

# Introducing the U.S. Navy Insensitive Munitions Handbook

Presented by Jerry M. Ward, Ph.D. Booz Allen Hamilton Arlington, Virginia



**Acknowledgements** 

#### SPONSOR

#### Naval Ordnance Safety and Security Activity (NOSSA)

NOSSA co-author Ken Tomasello

Booz Allen Hamilton co-authors Don Porada and Mark Peterson



#### Outline

- BLUF
- Navy Goals for IM Program
- U.S. Navy IM Handbook Objectives
- IM Policy and Guidance
- Navy IM Development Process
- Joint IM Strategic Planning (IMSP)/Plans of Action & Milestones (POA&Ms) Process
- IM Technology
- Threat Hazard Assessment (THA) Backup slide
- IM Qualification Backup Slide
- IM Compliance
- Concluding Remarks



# BLUF

- To inform the international Munitions Safety community that the Navy IM Office (IMO) is developing a U.S. Navy IM Handbook as a source of information tailored for the Navy IM community, specifically for the munitions Program Offices (POs) that are required to develop and conduct IM programs for their munitions.
- This paper is intended as an introduction to the Handbook and provides selected summary discussions of the Handbook contents.
- The Navy IMO plans are to issue and maintain the Handbook on the NOSSA secure website.



### Navy Goals for IM Program

- IM Technology Identify IM Technology shortfalls and conduct Science & Technology (S&T) program to develop solutions
- IM Improvements All Navy munitions are to be designed/improved to meet IM requirements. Operational capabilities and performance are to be attained without compromising system and platform safety.
- IM Compliance A munition is certified to be IM compliant when it is assessed/scored by the Navy Munitions Response Evaluation Board (MREB) or by another appropriate Service Review authority, to pass all required IM tests. Navy Munitions POs are to conduct coordinated IM/Hazard Classification (IM/HC) testing.



#### U.S. Navy IM Handbook Objectives

- Brief history of IM program
- Current IM policy/guidance as implemented by the Navy
- Description of the Navy's IM development process
- Guidance on how to implement the Joint IMSP/POA&Ms process
- Information on sources of Navy, Joint, and other Service IM technology solutions and developments that address IM technology shortfalls

- Guidance on preparing IM Threat Hazard Assessments (THAs) – Template to be prepared
- Guidance on complying with Qualification and Final (Type) Qualification for Navy explosives
- Guidance on complying with harmonized IM/HC testing and analysis requirements – Template referenced
- Guidance on evaluation results of the harmonized IM/HC test results to assess a munition's compliance with IM criteria



- USC, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389 December 2001 § 2389. Ensuring safety regarding insensitive munitions
- DoDD 5000.01, The Defense Acquisition System and DoDI 5000.02, Operation of the Defense Acquisition System, Encl. 3, System Engineering
- CJCSI 3170.011, Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System
- **MIL-STD-882E**, Department of Defense Standard Practice, System Safety
- **MIL-STD-2105D**, Hazard Assessment Tests for Non-Nuclear Munitions (Appendix C in Handbook)



### **IM Policy and Guidance**

- **OPNAVINST 8010.13E**, Department of Navy (DON) Policy on Insensitive Munitions (Appendix D in Handbook)
- **NAVSEAINST 8010.5C**, Insensitive Munitions Program Planning and Execution, (Appendix E in Handbook)
- NOSSAINST 8010.1A, Munitions Reaction Evaluation Board (MREB) (Appendix F in Handbook)
- NAVSEAINST 8020.5C, Qualification and Final (Type) Qualification Procedures for Navy Explosives (High Explosives, Propellants, Pyrotechnics, and Blasting Agents) (Appendix I in Handbook)
- NAVSEAINST 8020.8C, (TB 700-2/TO 11A-1-47, Joint Technical Bulletin), Department of Defense Ammunition and Explosives Hazard Classification Procedures







## Joint IMSP/POA&Ms Process

- The Department of Defense (DoD) Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for IMSP and POA&Ms provides the policy and mandatory guidance for the Joint IMSP/POA&Ms process (Appendix A of the DoD Acquisition Manager's Handbook for IM)
- The U.S. Navy IM Handbook provides the Navy Munitions Program Executive Officers/Program Managers (PEOs/PMs) and their munitions development teams with a tailored (Navy specific) summary of the DoD SOP
  - Schedule
  - Approval process
  - Policy and mandatory guidance for IMSP/POA&Ms preparation



# IM Technology

- NAVSEAINST 8010.5C (IM Program Planning and Execution) – Munitions PMs are to seek every window of opportunity to incorporate appropriate technologies to provide IM-compliant munitions for the Naval Fleet.
- The U.S. Navy IM Handbook addresses:
  - IM technology programs
    - Joint Munitions Program (JMP)
    - Joint IM Technical Panel (JIMTP)
    - IM Advanced Development (IMAD) Program
    - IM Technology Transfer Program (IMTTP)
    - Other PM/Service/Agency/Combatant Command Programs
  - Web-based repositories/portals for data generated by IM technology programs
  - Partnering agreements



# **IM Compliance**

- The requirement USC, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389 December 2001 states "§ 2389. Ensuring safety regarding insensitive munitions. The Secretary of Defense shall ensure, to the extent practicable, that insensitive munitions under development or procurement are safe throughout development and fielding when subject to unplanned stimuli."
- The U.S. Navy IM Handbook summarizes the procedure for ensuring IM compliance:
  - The Munitions PO develops/obtains a MREB/Joint Hazard Classifiers (JHC)/DoD Explosives Safety Board (DDESB)) approved Harmonized IM/HC test plan
  - The Munitions PO conducts the IM/HC tests based on the U.S. Navy Test Plan Template for Combined IM/HC Classifications
  - The MREB scores the IM tests and the JHC/DDESB assesses the IM/HC test results and determines the munition Final HC (FHC)
  - The Munitions PO reports the status of their program to achieve IM compliance in their required biennial munition-specific POA&Ms.



