

Optimizing Future Soldier Systems through the Incorporation of Human Aspects into the Soldier as a System Domain using the Systems Modeling Language

> Sean F. Pham Shauna M. Dorsey, Ph.D.

NDIA Human Systems Conference, 13 March 2018





U.S. ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT & ENGINEERING CENTER



u.s. army RDECONT®

SOLDIER AS A SYSTEM



<u>**Problem</u></u>: The U.S. Army has historically focused on the development and optimization of Soldier equipment, leading to integration challenges between Soldiers and their equipment.</u>**



It's not just about Soldier equipment. We must also understand and predict the performance of the *full system*, inclusive of the Soldier, his/her equipment, and the tasks he/she must perform.

U.S.ARI

SOLDIER SYSTEM ENGINEERING ARCHITECTURE

Objectives: Create a principle-based Soldier architecture and framework to enable a system level tradeoff analysis of the Soldier as a System (SaaS) domain.

 Create the foundation for design parameters for the next generation of Soldier systems and subsystems, which considers the complete
Soldier as a System with the full complement of equipment, the human performance capabilities, and the mission tasks.

Anticipated Outcomes:

• Increased efficiencies and optimized performance of the Soldier as a System.

RDECOM®

• Enterprise approach across Soldier-Small Unit Science and Technology (S&T) efforts, combat developers, and acquisition communities.



U.S.AR

Soldier System Engineering Architecture

<u>**Purpose</u>**: Utilize Systems Engineering tools and processes to allow stakeholders across the Soldier Enterprise to manage the overwhelming complexity of the Soldier as a System domain.</u>

Equipment Soldier Task



Soldier System Engineering Architecture (SSEA) is integrating these tools and processes for the Soldier Enterprise.

U.S.AR

SOLDIER SYSTEM ENGINEERING ARCHITECTURE



Soldier as a System (SaaS) Reference Model:

U.S. ARMY RDECOM®

- Characterizes the Soldier as a System domain in terms of the human dimension, the materiel solutions, and the operational environment (i.e., the Soldier, Equipment, Task [SET] framework).
- Formalizes the definition of the SaaS domain.
 - → Elements of the Soldier, Equipment, and Task, along with their interactions and interrelationships.

System Modeling Language (SysML):

- Captures the system model and defines the boundaries of the system space.
 - → Enables decomposition of the SaaS domain and establishes a common vocabulary.
- Provides a common underpinning for SSEA, allowing stakeholders to further understand their piece of the SaaS domain and its impact points over the full system space.





U.S.ARI

SAAS MODEL STRUCTURE

Purpose of the Model Structure:

u.s. army RDECOM®

- Define the domain/system space (SaaS) and boundaries.
- Serve as a central hub for the defined SaaS components and relationships.
 - Comprised of the Soldier system within an operational context.
 - Displays any interrelationships between the primary model components.



U.S.ARM

SAAS MODEL STRUCTURE



RDECOM®

Scenario: Soldier engaging an enemy target.



13 March 2018

U.S.ARM

UNCLASSIFIED//DISTRIBUTION A. APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

DEG



SOLDIER, EQUIPMENT, AND TASK SEGMENTS



<u>**Purpose</u>**: Define the elements and relationships contained within Soldier, Equipment, and Task (SET) segments of the Soldier as a System (SaaS) model.</u>



U.S. ARMY RDECONT®







<u>Purpose</u>: Define the elements and relationships within the human dimension, which includes cognitive, physical, social, and emotional parameters to further characterize the Soldier.



U.S. ARMY RDECONT®



13 MARCH 2018

U.S.ARM

SOLDIER AS A SYSTEM: SOLDIER SEGMENT OF THE MODEL



Four Main Components:

- 1. Anthropometry Physical structures of the human
- 2. Physiology Internal regulatory systems of the human
- 3. Behavior Voluntary (i.e., cognitively founded) and reflexive (i.e., "hard-wired") behaviors
- 4. Intelligence Fluid (i.e., creativity and learning), crystalized (i.e., prior skills and knowledge), social, and emotional intelligence

Component Classifiers:

- Size and shape
- Health state
- Response
- Creativity and learning
- Education and experiences
- Communication style
- Emotions

Ports / Interactions (examples):

- Shoulder / Support, Stabilize
- Hand / Support, Secure
- Finger / Control Magnitude, Actuate
- Eye / Signal Sense
- Body / Support, Secure, Attach





<u>Purpose</u>: Define the elements and relationships within the material development dimension, including the type, form, and function of the equipment and how it relates back to its requirements.



RDECOM®



13 MARCH 2018

U.S.ARN

SOLDIER AS A SYSTEM: EQUIPMENT SEGMENT OF THE MODEL



Two Components:

- Equipment Form Integrated weapon system, clothing, and individual equipment
- Equipment Function Combat casualty care, mobility, protection, mission command, lethality, logistics support

Component Classifiers:

- Forms of Equipment
 - Body-worn
 - Carried
 - Consumed
 - Head-worn
 - Operated

Ports / Interactions (examples):

- Buttstock / Support, Secure
- Improved Outer Tactical Vest / Support, Stop, Protect
- Rucksack / Provision, Store, Hold
- Close Combat Optic / Channel, Import, Allow
- Eye Protection / Control Magnitude, Regulate

TASK SEGMENT OF THE MODEL



<u>Purpose</u>: Define the elements and relationships that the Soldier will encounter within a specific operational environment. This focuses primarily on doctrinal mission elements and parameters.



u.s. army RDECOM®



U.S.ARN

SOLDIER AS A SYSTEM: TASK SEGMENT OF THE MODEL



Four Components:

- 1. Physical Environment Terrain, climate, structures (manmade or natural), and regional areas
- 2. Relevant Actors Organizations and people
- 3. Operational Behavior and Activity Coalition, host nation, and enemy activities, along with civil considerations
- 4. Unified Land Operations Characterizes decisive actions, warfighting functions, and doctrinal tasks

Component Classifiers:

- Types of:
 - Terrain and climate
 - Physical structures and areas
 - Groups and personnel
 - Operational variables (HAMO)
 - Operational activities
 - Threats and actions
 - Tasks and functions



SOLDIER SYSTEM INTERACTION APPROACH



Purpose: Standardize methods and elements to depict the relationships between the Soldier, Equipment, and Task segments of the SaaS model.

Interaction: Soldier Shoulder to Rifle Buttstock in an active "engagement" position.



CONCLUSIONS



U.S. ARMY RDECONT®

- Human systems integration aspects are captured to further depict the relationships between the Soldier and their equipment in an operational context.
- SSEA SysML models can be used as a tool to improve decision making through a better understanding of Soldier-equipment interactions, leading to the optimization of future Soldier systems.







ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



U.S. Army Armaments Research, Development, and Engineering Center (ARDEC):

u.s. army RDECOM®

- Shauna Dorsey
- Frank Torres
- Dana Perriello
- David Chau
- U.S. Army Natick Soldier Research, Development, and Engineering Center (NSRDEC):
- David Krasnecky (STO Manager)
- Michael Curry (Draper)
- Axel Rodriguez
- Joseph Patterson
- Roger Schleper
- John Turkovich (Draper)
- Jeff Cipolloni (Draper)

Other Government Agencies:

- U.S. Army Research Lab (ARL)
- U.S. Army Aberdeen Test Center (ATC)
- U.S. Army Communications-Electronics Research, Development, and Engineering Center (CERDEC)
- U.S. Army Medical Research and Material Command (MRMC)





u.s. army RDECOIVI®

THANK YOU



ARDEC