Designing the Fleet for Future Wars: A Sea Denial-Sea Control Force



Evolution of the Hybrid Fleet

Jeff Kline, CAPT, USN (Ret.) Operations Research Faculty Naval Postgraduate School

Distribution Statement A. Distribution Unlimited.

Discussion's Purpose



Consider tactical, technical, and strategic drivers that inform fleet design and fleet architecture

Characteristics of Modern Maritime Warfare

Offense is the stronger form of naval tactical warfare

"Fire effectively first" (Hughes)

Defense is the stronger form of naval <u>operational</u>
warfare



Sea Denial is easier than Sea Control

We observe U.S. Navy is currently on the disadvantaged side in both these areas in warfighting and procurement

Measuring Quantity's value in Maritime Salvo Warfare

If they have twice the numbers, we must have twice the offense, twice the defense, and twice the staying power per ship (Hughes)

Given equal force ships, if they have k times more ships than us, then they have k² the combat power (Xiaoming, Yaofeng, and Wei)

> Likewise, given equal force ships, if they have k times more ships, then we must degrade their targeting by a factor of 1/ k² (Kline)

Naval Warfighting Ages



Marginal Advancements in Technology adoption

Amphibious Landing Iwo Jima 1945



Carrier Operations 1950s



Amphibious Landing exercise STEEL KNIGHT 2019



Carrier Operations 2020s



Embracing the Missile and Robotics Age





China's sea denial capability 1956

China's sea denial capability 2020



Future Design and Architecture Opportunities

- Missiles, Robots and autonomy:
 - Less expensive and easier to change than big ships
 - Strengthens offensive warfare (kinetic and non-kinetic
 - Allows for greater numbers: power of quantity
- Network and Cyber enabling
 - Long ranges and/or robust local networking
 - Asymmetric impacts





Fleet Design Proposal for two fleets: Sea Denial and Sea Control

- Ask not the number of ships, but the number of weapons: shift to offense
- Focus on missile targeting and delivery systems, not platforms
- Small, many, and as unmanned as possible as forward as possible
- Enabled to fight alone, enhanced when networked
- Retain traditional fleet for sea control







A Hybrid Naval Force Construct for Competition and War

Sea Denial Force

- Crewed/uncrewed platforms built as systems, distributed, formed into local reconnaissance strike networks: tailored to area
- Offensive and forward
- Stealth long-range missile carriers distributed in contested regions
- Buy as systems to missions

Sea Control Force

- Protection forces
- Multi-mission Platforms
- Capital intensive/longer life ships and aircraft
- Power projection as environment allows
- Defense of shipping, SPODs, and APODS

Evolution of Hybrid bimodal Navy Concept

2003-2024 NPS Officers in Campaign Analysis, Wargaming and theses are challenged with peer competitors



............................



A Sea Denial Concept of Employment

- Sea Denial (offense) forward, distributed, working with shore-based systems and undersea forces to form Local Reconnaissance Strike networks (LRSN)
- "Connected" when able, fighting separate when necessary
- Accelerated cumulative sea denial area by area
- Think traditional submarine warfare operations--with many missile, mine, and undersea launch systems
- Traditional Sea Control Forces (defense) to defend sea lines





Bimodal Fleet composition Luzon Strait

Luzon Strait multi-domain crewed-uncrewed sea denial system:

- 2 Skydweller HALE ISR
- 4 ORCA XLUUV
- 6 LMACC (lightly crewed missile combatant with 8 LRASMs)
- 40 Sail Drone
- 30 Low Visibility USVs (ISR and strike)
- 200 Hammerhead Mines
- 4 Kratos Valkyrie
- 4 MQ-25 Stingray



Integrated forces not included on this slide:

USMC land-based ASCM and air defense systems with Fire Direction Center

U.S. SSNs where appropriate

 Actual
composition is location specific

Modified CVW for Sea Control

Mission	Aircraft	Crewed (y/n)	Proposed	% of CVW	mm
AAW/ASuW	F-35C	Υ	24	27%	
Refueling/C2 AWAC	MQ-25	Ν	5	6%	
AAW/ASuW	Collaborative Combat Aircraft (CCA)	Ν	48	55%	
ASW	MQ-9 w/ASW package	Ν	6	6%	
ISR/C2	Global Observer	Ν	5	6%	

- Equivalent Cost/Manning to current CVW
- 750 nm sea control range (find-fix-finish)
- Assigns off-board nano-satellite launch to surface combatant or ashore
- COD ashore
- SAR to surface combatant aviation
- Reqs Off-board queuing for long range ASW contact
- Reqs LWT for MQ-9



Strategic/Technical Fleet Metrics

- - Reactivity
 - Robustness
 - Resilience



Recover

On strategy for implementation

- Engage coalition partners near critical seaways and littorals with offer of enhancing their maritime security systems collaboratively.
- Involve host-nation's industry with U.S. industry, and navy-to-navy to design, develop, and employ these crewed/uncrewed multi-domain surveillance and response systems: create local recon strike network.



