cost and performance models for early concept exploration of design alternatives

> Affordability On Time, on Budget







Affordability Analysis (Pre-Milestone A/B)

- Determine Affordability Goals/Caps
- Estimate Program Lifecycle Cost
- Establish Cost Targets
- Analyze Cost/Performance Trades

95% 100% **Committed Costs** 85% 90% Cumulative Percentage Life Cycle Cost 500 -1000X 80% 70% Cost to Extract Defects 70% Operations 20 - 100X 60% Through Prod/Test Disposal 50% 3-6) 40% 100% 30% Develop 50% 20% Design Concept 20% 10% 15% 8% 0% Time Program FOC Initiation) IOC в Materiel **Engineering and Operations & Production &** Solution Manufacturing Technology Support Deployment Development Analysis **Maturation & Risk** Reduction Materiel Developmen Decision FRP Decision Review Post-LRIP/IOT&E

ENGINEERED RESILIENT SYSTEMS

Reference DoDI 5000.02 Defense Acquisition Life Cycle Compliance Baseline

ERS NDIA SYS ENGINEERING CONF - OCTOBER 2017

Committed Lifecycle Cost





Analogy	 Quick, inexpensive, easy-to-change Subjective, not precise, poor comparison between new and old systems Typically used pre-Milestone A through Milestone A
Parametric	 Cost estimating relationships, inexpensive, easy to do "what-if" drills Moderately subjective, precision only as good as databases

• Typically used pre-Milestone A through Milestone B

neering

ctual Costs

DAU ACQ 101

- Very accurate in later stages of EMD, limited subjectivity, uses WBS
- Very expensive, very time consuming, "what-ifs" are difficult
 - Typically used Milestone B through post-Milestone C
- · Limited subjectivity, very accurate
- Limited actual cost data, very expensive, very time consuming, "what-ifs" are difficult
- Typically used Milestone C through post-Milestone C







ENGINEERED RESILIENT SYSTEMS

	Use Cases	ERS Partner
Create/Adapt	1 – <u>Manual CER</u> : User manually enters Cost Estimating Relationships (CER) to build a cost model	UAS (NCCA, UAS Handbook)
Cost Model	2 – <u>Existing Menu</u> : User choses an existing cost constraint component and adjusts (calibrates) for specific cost generation	Helicopter (GTRI, Commercial rotorcraft cost model)
	3 – <u>Historic Cost Data</u> : cost model from user provided historic cost data	Ground Vehicle (TACOM, CADE data)
Link Existing	4 – <u>Existing Model Surrogate</u> : Allows user to provide an existing cost data set derived from any source to generate meta model for cost domain tradespace generation (surrogate cost modeling)	Surface Ship (NSWC Carderock, Surface Combatant Performance Based Cost Model)
Cost Model	5 – <u>Excel Cost Model</u> : Allows user to provide an existing excel based cost model to link to tradespace generation	Un-Manned Aircraft (AFRL – LCAAT)
	6 – <u>COTS Cost Model</u> : User provides a COTS integrated tools model	[development pending]





Connecting cost models to other tradespace models







Low-Cost Attritable Aircraft Use Case





Computer-language cost model derived from spreadsheet to MATLAB or Python

- 4 months development
- Slow response to changes

Surrogate Method



100X reduction in cost model integration period

- 24 hours development
- Quick response to changes

*Not typical





Model Execution







Distribution A: Approved for Public Release



ERS Cost Model Development Plan



ENGINEERED RESILIENT SYSTEMS







- DoDI 5000.02 identifies the requirement at Milestone (MS) A for an Affordability analysis in addition to a cost analysis and is driving more accurate cost analysis to the left
- ERS is developing methods to better integrate cost models into conceptual tradespace exploration using existing models or surrogate models
- Surrogate modeling methods show promise to greatly accelerate the integration process into tradespace exploration for pre-MS A & at MS A
- The ERS cost model development plan strives to provide a capability for all system commodities supporting all Services and OSD-CAPE

Ground Vehicle



UAS



ENGINEERED RESILIENT SYSTEMS





Questions

Mr. E. Alex Baylot, US Army ERDC Alex.Baylot@usace.army.mil

Mr. James "Jed" Richards, US Army ERDC James.E.Richards@erdc.dren.mil



