Continuous **Modernization**

Maintaining Long-Lived **Federal Systems**

Excella | Dane Weber

excella.com | @excellaco

571.289.0000 | dane.weber@excella.com



Federal IT systems include critical enterprise functions:

- System of record
- Implementation of mandate
- Accomplishing the mission



Federal IT systems include critical enterprise functions:

- System of record
- Implementation of mandate
- Accomplishing the mission

These frequently evolve over time, but rarely go away.



System Life Expectancy ecades



Root & Concept System Life Expectancy éŚ elad















Federal systems serve uniquely long-lived missions.



Federal systems serve uniquely long-lived missions.

Short-term thinking often leads to **risks** and **waste** that have long-term consequences.



Grow tast or die slow. Estart-up motto







Live long and prosper.



Goals for critical enterprise functions:



Goals for critical enterprise functions:

• Cost-effective



Goals for critical enterprise functions:

- Cost-effective
- Dependable



Goals for critical enterprise functions:

- Cost-effective
- Dependable
- Extensible





- Cost-effective
- Dependable
- Extensible



Ceale for critical enterprise functions: • Cost effective

- Dependable
- Extensible



Reality **Ceale** for critical enterprise functions:

- Extensible





Fragile

Coale for critical enterprise functions: • Cost effective Expensive • Dependable Ancel able

• Extensible

Realit **Ceale** for critical enterprise functions: Cost effective Expensive Anseliable Bependable Fragile Extonsible



What makes legacy systems so expensive, unreliable, and fragile?



What makes legacy systems so expensive, unreliable, and fragile?

Technical Debt





Technical debt is a metaphor for the shortcuts, onerous designs, and outdated technology that make modifying the system difficult and risky.



Technical debt is a metaphor for the shortcuts, onerous designs, and outdated technology that make modifying the system difficult and risky.

Like *financial debt* it compounds and accumulates over time and has ongoing costs until it is paid off.



New Features

Fighting with tech









Excella




























Technical debt is worse than financial debt.



Technical debt is worse than financial debt.

• Not fungible; unique to the system.



Technical debt is worse than financial debt.

- Not fungible; unique to the system.
- Cannot outrun; must fix or replace.



Technical debt is worse than financial debt.

- Not fungible; unique to the system.
- Cannot outrun; must fix or replace.
- Does not have predictable costs.



Technical debt is worse than financial debt.

- Not fungible; unique to the system.
- Cannot outrun; must fix or replace.
- Does not have predictable costs.

It is *compounding risk*.





Modernization

Modern System



Legacy System

The bad old system full of technical debt.

Modernization

Modern System







Legacy System

The bad old system full of technical debt.

Modernization

Modern System

The replacement that will make all of our dreams come true.









Legacy System

The bad old system full of technical debt. **Modernization**

Modern System

The replacement that will make all of our dreams come true.









Legacy System

The bad old system full of technical debt. **Modernization**

Modern System

The replacement that will make all of our dreams come true.







"Product" is a problematic metaphor

Trucks, pens, laptops, and code?







The Promise of Agile Delivery























The Problem of Modernization












































Dirty Secret: The modernization was "rushed"



me Tech Debt Time







re 3 Tech Debt Time



me Tech Debt Time



Every Legacy System was once "Modern"

Congratulations! We just built another legacy system.



Instead: Maintain and Renovate



















Funding		
\uparrow		
		Time



Funding Operations & Maintenance Time



Funding	
\uparrow	
Features	
Operations	
	Time



Funding Features Operations & Maintenance lime



Funding		
\uparrow		
		Time







Funding Features Operations & Maintenance Time







Another Metaphor: Your Home

Does it really need to be demolished?







- Long-term investment
- In daily use
- Needs maintenance
- Renovate as needed
- Make it safe to renovate with girders, braces, shoring, & jacks





It is one thing to build a new house, but to build a new house in the same exact spot?





Live On-Site

This is critical to the enterprise. Moving out for a year is a costly and risky option.





Live On-Site

This is critical to the enterprise. Moving out for a year is a costly and risky option.

Maintain

Prevention over cure. Don't save money now at the risk of catastrophe later.







Live On-Site

This is critical to the enterprise. Moving out for a year is a costly and risky option.

Maintain

Prevention over cure. Don't save money now at the risk of catastrophe later.

Renovate

Drastic changes may include remodeling, additions, and major retrofitting.









Demolition and rebuilding may be the right option,





Demolition and rebuilding may be the right option, although usually because of unaddressed deterioration.





How to maintain and renovate





Treat long-lived Federal IT systems like homes.



Treat long-lived Federal IT systems like homes. Avoid demolition and rebuilding.



Treat long-lived Federal IT systems like homes. Avoid demolition and rebuilding.

Pay down technical debt.


Maintain & Renovate

- Treat long-lived Federal IT systems like homes.
- Avoid demolition and rebuilding.
- Pay down technical debt.
- Steadily invest in modernizing the system.



Maintain & Renovate

Treat long-lived Federal IT systems like homes. Avoid demolition and rebuilding.

Pay down technical debt.

Steadily invest in modernizing the system.



Technical Debt is a huge topic.



Technical Debt is a huge topic.

- Lack of process or understanding
- Tightly-coupled components
- Lack of a test suite
- Lack of documentation
- Lack of collaboration
- Parallel development
- Delayed refactoring
- Lack of alignment to standards
- Lack of knowledge
- Lack of ownership
- Poor technological leadership



Technical Debt is a huge topic.

- Lack of process or understanding
- Tightly-coupled components
- Lack of a test suite
- Lack of documentation
- Lack of collaboration
- Parallel development
- Delayed refactoring
- Lack of alignment to standards
- Lack of knowledge
- Lack of ownership
- Poor technological leadership

Wikipedia



Technical Debt is a huge topic.