Instead of being technologically "smarter," get "dumber" in a smart way*





Failed traffic light

No traffic light to fail

*Dr. David Alderson, Professor of Operations Research



Look for basic, local solutions to increase resilience and decrease vulnerability



BACK UP MATERIAL

U.S. Naval Force Level in Ships



What we build in war: 1940 - 1945



Initial Conditions for Presentation

Warfare Analysts come to a problem with two characteristics: "unbiased and ignorant or biased and informed" Kline is the latter



Sea Denial CONOPS Local Networking Options

Local Burst Mesh Networking

Wireless Mesh Network (WMN)/Mobile Ad-Hoc Network (MANET)

Self-Forming/Self-Healing

Link Adaptation

Adaptive Routing

Small crewed combatant or Land-based managed

Optical Time Slot Interchange (OTSI) and Optical Packet/Burst Switching (OPS/OBS)

24

OTSI and OPS/OBS can be used to overcome contention Limits of OTSI and OPS/OBS:

Not yet mature, but assumed by 2045 timeline

Low probability of detection/intercept (LPD/I) LPD/I achieved through distributed sensors Limits of LPD/I communications Wide spectrum resembling white noise

Future Design and Architecture Change Challenges

- - Future United States' "Grand Strategy" ?
 - Navy as a long-term capital investment
 - Constraining budget and expensive ships
 - Long acquisition times (5-8 years for ships)
 - Extremely high operations tempo with fewer ships
 - Potential Adversaries capabilities and capacities

Natural conservative tendencies of senior naval leaders—the fleet's strategic value



bimodal Force Circ 2007 Hughes

Fleet for "high end fight"

• Fleet for "low intensive conflict"

others

- Aligned to meet National Maritime Strategy goals
- Suggests "a more distributed fleet that is offensively disposed yet can suffer losses and fight on, for no defense at sea can be perfect against a skilled opponent."

2014

Kline

Tacti

Distribute

Lethality

mpact c

publishes

Nater Fle

"Build a Green

& Maritim

26

New Navy Fighting Machine 2009 Hughes and NPS team

- Aligned to Navy Functions:
 - Safeguard movement of goods on the sea
 - Deliver goods from the sea (projection)
 - Deny enemy movement on the sea
 - Deny enemy deliver of goods from the sea

- Begins to suggest a fleet design based on sea denial and sea control
- Creates "green" and "blue" water fleets—doubles fleet Many more focused-mission ships
- Same topline SCN as 2009 projections



2012 Flotilla Study for ONA (LIMDIS)

- Proposes a flotilla concept to support a Strategy of Offshore control (T.X. Hammes)
- Reviews analytical literature showing quality of quantity in missile warfare
- Proposes clear offensive role for smaller combatants in sea denial with numbers providing a resilient force
- Integrates unmanned systems



2012 War at Sea Strategy Kline-Hughes

- Strategy to contain, deter and limit escalation:
 - Ends: deter China maritime aggression or if war, deny sea inside first island chain (create no man's land)
 - Ways: Distance blockade; SSN, Flotilla, Mining, and USMC ASCM in First Island Chain
 - Means: Revised force structure
- Leverages strength in undersea warfare
- Proposes use of flotilla as offensive sea-denial system



2017 Impacts of Robotics Age on Force Design, Kline

- Reviews challenges for large navies to change
- Proposes unmanned systems/weapons provide flexible political, acquisition and change potential opportunities
- Clearly proposes a bi-model force build for "sea denial" and one for "sea control" to realize distributed maritime operations
- Provides concept of operations (fleet design)
- Proposes fleet metrics: reactivity, robustness, and resilience



2021 Bimodal Navy and Maritime Deterrence, Wirtz

- Reviews need for bi-model navy in today's environment and its deterrence value
- Discusses current political, analytical, and organizational weaknesses to achieve a sea denial force
- Calls for action to make the organizational and enterprise changes and to transform the emerging technologies into operational capabilities.



Bimodal Fleet composition Luzon Strait

Luzon Strait multi-domain crewed-uncrewed sea denial system:

- 2 Skydweller HALE ISR
- 4 ORCA XLUUV
- 6 LMACC (lightly crewed missile combatant with 8 LRASMs)
- 40 Sail Drone
- 30 Low Visibility USVs (ISR and strike)
- 200 Hammerhead Mines
- 4 Kratos Valkyrie
- 4 MQ-25 Stingray



Integrated forces not included on this slide:

USMC land-based ASCM and air defense systems with Fire Direction Center

U.S. SSNs where appropriate

 Actual
composition is location specific