#### IM Threats, Standard Tests and Reaction Types



PROVIDING WEAPONS AND ORDNANCE SAFETY ... TODAY AND TOMORROW



This paper provides an introduction to the U.S. Navy IM Handbook, which will be issued and maintained by the Navy IMO on the NOSSA secure website.



#### **Backup Slides**



# THA

- A THA is an evaluation of the life cycle environmental profile of a munition to determine the threats and hazards to which it may be exposed throughout its entire life cycle.
- The THA provides rationale for which of the standard tests should be conducted on the munition, and which tests may be deleted, as unnecessary. The THA may also propose additional testing.
- The preparation of a THA is a required step in the development of an IM Program.
- To support the Navy Munitions PEOs/PMs and their teams in preparing THAs, the Navy IMO is preparing a THA Template to be maintained on the NOSSA Secure Website. The THA Template will be summarized in the Handbook.



#### **IM Qualification**

- The Handbook summaries the requirements/guidance from:
  - NAVSEAINST 8010.5C (IM Program Planning and Execution):
    - "IM must be successfully integrated into a total system safety program" per MIL-STD-882E (DoD System Safety)
    - Qualification and Final (Type) Qualification of all energetic material in Navy munitions per NAVSEAINST 8020.5C is essential to the Navy IM program.
  - OPNAVINST 8010.13E (DON Policy on IM):
    - Lists the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC)/Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (OUSD) approved set of standardized IM tests and the additional two HC (only) required tests and their passing criteria
  - IM/HC Test Plan Template developed by NOSSA IMO (N855) to be tool for the Navy IM and HC communities to prepare coordinated / standardized IM/HC test plans



#### Table 10-1 Joint Standard IM Tests (2017)

| TESTS                            | REFERENCES   | # OF<br>TESTS | TEST<br>CONFIGUR<br>ATIONS  |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------|---|
| LF/EF (FCO)                      | STANAG 4240, E2<br>(Revision in process)                                   | 2             | 1 Test Logistical<br>1 Test Operational                                       |
| slow heating<br>(sco)            | STANAG 4382,E2,<br>Procedure 1   | 2             | 2 Tests Logistical  |
| BI                               | STANAG 4241, E2,<br>Procedure 1<br>(HD1.2.3/ 1.6)<br>(Revision in process) | 2(3)          | 1 (2) Logistical<br>1 Test Operational  |
| FI                               | STANAG 4496, E1,<br>Standard Procedure                                     | 2             | 1 Test Logistical<br>1 Test Operational                                       |
| SR                               | Stanag 4396, E2  | 2             | 2 Tests Logistical<br>(1 Test<br>w/confinement,<br>1 Test w/o<br>confinement) |
| SCJ                              | STANAG 4526, E2,<br>Procedure 2<br>(Revision in process)                   | 2             | 1 Test Logistical<br>1 Test Operational                                       |
| THERMAL<br>STABILITY<br>ARTICLES | NAVSEAINST 8020.8C   | 1             | 1 Test Logistical   |
| 40 FT DROP                       | NAVSEAINST 8020.8C   | 3             | 3 Tests Logistical  |



#### Table 11-1 IM Reaction Table (Example)

|                 | FCO          | SCO   | ВІ   | FI    | SR  | SCJ | НС     | FY   | Remaining IM Investment<br>(\$K) |
|-----------------|--------------|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|--------|------|----------------------------------|
| Baseline        |              |       |      |       |     |     |        |      |                                  |
| XXX             | III          | III   | Ι    | Ι     | [F] | [F] | 1.1E   | FY02 |                                  |
| XXX             | IV           | V     | V    | ш     | Р   | [F] | 1.2.1E | FY02 |                                  |
| XXX             | [111]        | ш     | IV   | ш     | [P] | [P] | 1.2.2H | FY02 |                                  |
| Current         |              |       |      |       |     |     |        |      |                                  |
| XXX             | III          | ш     | Ι    | Ι     | [F] | [F] | 1.1E   | FY09 | •                                |
| XXX             | IV           | V     | V    | Ш     | Р   | [F] | 1.2.1E | FY09 |                                  |
| XXX             | [111]        | Ш     | IV   | Ш     | [P] | [P] | 1.2.2H | FY09 |                                  |
| Alternative Con | nfigurations |       |      |       |     |     |        |      |                                  |
| XXX             | IV           | V     | V    | Ш     | Р   | П   | 1.1E   | FY12 |                                  |
| XXX             | III          | Ш     | [IV] | [111] | Р   | Р   | 1.1E   | FY12 |                                  |
| Projected       |              |       |      |       |     |     |        |      |                                  |
| XXX             | (III)        | (III) | (I)  | (I)   | (F) | (F) | 1.1E   | FY15 |                                  |
| XXX             | (V)          | V     | V    | (IV)  | Р   | (P) | 1.2.1E | FY15 |                                  |
| XXX             | (IV)         | (V)   | IV   | (IV)  | (P) | (P) | 1.2.2H | FY15 |                                  |