- Lack of process or understanding
- Tightly-coupled components
- Lack of a test suite
- Lack of documentation
- Lack of collaboration
- Parallel development
- Delayed refactoring
- Lack of alignment to standards
- Lack of knowledge
- Lack of ownership
- Poor technological leadership

"As an evolving program is continually changed, its complexity, reflecting deteriorating structure, increases unless work is done to maintain or reduce it."

Wikipedia

- Meir Manny Lehman, 1980



Technical Debt is a huge topic.

- Lack of process or understanding
- Tightly-coupled components
- Lack of a test suite
- Lack of documentation
- Lack of collaboration
- Parallel development
- Delayed refactoring
- Lack of alignment to standards
- Lack of knowledge
- Lack of ownership
- Poor technological leadership

"As an evolving program is continually changed, its complexity, reflecting deteriorating structure, increases unless work is done to maintain or reduce it."

Wikipedia

— Meir Manny Lehman, 1980

Excella



Stop the bleeding

Create time and space

Ensure safety



Stop the Bleeding









• Every piece of work should result in equal or reduced technical debt.







- Every piece of work should result in equal or reduced technical debt.
- Boy Scout Rule: leave it better than you found it.







- Every piece of work should result in equal or reduced technical debt.
- Boy Scout Rule: leave it better than you found it.
- Refactor as you go.







- Every piece of work should result in equal or reduced technical debt.
- Boy Scout Rule: leave it better than you found it.
- Refactor as you go.
- Fix defects ASAP.



Stop the Bleeding



Zero Defects: fix all known defects before adding functionality.











 Software development teams usually feel pressure to deliver new functionality rapidly, both explicit and implicit.





- Software development teams usually feel pressure to deliver new functionality rapidly, both explicit and implicit.
- Paying down technical debt, including major rewrites, should be supported, rewarded, and celebrated.





- Software development teams usually feel pressure to deliver new functionality rapidly, both explicit and implicit.
- Paying down technical debt, including major rewrites, should be supported, rewarded, and celebrated.
- Educate stakeholders about the effort: these systems are long-term investments.









 Adding test coverage, applying updates, and rewriting portions of the code should be celebrated as much as adding new functionality.





- Adding test coverage, applying updates, and rewriting portions of the code should be celebrated as much as adding new functionality.
- Metrics that emphasize adding functionality, such as the usual velocity charts, are dangerous.





- Adding test coverage, applying updates, and rewriting portions of the code should be celebrated as much as adding new functionality.
- Metrics that emphasize adding functionality, such as the usual velocity charts, are dangerous.
- Imagine if bridge infrastructure was only measured by number of miles added!





 Imagine if bridge infrastructure was only measured by number of miles added!







 Imagine if bridge infrastructure was only measured by number of miles added!







- Imagine if bridge infrastructure was only measured by number of miles added!
- If you target a metric, you will likely improve it, although at some cost elsewhere.







- Imagine if bridge infrastructure was only measured by number of miles added!
- If you target a metric, you will likely improve it, although at some cost elsewhere.
- I want to drive on bridges where the key metric is on-time inspection and maintenance.





How can we support software caretakers as they maintain the system and do high-quality work, but produce less new functionality?





How can we support software caretakers as they maintain the system and do high-quality work, but produce less new functionality?

• Just do it.







How can we support software caretakers as they maintain the system and do high-quality work, but produce less new functionality?

- Just do it.
- Set aside time & capacity for maintenance.





How can we support software caretakers as they maintain the system and do high-quality work, but produce less new functionality?

- Just do it.
- Set aside time & capacity for maintenance.
- Track maintenance and refactors the same as PBIs/User Stories/etc.





How can we support software caretakers as they maintain the system and do high-quality work, but produce less new functionality?

- Just do it.
- Set aside time & capacity for maintenance.
- Track maintenance and refactors the same as PBIs/User Stories/etc.
- Inspect the code and create metrics around maintenance to be done.







Microservice	Risk Score	Mitigation	Testing	Monitoring	Logging	Alerting
	10.6	25%	2	2	1	3
	7.8	31%	3	3	2	1
	6.7	69%	4	4	4	3
	6.3	69%	4	4	4	3
	5.8	50%	3	3	3	3
	5.7	63%	4	3	4	3
1000	5.5	44%	3	3	2	3
	5.4	63%	4	3	4	3
	5.0	38%	2	3	2	3
	4.5	38%	1	3	3	3
	3.6	19%	2	2	2	1
	3.1	56%	4	3	3	3













• Psychological safety: re-writes can be risky.







- Psychological safety: re-writes can be risky.
- Risks can be reduced in many ways.
 Learn about them and use what makes sense.







- Psychological safety: re-writes can be risky.
- Risks can be reduced in many ways.
 Learn about them and use what makes sense.
- Testing, especially automated testing, is huge.


Ensure Safety



- Psychological safety: re-writes can be risky.
- Risks can be reduced in many ways.
 Learn about them and use what makes sense.
- Testing, especially automated testing, is huge.
- Blue/green deployments & shadowing (running new code in parallel with the old) can be even more effective.





































































































































































• The "strangler vine" pattern







- The "strangler vine" pattern
- Agile engineering







- The "strangler vine" pattern
- Agile engineering
- The world of DevOps









Treat long-lived Federal IT systems like homes.



Treat long-lived Federal IT systems like homes. Avoid demolition and rebuilding.



Treat long-lived Federal IT systems like homes. Avoid demolition and rebuilding.

Pay down technical debt.



- Treat long-lived Federal IT systems like homes.
- Avoid demolition and rebuilding.
- Pay down technical debt.
- Steadily invest in modernizing the system.



Never Stop Modernizing!

– DO IT CONTINUOUSLY –



